



Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee Notice of Meeting and Agenda

The Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee will meet on **Wednesday, August 17, at 8:30 a.m. at the CRRA Trash Museum, 211 Murphy Road, Hartford.**

Municipal Advisory Committee members are asked to RSVP to Marianne Carcio at 860-757-7792 or mcarcio@crra.org. Members intending to send delegates must have valid proxy letters on file with CRRA. (A list of valid letters of delegation will be distributed to members with meeting materials.)

AGENDA

1. Call to order – Chairman Ryan Bingham
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Roll call
4. Approval of minutes
 - a. May 18, 2011, regular meeting (Section 1 of agenda package)
 - b. June 2, 2011, special meeting (Section 2 of agenda package)
5. Mid-Connecticut Project update – CRRA management
 - a. MSA activity
 - b. Operational performance
 - i. MSW deliveries and trends (Section 3 of agenda package)
 - ii. Recyclables deliveries and trends (Section 4 of agenda package)
 - iii. Plant operational summaries (Section 5 of agenda package)
 - iv. Trash Museum report (Section 6 of agenda package)
 - c. Financial and variance report (Section 7 of agenda package)
 - d. Legal update
 - i. *MDC v. CRRA*
 - ii. MDC arbitration
6. President's report
7. Comments and questions from Municipal Advisory Committee members
8. Public comment

Members of the public wishing to address the Municipal Advisory Committee may speak for up to three minutes.
9. Adjournment

SECTION 1



MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DRAFT MINUTES – MAY 18, 2011

The Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Council (“MAC”) held a regular meeting on May 18, 2011, at the CRRA Trash Museum, 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut.

PARTICIPATING MUNICIPALITIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES:

<u>Town</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Last Name</u>	<u>Title/Proxy</u>
Barkhamsted	Donald	Stein	First Selectman
Bloomfield	Bill	Hogan	Proxy for Mayor Sydney Schulman
Bolton	Bonnie	Therrien	Proxy for First Selectman Robert Morra
Canton	Richard	Barlow	First Selectman
Chester	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Thomas Marsh
Clinton	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman William Fritz
Colebrook	Thomas	McKeon	First Selectman
Deep River	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Richard Smith
Durham	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Laura Francis
East Granby	James	Hayden	First Selectman
East Hartford	Melody	Currey	Mayor
Enfield	John	Adams	Proxy for Town Manager Matthew Coppler
Farmington	Richard	Barlow	Proxy for Town Manager Kathleen Eagen
Glastonbury	Michael	Bisi	Proxy for Town Manager Richard Johnson
Goshen	Robert	Valentine	First Selectman
Granby	John	Adams	First Selectman
Haddam	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Paul DeStefano
Hartford	Robert	Painter	Proxy for Mayor Pedro Segarra
Harwinton	Susan	Dyer	Proxy for First Selectman Frank Chiamonte
Hebron	Bonnie	Therrien	Town Manager
Killingworth	Catherine	Iino	First Selectman
Litchfield	Leo	Paul	First Selectman
Lyme	Ralph	Eno	First Selectman
Manchester	Brooks	Parker	Proxy for Town Manager Scott Shanley
Middlebury	Thomas	Gormley	First Selectman
Middlefield	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Jon Brayshaw
Naugatuck	Sheila	Baummer	Proxy for Mayor Robert Mezzo
Newington	John	Salomone	Town Manager
Norfolk	Susan	Dyer	First Selectman, M-CPMAC Vice-Chairman
Old Lyme	Timothy	Griswold	First Selectman
Old Saybrook	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Michael Pace
Oxford	Wayne	Watt	Proxy for First Selectman Mary Ann Drayton-Rogers
Portland	Susan	Bransfield	First Selectman
Southbury	Wayne	Watt	Proxy for First Selectman Bill Davis
Suffield	John	Adams	Proxy for First Selectman Tom Frenaye
Tolland	Steven	Werbner	Town Manager
Torrington	Susan	Dyer	Proxy for Mayor Ryan Bingham
Waterbury	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for Mayor Michael Jarjura
Westbrook	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Noel Bishop
Wethersfield	Jeff	Bridges	Town Manager
Winchester	Donald	Stein	Proxy for Town Manager Dale Martin
Windsor Locks	Steven	Wawruck	First Selectman

CRRA MANAGEMENT ATTENDEES:

Thomas D. Kirk, President, Ex-Officio Member
Paul Nonnenmacher, Director of Public Affairs, CRRA Liaison
Peter W. Egan, Director of Operations & Environmental Affairs
Marianne Carcio, Administrative Assistant, CRRA
Ronald Gingerich, Manager of Development, Environmental Compliance & Information Technology
James P. Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer

NON-VOTING MUNICIPAL REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT:

Larrye deBear, Rocky Hill (no valid proxy letter from Town Manager Barbara Gilbert)

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT:

John Pizzimenti, USA Hauling & Recycling
James Sandler, Sandler & Mara
Senator John Fonfara

1. CALL TO ORDER

Municipal Advisory Committee **Vice Chairman Susan Dyer** called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Those in attendance stood and recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL

Mr. Nonnenmacher called the roll and announced that 36 members were in attendance or represented with valid proxies, and thus a quorum was present.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Ms. Therrien moved to approve the minutes of the February 16, 2011, meeting. **Mr. Adams** seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

5. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Mr. Kirk thanked all the towns for contacting their legislators regarding Senate Bill 1167 and advising that 68 of the 70 Mid-Connecticut Project towns were on record opposing 1167. He said many town officials have been extraordinarily effective in communicating their positions, making the Senate in particular and the legislature much better informed about the impact 1167 would have on the towns. He said SB 1167 is still on the Senate calendar, and while CRRA has been told the bill would be removed it is not expected to happen. He said CRRA has contacted the legislature and the Governor's office to explain CRRA's position on the bill and he is optimistic that the bill as written will not pass. He said the bill could be voted on or amended onto another bill, but with the towns' involvement that looks less likely every day.

Mr. Stein asked whether anyone had heard from Sen. John Fonfara's office as to whether he still planned on attending today's MAC meeting. **Mr. Nonnenmacher** said he never heard back from Sen. Fonfara's office. **Mr. Stein** said his assistant had contacted him and said he would attend.

Mr. Gormley asked whether SB 1167 or SB 1170 would turn over the Mid-Connecticut trash-to-energy facility to another entity. **Mr. Kirk** said it was SB 1167. **Mr. Gormley** said at a meeting of the Connecticut Conference of Municipalities ("CCM") on May 17 members voted unanimously to oppose SB 1167. **Mr. Kirk** said he wasn't aware of that and thanked Mr. Gormley for the information.

Mr. Adams said there is some overlapping of 1167 and SB 1170. He said Sen. Fonfara attended the Central Connecticut Solid Waste Authority meeting last week and as a result the Capitol Region Council of Governments ("CRCOG") empowered CRCOG's legislative committee to draft legislation that would combine 1170 and 1167. He said the CRCOG proposal has three sections:

- Section 1 includes language passed by the MAC regarding restructuring of the CRRA Board.
- Section 2 would establish that towns would not be required to sign new municipal service agreements ("MSAs") before November 1, 2011, and would preserve any contractual rights municipalities have for a later deadline.
- Section 3 is a redraft of 1167 and provides a less litigious or at least opportunity for a less litigious handover of the CRRA facility. He said that section is merely in there for discussion purposes and that CRCOG felt it needed to bring something to the MAC for action this morning so the legislative process to move forward.

Ms. Dyer notes that Sen. Fonfara has arrived offers him the opportunity to speak to the MAC regarding SB 1167.

Sen. Fonfara thanked everyone for the opportunity to speak. He said his bill it is a rather simple proposal, and after his discussions last week he was hoping he would be able to present something that gives people greater comfort to folks. He said he believes the Mid-Connecticut trash-to-energy facility belongs to the towns, they paid to have it built and should make decisions as to how it should be used. He said CRRA's asking towns to sign MSAs now is premature, and that he doesn't believe the towns can make a proper decision with the information available.

Sen. Fonfara said until the towns are able to make that decision with respect to the value of this facility, he believes them to be at a disadvantage. He said his objective is to slow down the process and give the towns control over the fate of that facility and all the information they need to reduce the cost of the disposal of waste. He said he hopes that everyone can come together and craft language for a moratorium on the signing of contracts which, he said, the legislature can impose on CRRA. He said he wants to establish a process under which the Office of Policy & Management ("OPM"), in consultation with the towns, would determine who should manage the facility.

Sen. Fonfara said his interest in this matter is threefold:

- He represents the area in which the plant is located.
- He chairs the legislature's Energy & Technology Committee which has some interest in what happens with this plant.
- He represents two Mid-Connecticut Project towns, Wethersfield and Hartford.

He said he has put forward language that would remove the MDC from playing any role in the management of that facility. He said he would prefer to move one bill that addresses the issues towns are facing today.

Mr. Stein said he served on two committees, one of which he chaired with Mr. Gormley, that went through a fairly rigorous process to recommend a restructuring of the CRRA board to give the towns more direct input. He said that is the major reason SB 1170 was introduced and Sen. Kevin Witkos, who represents many of the towns in the northwest part of the state, has been involved in this process. He said SB 1167 as first introduced stopped a process that had been making progress. He said SB 1170 should be implemented.

Sen. Fonfara said that would be fine if the towns weren't faced with having to make a decision. He said the towns built and financed that facility, and to have only one entity be the owner of that facility puts towns at a disadvantage. He said he understood that had other vendors had access to that facility they could offer more attractive rates for disposal. He was concerned that towns were being put into a position to make the decisions prematurely and with limited information.

Mr. Eno said he believed the MAC's prevailing sentiment is to deal strictly with the governance issue. He said he and the leaders of many other towns believe Sen. Fonfara's sense that towns have been under the gun, the process hasn't been properly defined and towns haven't been given enough time to consider their options are may be misplaced. He said he is very comfortable with what has gone on, that the selection of an operator for the plant went on for about a year and that towns were kept in the loop as to how that process was evolving. He said bringing in concerns other than governance would muddy the waters and detract from efforts to improve the governance structure.

Mr. Gormley said SB 1167 is about the worst possible solution to a possible problem that could be developed. He said Sen. Fonfara has suggested eliminating an organization that seems to be getting its act together, becoming stronger in its management and more open in its dealings, and replacing it with some other unnamed, unknown, undocumented organization.

Sen. Fonfara said CRRA has been battling with municipalities for years and has incurred substantial legal fees in doing so. He added there has been no decision made about who/what that entity would be, and CRRA would not be precluded from being that entity. He said he does not know where people get the message that his intent is to put another organization out of business. He said the overhead of the administration of CRRA is similar to what it was when CRRA was a statewide organization, compared to one that in essence oversees one facility. He said his committee has considered proposals for three or four years regarding the membership of the board. He said it was almost as if someone were suggesting it was him against the towns. He said legislation could be written to allow towns to make decisions in consultation with OPM.

Ms. Dyer asked what prompted Sen. Fonfara to author this bill without conferring with the Mid-Connecticut Project towns. **Sen. Fonfara** said he feels there needs to be a discussion among all the parties to determine the best solution, and his bill was an important step in facilitating that discussion.

Mr. Adams asked Sen. Fonfara whether the contractual moratorium he was proposing would leave in place CRRA's operating contracts. **Sen. Fonfara** said his objective would be a process to ensure continuity, and that he wants to give towns the comfort of knowing that they can move ahead with some certainty over the next year and half or so.

Mr. Adams said the CRCOG Legislative Committee proposed language that would extend towns' deadlines for signing new MSAs to Nov. 1, 2011, which he said was a reasonable amount of time to make these decisions. **Sen. Fonfara** said he has not seen that language but he believes towns should see proposals that would allow any entity to use the Mid-Connecticut facility. **Mr. Adams** said the Central Connecticut Solid Waste Authority ("CCSWA") is discussing with CRRA an additional MSA option that could have more favorable terms than the current MSAs CRRA is offering, and those discussions could be concluded in time for towns to make their decisions by Nov. 1.

Sen. Fonfara said no other vendor can price its service based on use of the Mid-Connecticut plant. **Mr. Adams** said CCSWA is considering a number of proposals with firm prices for managing solid waste, and that CRRA charges its net cost of operation and has provided projections of what that might be over the life of the next MSA.

Mr. Gormley said CCM met on May 17 and voted unanimously to fight against that legislation, and that he would like the MAC to vote to see whether its results would match the CCM vote.

Mr. Barlow said the towns have been working to get more power and there is some reluctance to accept a merely consultative position. He said he would prefer the towns to make any decisions rather than OPM. **Sen. Fonfara** said he wants to empower towns to make decisions not under artificial timetables and to structure a board to work through CRRA if they choose.

Mr. Griswold said he is a member of the CRRA board and discussed the progress CRRA has made since the Enron debacle. He said CRRA went through an extensive process to identify a new operator for the facility, and that discussion about new MSAs has gone on for quite a long while. He said CRRA held meetings with towns to solicit their input on the structuring of the MSAs, and that input has been incorporated into the new MSAs. He said he doesn't think any town could say that it has not had an opportunity to understand what the MSAs are about and what CRRA is about, and that to open it up at this time to any number of companies who might come in and push management out and bring in another company to supervise is madness. **Sen. Fonfara** said all he has to go on is the evidence he has observed.

Mr. Eno said that if the towns resolve the governance issue in a straight-ahead clean, forward legislative fashion then it becomes the board's responsibility to be determine appropriate staffing levels, and the sense of duress **Sen. Fonfara** believes towns are feeling is not as pronounced as he may feel.

Mr. Stein said he doesn't see the two issues being mutually exclusive. He said SB 1170 would create a board entirely comprised of municipal officials, giving the towns input into how CRRA operates. He said what the MAC is proposing is exactly what **Sen. Fonfara** is proposing, namely giving the towns the opportunity to restructure CRRA.

Sen. Fonfara said the one area he suspects in which everyone does not agree is that the present situation forces towns to make decisions that will impact them for several years, though he said he is not privy to the MSAs CRRA has proposed.

Ms. Dyer said the terms of the new MSAs run from five to 15 years. She then asked **Sen. Fonfara** who he is to sit there and say the MAC members don't know what's best for them. **Sen. Fonfara** said he put

forth a proposal for towns to consider, and that he has heard about the deadline for signing MSAs, and that is why he did what he has done.

Mr. Paul said it seems there is confusion about what the proposed legislation says and asked Sen. Fonfara to summarize the legislation and his intent. **Sen. Fonfara** said his intent was to establish a moratorium on the MSA process, and to allow proposals from other vendors who would use the Mid-Connecticut plant. He said once a new board is in place, it could entertain all such proposals.

Mr. Gormley asked Mr. Adams whether CCSWA is doing is essentially the same thing that Sen. Fonfara is proposing but without the legislation. **Mr. Adams** said the short answer is yes, and the long answer is that there is the CRRA option, and there is the private option and the privatized option may involve ultimate disposal at the CRRA facility or may involve ultimate disposal at other facilities. **Mr. Gormley** said his point is that Sen. Fonfara suggested that we have no process by which we can consider alternatives to CRRA as a management entity, but in fact CCSWA is exactly that without involving more complicated state legislation involving OPM, the contracts and the like.

Mr. Barlow said he is chair of CCSWA and explained CCSWA's competitive solicitation.

Mr. Gormley said he would prefer to stay with an entity that has a tremendous track record than bring somebody in at the 11th hour. He said many years ago he worked for Combustion Engineering, which had an association with the Metropolitan District that terrible and pathetic. He said he does not see anyone else in the state that has the expertise to run the plant. He said SB 1170 would give the towns more control and would like to get a consensus of what the MAC thinks.

Ms. Dyer asks whether anyone objects.

Ms. Therrien said her town has come out against SB 1167, but she hears Sen. Fonfara saying he will work to change the wording and that the CRCOG group is trying to do. **Sen. Fonfara** said the bill was a starting point for a conversation about giving towns the ability to make decisions outside of an imposed deadline and with the proper information, and he would like to do that in the time remaining in the legislative session. **Ms. Dyer** asked whether that meant a decision on legislation by June 8. Sen. Fonfara said a decision would have to be made by then.

Dr. Painter said the City of Hartford has a particular problem with the whole process, one that is different from other towns' concerns. He said the city has an agreement with CRRA to manage the Hartford landfill for the next 30 years, at a considerable expense to CRRA. He said CRRA has advanced some rather creative ideas such as putting solar panels on the landfill. He said Hartford also receives host-community payments and other benefits including funding for recycling. He said he was concerned that Hartford would be stuck with management of the landfill at a cost of about \$1 million per year. **Sen. Fonfara** said he would not support any bill that would take any of those obligations from CRRA. **Dr. Painter** said seeing that in the legislation would have been helpful.

Ms. Dyer suggested that the MAC Governance Committee look at the language Mr. Adams prepared and work with Sen. Fonfara's office to develop something that the MAC could agree on. She said it was too bad Sen. Fonfara did not approach the MAC before he decided to file these bills. **Sen. Fonfara** said he appreciated the opportunity to meet with the MAC. **Ms. Dyer** said the MAC appreciated his presence and willingness to answer questions.

Mr. Barlow moved to have the Governance Committee work with Sen. Fonfara on new language. **Ms. Bransfield** seconded the motion.

Mr. Griswold asked whether the current bills would be put on hold while new language was being discussed. **Sen. Fonfara** said he believed the offer was in good faith and he would work with the MAC.

Ms. Dyer calls for a vote on the motion, which is approved by voice vote.

Mr. Barlow said he wished to resign from the Governance Committee, as it would be a potential conflict for him to serve on the Governance Committee while chairing CCSWA. **Ms. Dyer** accepts Mr. Barlow's resignation and designated Mr. Elsesser to join the Governance Committee, pending his acceptance.

Dr. Painter said he has some questions that he just doesn't understand. He said he has no personal animosity toward Sen. Fonfara, who has helped the city in many ways. He said he knows what CRRA is but doesn't have a clue as to what that other entity might be. He said he was concerned that if CRRA goes away, all the work MAC members have done to decide how to operate the facility could go into a cocked hat, and MDC and Covanta could be back in the saddle again. He said he doesn't understand how the towns can be thinking about another entity when they don't know what that entity looks like.

Ms. Dyer said she agreed and felt a lot of MAC members feel the same way.

After further discussion, **Mr. Barlow** said he would like the Governance Committee to craft language that would allow for other entities to bid on disposal using the Mid-Connecticut facility. **Mr. Kirk** said CRRA contemplated that possibility in its procurement. He said one proposal was exactly what Mr. Barlow suggested, which amounted to a privatization of the facility in which the private operator would make a profit and charge a disposal fee of about \$82 per ton.

Mr. Stein said he was just speaking with Sen. Fonfara outside the meeting room and Sen. Fonfara said he is available May 20, and that he would be willing to go to Hartford with other members of the Governance Committee. He said the meeting would be at 8 a.m. in Sen. Fonfara's office.

Mr. Hayden said MAC members have faith and trust in the Governance Committee but he has a problem with recent events. He said that for two to two and a half years, towns have recognized there was an issue and have dealt with it, and pushed and prodded CRRA to listen to and make significant changes. He said now it's the ninth inning and there's a new pitcher coming in and the MAC has to make a decision in 14 days outside of a process in which MAC members have gotten cooperation and concessions with CRRA." **Mr. Stein** agreed. **Mr. Barlow** said welcome to the legislative process. **Ms. Dyer** wished the Governance Committee good luck and asked it to keep MAC members informed.

Ms. Bransfield asked whether the Governance Committee's meetings are noticed. **Mr. Stein** said all meetings of the Governance Committee have been noticed. **Mr. Kirk** said Mr. Nonnenmacher is at the committee's disposal to handle all the noticing of meetings. **Mr. Stein** asked Mr. Kirk to have Mr. Nonnenmacher email members of the Governance Committee to determine who can attend the meeting in Sen. Fonfara's office on May 20.

6. MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT UPDATE

Mr. Kirk pointed MAC members to reports in the agenda package on each topic.

Mr. Kirk said trash deliveries and trends continue at about 2 percent below last year. He said trash deliveries have not rebounded because the economy has not rebounded. He said deliveries of recyclables continue to be very healthy, especially in light of decreases in trash. He said the recycling success is due to the spread of single-stream recycling and to CRRA's education and outreach efforts.

Mr. Kirk said the trash-to-energy plant's operational performance has improved significantly the past few months. He said after years of deferred maintenance following the Enron bankruptcy, CRRA is now back on schedule with its facility modification and refurbishment.

Mr. Kirk said the Mid-Connecticut Project is running a small surplus, less than \$500,000.00.

Mr. Kirk MDC's lawsuit against CRRA regarding its competitive solicitation is continuing. Mr. Barlow asked Mr. Kirk to summarize MDC's recent letter regarding the vendor selection. **Mr. Kirk** said the letter boils down to two arguments: One is that CRRA was biased against MDC, and the second was that CRRA's process was not competitive.

Mr. Kirk said a number of towns had asked for additional time beyond July 1 to consider the new MSAs. He said CRRA's original date was Dec. 31, 2010, but after discussing the matter with town leaders the deadline was moved to July 1, 2011. He said towns have asked for more time and CRRA is still considering how much flexibility it has. He explained CRRA needs commitments to secure the best price for electricity generated at the Mid-Connecticut plant, and the best way to get the best price is to demonstrate a guaranteed fuel supply. He said when CRRA's power is auctioned, every cent per kilowatt hour means about \$5.50 per ton on the disposal fee, so it's important to demonstrate a full plant when power is auctioned later this year.

Ms. Iino asked whether that point had been explained to Sen. Fonfara. **Mr. Kirk** said it had, and that he believes Sen. Fonfara's problem is the operating contract. He said with the change in operators, there are other parties involved here that are intent on not seeing that happen.

Mr. Egan explained that CRRA's electric purchase agreements expire next May and June, but CRRA needs to have contracts in place before finalizing the FY 2013 Mid-Connecticut Project budget in February 2012. He said with more uncertainty in the plant's fuel supply, the plant's power will attract lower prices and thus drive up disposal fees.

Mr. Adams asked what the NAES contract will save over existing contracts on operation of each side of the plant. Mr. Kirk said a memo to the CRRA board, which is available on CRRA's website, describes describe the cost advantages in dollars per ton of disposal fee. He said the NAES contract is much more cost-effective, and with the detail of the procurement process CRRA believes no reasonable person could argue that the best interest of the towns are not being served by that process.

7. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS FROM MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Questions for MAC members were addressed during the Mid-Connecticut Project Update.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Dyer offered members of the public the opportunity to comment. None chose to speak.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Gormley moved to adjourn. **Mr. Griswold** seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 10:33 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Nonnenmacher
Director of Public Affairs
CRRA Liaison

SECTION 2



MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DRAFT MINUTES
JUNE 2, 2011

The Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Council (“MAC”) held a special meeting on June 2, 2011, at the CRRA Trash Museum, 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut.

PARTICIPATING MUNICIPALITIES AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES:

<u>Town</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Last Name</u>	<u>Title/Proxy</u>
Avon	Richard	Barlow	Proxy for Town Manager Brandon Robertson
Barkhamsted	Donald	Stein	First Selectman
Bloomfield	John	Adams	Proxy for Mayor Sydney Schulman
Bolton	Bonnie	Therrien	Proxy for First Selectman Robert Morra
Canton	Richard	Barlow	First Selectman
Chester	Thomas	Marsh	First Selectman
Clinton	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman William Fritz
Colebrook	Susan	Dyer	Proxy for First Selectman Thomas McKeon
Cornwall	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Gordon Ridgway
Cromwell	John	Flanders	First Selectman
Deep River	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Richard Smith
Durham	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Laura Francis
East Granby	John	Adams	Proxy for First Selectman James Hayden
East Hartford	Marcia	Leclerc	Mayor (on telephone)
Essex	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for Town Manager Philip Miller
Farmington	Richard	Barlow	Proxy for Town Manager Kathleen Eagen
Glastonbury	Michael	Bisi	Proxy for Town Manager Richard Johnson
Goshen	John	Krukar	Proxy for First Selectman Robert Valentine (on telephone)
Granby	John	Adams	First Selectman
Haddam	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Paul DeStefano
Hartford	Robert	Painter	Proxy for Mayor Pedro Segarra
Harwinton	Susan	Dyer	Proxy for First Selectman Frank Chiaramonte
Hebron	Bonnie	Therrien	Town Manager
Killingworth	Catherine	Iino	First Selectman
Litchfield	Donald	Stein	Proxy for First Selectman Leo Paul
Lyme	Ralph	Eno	First Selectman
Manchester	Brooks	Parker	Proxy for Town Manager Scott Shanley
Middlebury	Thomas	Gormley	First Selectman
Middlefield	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Jon Brayshaw
Naugatuck	Sheila	Baummer	Proxy for Mayor Robert Mezzo
New Hartford	Donald	Stein	Proxy for First Selectman Daniel Jerram
Newington	John	Salomone	Town Manager
Norfolk	Susan	Dyer	First Selectman, M-CPMAC Vice-Chairman
Old Lyme	Timothy	Griswold	First Selectman
Old Saybrook	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Michael Pace
Oxford	Wayne	Watt	Proxy for First Selectman Mary Ann Drayton-Rogers
Portland	Susan	Bransfield	First Selectman
Rocky Hill	Larrye	deBear	Proxy for Town Manager Barbara Gilbert
Roxbury	Barbara	Henry	First Selectman (on telephone)
Salisbury	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Curtis Rand

Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee

Minutes of June 2, 2011

Page 2 of 6

<u>Town</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Last Name</u>	<u>Title/Proxy</u>
Sharon	Ralph	Eno	Proxy for First Selectman Douglas Humes
Simsbury	Thomas	Roy	Proxy for First Selectman Mary Glassman
Southbury	Wayne	Watt	Proxy for First Selectman Bill Davis
Tolland	Steven	Werbner	Town Manager
Torrington	Ryan	Bingham	Mayor, M-CPMAC Chairman
Waterbury	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for Mayor Michael Jarjura
Westbrook	Timothy	Griswold	Proxy for First Selectman Noel Bishop
Wethersfield	Jeff	Bridges	Town Manager
Windsor Locks	John	Adams	Proxy for First Selectman Steven Wawruck

CRRA MANAGEMENT ATTENDEES:

Thomas D. Kirk, President, Ex-Officio Member

Paul Nonnenmacher, Director of Public Affairs, CRRA Liaison

Peter W. Egan, Director of Operations & Environmental Affairs

Marianne Carcio, Administrative Assistant

Ronald Gingerich, Manager of Development, Environmental Compliance & Information Technology

James P. Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer

Laurie Hunt, Managing Director of Legal Affairs

Thomas D. Ritter

Peter Boucher

Alan Curto

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT:

Patricia Shea, Southeastern Connecticut Regional Resource Recovery Authority; Jerry Tyminski, Southeastern Connecticut Regional Resource Recovery Authority; Don Mara, Sandler & Mara; Steve Diaz, Covanta Energy; Cheryl Thibeault, Covanta Energy; Joe Perrelli, Council of Governments of the Central Naugatuck Valley;

1. CALL TO ORDER

Municipal Advisory Committee **Vice Chairman Susan Dyer** called the meeting to order at 1:43 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Those in attendance stood and recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ROLL CALL

Mr. Nonnenmacher called the roll and announced that 47 members were in attendance, participating by telephone or represented with valid proxies, and thus a quorum was present.

4. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO GOVERNANCE OF CRRA

Mr. Stein reported on the activities of the Governance Committee. He said during the Connecticut Council of Small Towns event at the Capitol on May 26 Sen. Kevin Witkos invited him, **Mr. Barlow**, **Ms. Dyer** and Thomas McKeon, first selectman of Colebrook, to meet Sen. John Fonfara, who had appeared before the MAC May 18 to discuss legislation intended to change the structure of CRRA's Board of Directors. He said their discussion produced language that mimics language approved by the

MAC, but does not guarantee a seat on the board for Hartford as had been agreed to by the MAC, and creates a subset of the board which would have management authority over the Mid-Connecticut Project. He apologized for bringing this language to the MAC on short notice. (See attached language dated June 1, 2011.)

Ms. Dyer asked whether this new structure would impact the contract CRRA signed with NAES Corporation to manage the Mid-Connecticut trash-to-energy plant. **Mr. Stein** said he did not think so.

Mr. Eno asked whether a vote on this new language would be taken at this meeting. **Ms. Dyer** said she did not think a vote should be taken at this meeting and suggested a voting deadline of Monday, June 6. MAC Chairman Ryan Bingham had directed that balloting be conducted electronically in order to give all 70 municipalities a chance to vote. **Mr. Stein** said MAC action is not binding on the legislature. **Mr. Eno** said even a June 6 deadline would not be sufficient time to review the language, calling the subset provision a recipe for disaster, and moved to table the language until after the legislative session so legal counsel could review it. **Mr. deBear** seconded.

Mr. Barlow said there had not been sufficient discussion before considering the motion to table. **Mr. Gormley** said if the MAC does nothing, the legislature could take action anyway. **Mr. Salomone** said people want to discuss the language and the motion to table does not allow for further discussion. **Ms. Dyer** called for a vote, and the motion to table failed 10-37. Voting in favor of the motion to table were **Mr. Eno** (plus the six proxies he carried), **Mr. Krukar**, **Mr. deBear** and **Ms. Henry**.

The MAC resumed an extensive discussion of the language, its potential implications and its development. **Mr. Gormley** said he did not like the May 18 MAC meeting but credited Sen. Fonfara for hearing concerns voiced at that meeting and going the distance to accommodate them.

Mr. Elsesser said he voted against the motion to table because this discussion is important. He said the MAC spent six months discussing the governance of CRRA but questioned the creation of a new board in one day.

Mr. Kirk said CRRA's legal counsel had conducted a brief review of the new language that raised a number of potential effects, including CRRA's defaulting on its bonds, which could trigger a series of events leading to the sudden termination of the 70 Mid-Connecticut Project towns' municipal service agreements (MSAs); and the possibility that a superboard consisting of three of the 15 board members could make decisions that currently require the approval of eight of the 11 directors. **Mr. Elsesser** said he had similar concerns and that if Sen. Fonfara is serious about giving towns more time to sign new MSAs his language should be much more narrowly focused.

Mr. Adams asked whether the CRRA board has a manual of policies governing its committees. **Mr. Kirk** said CRRA does have policies, but they are governed by statutes. **Mr. Barlow** said decisions are already being made without participation of ad-hoc members, and **Mr. Kirk** explained the difference between ad-hoc board members and directors. **Mr. Curto** said the language gives exclusive power to what Mr. Kirk called the superboard. **Mr. Curto** also asked how a board created by this language would handle the inherent conflicts between the interests of Mid-Connecticut cities and towns and the interests of the state.

Ms. Bransfield suggested striking the portion of the language that creates the subset of the board to manage the Mid-Connecticut facility. She said she was very concerned about discussing a proposal that

has not been fully vetted, but also did not want to see months of the MAC's work on the issue go to waste.

Ms. Henry asked what would happen to new MSAs that have already been signed. **Mr. Stein** said he is not a lawyer but believed that any contracts that had been signed would remain in force. **Ms. Henry** said she was concerned that all decisions would be in the hands of this new board, including a decision on whether to hand over the plant to an unnamed entity.

Mr. Boucher said it was not clear whether CRRA could honor its commitments under a new board. He said boards are pledged to give their undivided loyalty to the mission of the entity, but a subset of this board would have a different mission and there could be times the board and the subset could have conflicting missions. He said he would have to review all of CRRA's contracts to determine the full impact of the new language. **Mr. Barlow** said his fundamental concern is that CRRA has a statewide mission that is being funded by the 70 Mid-Connecticut Project cities and towns.

Mr. Flanders moved to recommend approval of the language after striking the sections that would create a subset of the board and impose a moratorium on CRRA's signing contracts related to the Mid-Connecticut facility. **Ms. Henry** seconded the motion.

Mr. Adams offered a friendly amendment to guarantee a seat on the new board for Hartford. **Mr. Barlow** suggested striking language pertaining to ad-hoc board members.

Mr. Salomone said he was concerned that this is very complex language requiring further discussion, and he was not comfortable making amendments on the fly.

Mr. Stein said Sen. Fonfara that there is data out there and there are better deals out there and he wants to give towns more time to make decisions, and that the MAC should make its best effort to show the legislature what it wants.

Mr. Marsh asked what the genesis of the moratorium was. **Mr. Stein** said it was to ensure a smooth transition from one board to another. **Mr. Gormley** said Sen. Fonfara pushed for it. **Mr. Stein** said Sen. Fonfara's intention was to give towns enough time to make the best possible decision.

Mr. Griswold asked why it was necessary to rush into something to meet a false deadline imposed by the legislature. **Mr. Gormley** said he was concerned that Sen. Fonfara would act with or without the MAC's input.

Mr. deBear said Rocky Hill has already signed a new MSA and that the language pertaining to the moratorium was unclear at best and possibly opens the door for a lot of mischief. He said the MAC would be better served as going on record as asking Sen. Fonfara and his committee and the MAC Governance Committee to spend the summer and the fall ironing out all these issues.

Mr. Elsesser said whatever the outcome the MAC should send a letter of appreciation to Sen. Fonfara for working with the MAC on this issue.

Mr. Kirk said the CRRA board was ready to meet with the MAC Governance Committee, but before the meeting could be held SB 1170 was introduced. **Mr. Gormley** said he wanted on the record that the

Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee

Minutes of June 2, 2011

Page 5 of 6

Governance Committee brought its recommendation to the CRRA board in February but did not get the respect it deserved.

Ms. Bransfield called the legislative maneuvering a tactic used to stop people from making decisions. She said she didn't know whose purpose it was serving, but it was working.

After further discussion, **Ms. Dyer** read the changes to the language suggested by the MAC. **Mr. Gormley** moved to accept Ms. Dyer's clarification. **Mr. Stein** seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Dyer announced voting would be conducted electronically using SurveyMonkey and would be open through noon on June 6. **Mr. Barlow** asked that voting results be distributed.

The language (see attached language dated June 2, 2011) was approved by a vote of 26-8:

Town/City	First Name	Last Name	Title	Approve	Disapprove
Barkhamsted	Donald	Stein	First Selectman	X	
Bloomfield	Sydney	Schulman	Mayor	X	
Canton	Richard	Barlow	First Selectman	X	
Chester	Thomas	Marsh	First Selectman		X
Clinton	William	Fritz	First Selectman		X
Coventry	John	Elsesser	Town Manager	X	
Cromwell	John	Flanders	First Selectman	X	
East Granby	James	Hayden	First Selectman	X	
East Hartford	Marcia	Leclerc	Mayor	X	
Farmington	Kathleen	Eagen	Town Manager	X	
Granby	John	Adams	First Selectman	X	
Hartford	Robert	Painter	Court of Common Council	X	
Hebron	Bonnie	Therrien	Town Manager	X	
Killingworth	Catherine	Iino	First Selectman	X	
Litchfield	Leo	Paul	First Selectman	X	
Lyme	Ralph	Eno	First Selectman	X	
Manchester	Brooks	Parker	Environmental Services Manager		X
Middlebury	Thomas	Gormley	First Selectman	X	
Newington	John	Salomone	Town Manager	X	
Norfolk	Susan	Dyer	First Selectman	X	
Old Lyme	Timothy	Griswold	First Selectman		X
Portland	Susan	Bransfield	First Selectman	X	
Roxbury	Barbara	Henry	First Selectman		X
Salisbury	Curtis	Rand	First Selectman	X	
Simsbury	Thomas	Roy	Director of Public Works	X	
South Windsor	Matthew	Galligan	Town Manager		X
Southbury	Bill	Davis	First Selectman	X	
Suffield	Tom	Frenaye	First Selectman	X	
Thomaston	Edmond	Mone	First Selectman	X	
Tolland	Steven	Werbner	Town Manager	X	
Torrington	Ryan	Bingham	Mayor	X	
Wethersfield	Jeff	Bridges	Town Manager	X	
Winchester	Dale	Martin	Town Manager		X
Windsor Locks	Steven	Wawruck	First Selectman		X

5. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Gormley moved to adjourn. **Ms. Therrien** seconded. The motion passed unanimously and the meeting was adjourned at 3:37 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Nonnenmacher
Director of Public Affairs
CRRA Liaison



WORKING DRAFT

General Assembly

Amendment

January Session, 2011

LCO No. 8028

*SB0117008
028*

Offered by:

To: Subst. Senate Bill No. 1170 File No. 463 Cal. No.

"AN ACT CONCERNING THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS."

1 Strike everything after the enacting clause and substitute the
2 following in lieu thereof:

3 "Section 1. Section 22a-261 of the general statutes is repealed and the
4 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2011*):

5 (a) There is hereby established and created a body politic and
6 corporate, constituting a public instrumentality and political
7 subdivision of the state of Connecticut established and created for the
8 performance of an essential public and governmental function, to be
9 known as the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority. The
10 authority shall not be construed to be a department, institution or
11 agency of the state.

12 (b) On and before May 31, 2002, the powers of the authority shall be
13 vested in and exercised by a board of directors, which shall consist of

14 twelve directors: Four appointed by the Governor and two ex-officio
15 members, who shall have a vote including the Commissioner of
16 Transportation and the Commissioner of Economic and Community
17 Development; two appointed by the president pro tempore of the
18 Senate, two by the speaker of the House, one by the minority leader of
19 the Senate and one by the minority leader of the House of
20 Representatives. Any such legislative appointee may be a member of
21 the General Assembly. The directors appointed by the Governor under
22 this subsection shall serve for terms of four years each, from January
23 first next succeeding their appointment, provided, of the directors first
24 appointed, two shall serve for terms of two years, and two for terms of
25 four years, from January first next succeeding their appointment. Any
26 vacancy occurring under this subsection other than by expiration of
27 term shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment for
28 the balance of the unexpired term. Of the four members appointed by
29 the Governor under this subsection, two shall be first selectmen,
30 mayors or managers of Connecticut municipalities; one from a
31 municipality with a population of less than fifty thousand, one from a
32 municipality of over fifty thousand population; two shall be public
33 members without official governmental office or status with extensive
34 high-level experience in municipal or corporate finance or business or
35 industry, provided not more than two of such appointees shall be
36 members of the same political party. The chairman of the board under
37 this subsection shall be appointed by the Governor, with the advice
38 and consent of both houses of the General Assembly and shall serve at
39 the pleasure of the Governor. Notwithstanding the provisions of this
40 subsection, the terms of all members of the board of directors who are
41 serving on May 31, 2002, shall expire on said date.

42 (c) [On and after] From June 1, 2002, to June 30, 2011, inclusive, the
43 powers of the authority shall be vested in and exercised by a board of
44 directors, which shall consist of eleven directors as follows: Three
45 appointed by the Governor, one of whom shall be a municipal official
46 of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand or less and one
47 of whom shall have extensive, high-level experience in the energy

48 field; two appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one of
49 whom shall be a municipal official of a municipality having a
50 population of more than fifty thousand and one of whom shall have
51 extensive high-level experience in public or corporate finance or
52 business or industry; two appointed by the speaker of the House of
53 Representatives, one of whom shall be a municipal official of a
54 municipality having a population of more than fifty thousand and one
55 of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or
56 corporate finance or business or industry; two appointed by the
57 minority leader of the Senate, one of whom shall be a municipal official
58 of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand or less and one
59 of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or
60 corporate finance or business or industry; two appointed by the
61 minority leader of the House of Representatives, one of whom shall be
62 a municipal official of a municipality having a population of fifty
63 thousand or less and one of whom shall have extensive, high-level
64 experience in the environmental field. No director may be a member of
65 the General Assembly. Not more than two of the directors appointed
66 by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The
67 appointed directors shall serve for terms of four years each, provided,
68 of the directors first appointed for terms beginning on June 1, 2002, (1)
69 two of the directors appointed by the Governor, one of the directors
70 appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one of the
71 directors appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives,
72 one of the directors appointed by the minority leader of the Senate and
73 one of the directors appointed by the minority leader of the House of
74 Representatives shall serve an initial term of two years and one month,
75 and (2) the other appointed directors shall serve an initial term of four
76 years and one month. The appointment of each director for a term
77 beginning on or after June 1, 2004, shall be made with the advice and
78 consent of both houses of the General Assembly. The Governor shall
79 designate one of the directors to serve as chairperson of the board,
80 with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly.
81 The chairperson of the board shall serve at the pleasure of the
82 Governor. Any appointed director who fails to attend three

83 consecutive meetings of the board or who fails to attend fifty per cent
84 of all meetings of the board held during any calendar year shall be
85 deemed to have resigned from the board. Any vacancy occurring other
86 than by expiration of term shall be filled in the same manner as the
87 original appointment for the balance of the unexpired term. As used in
88 this subsection, "municipal official" means the first selectman, mayor,
89 city or town manager or chief financial officer of a municipality that
90 has entered into a solid waste disposal services contract with the
91 authority and pledged the municipality's full faith and credit for the
92 payment of obligations under such contract. Notwithstanding the
93 provisions of this subsection, the terms of all members on the board of
94 directors who are serving on June 30, 2011, shall expire upon
95 appointment of the board pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.

96 (d) On and after July 1, 2011, the powers of the authority shall be
97 vested in and exercised by a board of directors, which shall consist of
98 fifteen directors as follows: Five municipal officials, one each
99 appointed by the Governor, the president pro tempore of the Senate,
100 the speaker of the House of Representatives, the minority leader of the
101 Senate and the minority leader of the House of Representatives; five
102 representatives of municipalities having a population of thirty
103 thousand or more, each of whom shall be elected by the vote of all
104 municipalities having a contractual relationship with the authority;
105 and five representatives of municipalities having a population of less
106 than thirty thousand, each of whom shall be elected by the vote of all
107 municipalities having a contractual relationship with the authority. No
108 more than six of the ten directors elected to the board may be from
109 municipalities served by the authority's Mid-Connecticut Project. The
110 appointed directors shall serve for terms of four years each, provided,
111 of the directors first appointed for terms beginning on July 1, 2011, the
112 directors appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate and the
113 speaker of the House of Representatives shall serve an initial term of
114 two years and one month and the other appointed directors shall serve
115 an initial term of four years and one month. Five of the elected
116 directors shall serve an initial term of two years and five of the elected

117 directors shall serve an initial term of four years. No director shall
118 serve more than eight consecutive years. The Governor shall appoint
119 one of the directors to serve as chairperson of the board who shall
120 serve at the pleasure of the Governor. Any director who fails to attend
121 three consecutive meetings of the board or who fails to attend fifty per
122 cent of all meetings of the board held during any calendar year shall be
123 deemed to have resigned from the board. Any vacancy occurring other
124 than by expiration of term shall be filled in the same manner as the
125 original appointment for the balance of the unexpired term. As used in
126 this subsection, "municipal official" means the first selectman, mayor,
127 city or town manager or chief financial officer of a municipality that
128 has entered into a solid waste disposal services contract with the
129 authority and pledged the municipality's full faith and credit for the
130 payment of obligations under such contract. Appointments pursuant
131 to this subsection shall be made within ninety days of the effective date
132 of this section.

133 [(d)] (e) The chairperson shall, with the approval of the directors,
134 appoint a president of the authority who shall be an employee of the
135 authority and paid a salary prescribed by the directors. The president
136 shall supervise the administrative affairs and technical activities of the
137 authority in accordance with the directives of the board.

138 [(e)] (f) Each director shall be entitled to reimbursement for said
139 director's actual and necessary expenses incurred during the
140 performance of said director's official duties.

141 [(f)] (g) Directors may engage in private employment, or in a
142 profession or business, subject to any applicable laws, rules and
143 regulations of the state or federal government regarding official ethics
144 or conflict of interest.

145 [(g) Six] (h) Eight directors of the authority shall constitute a
146 quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any
147 power of the authority, provided, two directors from municipal
148 government shall be present in order for a quorum to be in attendance.

149 For the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the
150 authority, and except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the
151 authority shall have power to act by a majority of the directors present
152 at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance. If the legislative
153 body of a municipality that is the site of a facility passes a resolution
154 requesting the Governor to appoint a resident of such municipality to
155 be an ad hoc member, the Governor shall make such appointment
156 upon the next vacancy for the ad hoc members representing such
157 facility. The Governor shall appoint with the advice and consent of the
158 General Assembly ad hoc members to represent each facility operated
159 by the authority, with the exception of the Mid-Connecticut Waste-to-
160 Energy Facility, provided at least one-half of such members shall be
161 chief elected officials of municipalities, or their designees. Each such
162 facility shall be represented by two such members. The ad hoc
163 members shall be electors from a municipality or municipalities in the
164 area to be served by the facility and shall vote only on matters
165 concerning such facility. The terms of the ad hoc members shall be four
166 years.

167 [(h) There is established, effective June 1, 2002, a steering committee
168 of the board of directors, consisting of at least three but not more than
169 five directors, who shall be jointly appointed by the Governor, the
170 president pro tempore of the Senate and the speaker of the House of
171 Representatives. Said committee shall consist of at least one director
172 who is a municipal official, as defined in subsection (c) of this section.
173 The steering committee shall forthwith establish a financial
174 restructuring plan for the authority, subject to the approval of the
175 board of directors, and shall implement said plan. The financial
176 restructuring plan shall determine the financial condition of the
177 authority and provide for mitigation of the impact of the Connecticut
178 Resources Recovery Authority-Enron-Connecticut Light and Power
179 Company transaction on municipalities which have entered into solid
180 waste disposal services contracts with the authority. The steering
181 committee shall also review all aspects of the authority's finances and
182 administration, including but not limited to, tipping fees and

183 adjustments to such fees, the annual budget of the authority, any
184 budget transfers, any use of the authority's reserves, all contracts
185 entered into by or on behalf of the authority, including but not limited
186 to, an assessment of the alignment of interests between the authority
187 and the authority's contractors, all financings or restructuring of debts,
188 any sale or other disposition or valuation of assets of the authority,
189 including sales of electricity and steam, any joint ventures and
190 strategic partnerships, and the initiation and resolution of litigation,
191 arbitration and other disputes. The steering committee (1) shall have
192 access to all information, files and records maintained by the authority,
193 (2) may retain consultants and utilize other resources necessary to
194 carry out its responsibilities under this subsection, which have a total
195 cost of not more than five hundred thousand dollars, without the
196 approval of the board of directors, and may draw on accounts of the
197 authority for such costs, and (3) shall submit a report to the board of
198 directors and the General Assembly, in accordance with section 11-4a,
199 on its findings, progress and recommendations for future action by the
200 board of directors in carrying out the purposes of this subsection, not
201 later than December 31, 2002. Said report shall also include a report on
202 any loans made to the authority under section 22a-268d. The steering
203 committee shall terminate on December 31, 2002, unless extended by
204 the board.]

205 (i) The board may delegate to three or more directors such board
206 powers and duties as it may deem necessary and proper in conformity
207 with the provisions of this chapter and its bylaws. At least one of such
208 directors shall be a municipal official, as defined in subsection (c) of
209 this section, and at least one of such directors shall not be a state
210 employee.

211 (j) Appointed directors may not designate a representative to
212 perform in their absence their respective duties under this chapter.

213 (k) The term "director", as used in this section, shall include such
214 persons so designated as provided in this section and this designation
215 shall be deemed temporary only and shall not affect any applicable

216 civil service or retirement rights of any person so designated.

217 (l) The appointing authority for any director may remove such
218 director for inefficiency, neglect of duty or misconduct in office after
219 giving the director a copy of the charges against the director and an
220 opportunity to be heard, in person or by counsel, in the director's
221 defense, upon not less than ten days' notice. If any director shall be so
222 removed, the appointing authority for such director shall file in the
223 office of the Secretary of the State a complete statement of charges
224 made against such director and the appointing authority's findings on
225 such statement of charges, together with a complete record of the
226 proceedings.

227 (m) The authority shall continue as long as it has bonds or other
228 obligations outstanding and until its existence is terminated by law.
229 Upon the termination of the existence of the authority, all its rights and
230 properties shall pass to and be vested in the state of Connecticut.

231 (n) The directors, members and officers of the authority and any
232 person executing the bonds or notes of the authority shall not be liable
233 personally on such bonds or notes or be subject to any personal
234 liability or accountability by reason of the issuance thereof, nor shall
235 any director, member or officer of the authority be personally liable for
236 damage or injury, not wanton or wilful, caused in the performance of
237 such person's duties and within the scope of such person's
238 employment or appointment as such director, member or officer.

239 (o) Notwithstanding provisions of this section to the contrary,
240 within ninety days of the effective date of this section, the power and
241 authority to make any and all decisions concerning or affecting the
242 Mid-Connecticut Project shall be vested in and exercised by seven
243 members, hereinafter, the Mid-Connecticut Board, of the authority
244 board of directors established under subsection (d) of this section, all
245 of whom from municipalities served by the Mid-Connecticut Project,
246 and including the representative elected by the Court of Common
247 Council of the city of Hartford. In the event more than seven members

248 of the authority board of directors are from municipalities served by
249 the Mid-Connecticut Project, the seven members of the Mid-
250 Connecticut Board shall include the representative elected by the
251 Court of Common Council of the city of Hartford and six authority
252 board of director members from municipalities served by the Mid-
253 Connecticut Project, elected by those board of director members whose
254 municipalities are served by the Mid-Connecticut Project and who
255 were elected by a vote of all municipalities having a contractual
256 relationship with the authority. Any action taken by the Mid-Conn
257 Board, unless otherwise contrary to law, shall be binding upon the
258 authority. Four members of the Mid-Conn Board shall constitute a
259 quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any of its
260 powers, said board shall act by a majority of the directors present at
261 any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance. The members of the
262 Mid-Conn Board shall choose, by majority vote, one member to serve
263 as chairperson. The provisions of subsections (f), (g), (j) and (n) of this
264 section shall apply to the Mid-Connecticut Board and its members.
265 Members of the Mid-Connecticut Board shall serve terms and be
266 subject to term limits established under subsection (d) of this section .

267

268 [(o)] (p) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to the
269 contrary, it shall not constitute a conflict of interest for a trustee,
270 director, partner or officer of any person, firm or corporation, or any
271 individual having a financial interest in a person, firm or corporation,
272 to serve as a director of the authority, provided such trustee, director,
273 partner, officer or individual shall abstain from deliberation, action or
274 vote by the authority in specific respect to such person, firm or
275 corporation.

Comment [JP1]: 22a-00--0261---K;;;;;

276 Sec. 2. (*Effective from passage*) Until such time as the board of
277 directors established pursuant to subsection (d) of section 1 of this act
278 is constituted, there shall be a moratorium prohibiting the authority
279 from entering into any agreement or extending any existing agreement
280 relating in any way to the Mid-Connecticut Trash to Energy Facility, or

281 any component thereof. Without limiting the scope of the foregoing,
282 this prohibition shall extend to municipal service agreements. Any
283 such agreement entered into or executed during the moratorium shall
284 be void. Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, in the event of
285 any emergency declared or subsequently ratified by the authority
286 concerning said facility, said authority may contract with third parties,
287 public or private, for any purpose relating to such emergency,
288 provided the term of any such contract shall not extend for a period of
289 more than ninety days after such emergency is declared or ratified.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:		
Section 1	<i>July 1, 2011</i>	22a-261
Sec. 2	<i>from passage</i>	New section



WORKING DRAFT

General Assembly

Amendment

January Session, 2011

LCO No. 8028

*SB0117008

028*

Offered by:

To: Subst. Senate Bill No. 1170

File No. 463

Cal. No.

"AN ACT CONCERNING THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS."

1 Strike everything after the enacting clause and substitute the
2 following in lieu thereof:

3 "Section 1. Section 22a-261 of the general statutes is repealed and the
4 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2011*):

5 (a) There is hereby established and created a body politic and
6 corporate, constituting a public instrumentality and political
7 subdivision of the state of Connecticut established and created for the
8 performance of an essential public and governmental function, to be
9 known as the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority. The
10 authority shall not be construed to be a department, institution or
11 agency of the state.

12 (b) On and before May 31, 2002, the powers of the authority shall be

13 vested in and exercised by a board of directors, which shall consist of
14 twelve directors: Four appointed by the Governor and two ex-officio
15 members, who shall have a vote including the Commissioner of
16 Transportation and the Commissioner of Economic and Community
17 Development; two appointed by the president pro tempore of the
18 Senate, two by the speaker of the House, one by the minority leader of
19 the Senate and one by the minority leader of the House of
20 Representatives. Any such legislative appointee may be a member of
21 the General Assembly. The directors appointed by the Governor under
22 this subsection shall serve for terms of four years each, from January
23 first next succeeding their appointment, provided, of the directors first
24 appointed, two shall serve for terms of two years, and two for terms of
25 four years, from January first next succeeding their appointment. Any
26 vacancy occurring under this subsection other than by expiration of
27 term shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment for
28 the balance of the unexpired term. Of the four members appointed by
29 the Governor under this subsection, two shall be first selectmen,
30 mayors or managers of Connecticut municipalities; one from a
31 municipality with a population of less than fifty thousand, one from a
32 municipality of over fifty thousand population; two shall be public
33 members without official governmental office or status with extensive
34 high-level experience in municipal or corporate finance or business or
35 industry, provided not more than two of such appointees shall be
36 members of the same political party. The chairman of the board under
37 this subsection shall be appointed by the Governor, with the advice
38 and consent of both houses of the General Assembly and shall serve at
39 the pleasure of the Governor. Notwithstanding the provisions of this
40 subsection, the terms of all members of the board of directors who are
41 serving on May 31, 2002, shall expire on said date.

42 (c) [On and after] From June 1, 2002, to June 30, 2011, inclusive, the
43 powers of the authority shall be vested in and exercised by a board of
44 directors, which shall consist of eleven directors as follows: Three
45 appointed by the Governor, one of whom shall be a municipal official

46 of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand or less and one
47 of whom shall have extensive, high-level experience in the energy
48 field; two appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one of
49 whom shall be a municipal official of a municipality having a
50 population of more than fifty thousand and one of whom shall have
51 extensive high-level experience in public or corporate finance or
52 business or industry; two appointed by the speaker of the House of
53 Representatives, one of whom shall be a municipal official of a
54 municipality having a population of more than fifty thousand and one
55 of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or
56 corporate finance or business or industry; two appointed by the
57 minority leader of the Senate, one of whom shall be a municipal official
58 of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand or less and one
59 of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or
60 corporate finance or business or industry; two appointed by the
61 minority leader of the House of Representatives, one of whom shall be
62 a municipal official of a municipality having a population of fifty
63 thousand or less and one of whom shall have extensive, high-level
64 experience in the environmental field. No director may be a member of
65 the General Assembly. Not more than two of the directors appointed
66 by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The
67 appointed directors shall serve for terms of four years each, provided,
68 of the directors first appointed for terms beginning on June 1, 2002, (1)
69 two of the directors appointed by the Governor, one of the directors
70 appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one of the
71 directors appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives,
72 one of the directors appointed by the minority leader of the Senate and
73 one of the directors appointed by the minority leader of the House of
74 Representatives shall serve an initial term of two years and one month,
75 and (2) the other appointed directors shall serve an initial term of four
76 years and one month. The appointment of each director for a term
77 beginning on or after June 1, 2004, shall be made with the advice and
78 consent of both houses of the General Assembly. The Governor shall
79 designate one of the directors to serve as chairperson of the board,

80 with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly.
81 The chairperson of the board shall serve at the pleasure of the
82 Governor. Any appointed director who fails to attend three
83 consecutive meetings of the board or who fails to attend fifty per cent
84 of all meetings of the board held during any calendar year shall be
85 deemed to have resigned from the board. Any vacancy occurring other
86 than by expiration of term shall be filled in the same manner as the
87 original appointment for the balance of the unexpired term. As used in
88 this subsection, "municipal official" means the first selectman, mayor,
89 city or town manager or chief financial officer of a municipality that
90 has entered into a solid waste disposal services contract with the
91 authority and pledged the municipality's full faith and credit for the
92 payment of obligations under such contract. Notwithstanding the
93 provisions of this subsection, the terms of all members on the board of
94 directors who are serving on June 30, 2011, shall expire upon
95 appointment of the board pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.

- 96 | • (d) On and after July 1, 2011, the powers of the authority shall
97 be vested in and exercised by a board of directors, which shall
98 consist of fifteen directors as follows: Five municipal officials,
99 one each appointed by the Governor, the president pro tempore
100 of the Senate, the speaker of the House of Representatives, the
101 minority leader of the Senate and the minority leader of the
102 House of Representatives; five representatives of municipalities
103 having a population of thirty thousand or more, ~~one of which~~
104 shall be a representative of the City of Hartford, each of whom
105 shall be elected by the vote of all municipalities having a
106 contractual relationship with the authority; and five
107 representatives of municipalities having a population of less
108 than thirty thousand, each of whom shall be elected by the vote
109 of all municipalities having a contractual relationship with the
110 authority. No more than six of the ten directors elected to the
111 board may be from municipalities served by the authority's
112 Mid-Connecticut Project. The appointed directors shall serve for
113 terms of four years each, provided, of the directors first
114 appointed for terms beginning on July 1, 2011, the directors
115 appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate and the
116 speaker of the House of Representatives shall serve an initial

Comment [PN1]: Added per discussion at M-CPMAC meeting June 2, 2011

117 term of two years and one month and the other appointed
118 directors shall serve an initial term of four years and one month.
119 Five of the elected directors shall serve an initial term of two
120 years and five of the elected directors shall serve an initial term
121 of four years. No director shall serve more than eight
122 consecutive years. The Governor shall appoint one of the
123 directors to serve as chairperson of the board who shall serve at
124 the pleasure of the Governor. Any director who fails to attend
125 three consecutive meetings of the board or who fails to attend
126 fifty per cent of all meetings of the board held during any
127 calendar year shall be deemed to have resigned from the board.
128 Any vacancy occurring other than by expiration of term shall be
129 filled in the same manner as the original appointment for the
130 balance of the unexpired term. As used in this subsection,
131 "municipal official" means the first selectman, mayor, city or
132 town manager or chief financial officer of a municipality that
133 has entered into a solid waste disposal services contract with the
134 authority and pledged the municipality's full faith and credit for
135 the payment of obligations under such contract, with the
136 exception of the City of Hartford, which may appoint its
137 member by action of that city's legislative body.
138 Appointments pursuant to this subsection shall be made within
139 ninety days of the effective date of this section.

Comment [PN2]: Added per discussion at M-CPMAC meeting June 2, 2011.

140 [(d)] (e) The chairperson shall, with the approval of the directors,
141 appoint a president of the authority who shall be an employee of the
142 authority and paid a salary prescribed by the directors. The president
143 shall supervise the administrative affairs and technical activities of the
144 authority in accordance with the directives of the board.

145 [(e)] (f) Each director shall be entitled to reimbursement for said
146 director's actual and necessary expenses incurred during the
147 performance of said director's official duties.

148 [(f)] (g) Directors may engage in private employment, or in a
149 profession or business, subject to any applicable laws, rules and
150 regulations of the state or federal government regarding official ethics
151 or conflict of interest.

152 [(g) Six] (h) Eight directors of the authority shall constitute a
153 quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any
154 power of the authority, provided, two directors from municipal
155 government shall be present in order for a quorum to be in attendance.
156 For the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the
157 authority, and except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the
158 authority shall have power to act by a majority of the directors present
159 at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance. ~~If the legislative
160 body of a municipality that is the site of a facility passes a resolution
161 requesting the Governor to appoint a resident of such municipality to
162 be an ad hoc member, the Governor shall make such appointment
163 upon the next vacancy for the ad hoc members representing such
164 facility. The Governor shall appoint with the advice and consent of the
165 General Assembly ad hoc members to represent each facility operated
166 by the authority, with the exception of the Mid-Connecticut Waste to-
167 Energy Facility, provided at least one half of such members shall be
168 chief elected officials of municipalities, or their designees. Each such
169 facility shall be represented by two such members. The ad hoc
170 members shall be electors from a municipality or municipalities in the
171 area to be served by the facility and shall vote only on matters
172 concerning such facility. The terms of the ad hoc members shall be four
173 years.~~

174 [(h) There is established, effective June 1, 2002, a steering committee
175 of the board of directors, consisting of at least three but not more than
176 five directors, who shall be jointly appointed by the Governor, the
177 president pro tempore of the Senate and the speaker of the House of
178 Representatives. Said committee shall consist of at least one director
179 who is a municipal official, as defined in subsection (c) of this section.
180 The steering committee shall forthwith establish a financial
181 restructuring plan for the authority, subject to the approval of the
182 board of directors, and shall implement said plan. The financial
183 restructuring plan shall determine the financial condition of the
184 authority and provide for mitigation of the impact of the Connecticut

Comment [PN3]: Deleted per discussion at M-CPMAC meeting June 2, 2011.

185 Resources Recovery Authority-Enron-Connecticut Light and Power
186 Company transaction on municipalities which have entered into solid
187 waste disposal services contracts with the authority. The steering
188 committee shall also review all aspects of the authority's finances and
189 administration, including but not limited to, tipping fees and
190 adjustments to such fees, the annual budget of the authority, any
191 budget transfers, any use of the authority's reserves, all contracts
192 entered into by or on behalf of the authority, including but not limited
193 to, an assessment of the alignment of interests between the authority
194 and the authority's contractors, all financings or restructuring of debts,
195 any sale or other disposition or valuation of assets of the authority,
196 including sales of electricity and steam, any joint ventures and
197 strategic partnerships, and the initiation and resolution of litigation,
198 arbitration and other disputes. The steering committee (1) shall have
199 access to all information, files and records maintained by the authority,
200 (2) may retain consultants and utilize other resources necessary to
201 carry out its responsibilities under this subsection, which have a total
202 cost of not more than five hundred thousand dollars, without the
203 approval of the board of directors, and may draw on accounts of the
204 authority for such costs, and (3) shall submit a report to the board of
205 directors and the General Assembly, in accordance with section 11-4a,
206 on its findings, progress and recommendations for future action by the
207 board of directors in carrying out the purposes of this subsection, not
208 later than December 31, 2002. Said report shall also include a report on
209 any loans made to the authority under section 22a-268d. The steering
210 committee shall terminate on December 31, 2002, unless extended by
211 the board.]

212 (i) The board may delegate to three or more directors such board
213 powers and duties as it may deem necessary and proper in conformity
214 with the provisions of this chapter and its bylaws. At least one of such
215 directors shall be a municipal official, as defined in subsection (c) of
216 this section, and at least one of such directors shall not be a state
217 employee.

218 (j) Appointed directors may not designate a representative to
219 perform in their absence their respective duties under this chapter.

220 (k) The term "director", as used in this section, shall include such
221 persons so designated as provided in this section and this designation
222 shall be deemed temporary only and shall not affect any applicable
223 civil service or retirement rights of any person so designated.

224 (l) The appointing authority for any director may remove such
225 director for inefficiency, neglect of duty or misconduct in office after
226 giving the director a copy of the charges against the director and an
227 opportunity to be heard, in person or by counsel, in the director's
228 defense, upon not less than ten days' notice. If any director shall be so
229 removed, the appointing authority for such director shall file in the
230 office of the Secretary of the State a complete statement of charges
231 made against such director and the appointing authority's findings on
232 such statement of charges, together with a complete record of the
233 proceedings.

234 (m) The authority shall continue as long as it has bonds or other
235 obligations outstanding and until its existence is terminated by law.
236 Upon the termination of the existence of the authority, all its rights and
237 properties shall pass to and be vested in the state of Connecticut.

238 (n) The directors, members and officers of the authority and any
239 person executing the bonds or notes of the authority shall not be liable
240 personally on such bonds or notes or be subject to any personal
241 liability or accountability by reason of the issuance thereof, nor shall
242 any director, member or officer of the authority be personally liable for
243 damage or injury, not wanton or wilful, caused in the performance of
244 such person's duties and within the scope of such person's
245 employment or appointment as such director, member or officer.

246 ~~(e) Notwithstanding provisions of this section to the contrary,~~
247 ~~within ninety days of the effective date of this section, the power and~~
248 ~~authority to make any and all decisions concerning or affecting the~~

249 ~~Mid Connecticut Project shall be vested in and exercised by seven~~
250 ~~members, hereinafter, the Mid Connecticut Board, of the authority~~
251 ~~board of directors established under subsection (d) of this section, all~~
252 ~~of whom from municipalities served by the Mid Connecticut Project,~~
253 ~~and including the representative elected by the Court of Common~~
254 ~~Council of the city of Hartford. In the event more than seven members~~
255 ~~of the authority board of directors are from municipalities served by~~
256 ~~the Mid Connecticut Project, the seven members of the Mid-~~
257 ~~Connecticut Board shall include the representative elected by the~~
258 ~~Court of Common Council of the city of Hartford and six authority~~
259 ~~board of director members from municipalities served by the Mid-~~
260 ~~Connecticut Project, elected by those board of director members whose~~
261 ~~municipalities are served by the Mid Connecticut Project and who~~
262 ~~were elected by a vote of all municipalities having a contractual~~
263 ~~relationship with the authority. Any action taken by the Mid Conn~~
264 ~~Board, unless otherwise contrary to law, shall be binding upon the~~
265 ~~authority. Four members of the Mid Conn Board shall constitute a~~
266 ~~quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any of its~~
267 ~~powers, said board shall act by a majority of the directors present at~~
268 ~~any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance. The members of the~~
269 ~~Mid Conn Board shall choose, by majority vote, one member to serve~~
270 ~~as chairperson. The provisions of subsections (f), (g), (j) and (n) of this~~
271 ~~section shall apply to the Mid Connecticut Board and its members.~~
272 ~~Members of the Mid Connecticut Board shall serve terms and be~~
273 ~~subject to term limits established under subsection (d) of this section.~~

274

275 [(o)] (p) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to the
276 contrary, it shall not constitute a conflict of interest for a trustee,
277 director, partner or officer of any person, firm or corporation, or any
278 individual having a financial interest in a person, firm or corporation,
279 to serve as a director of the authority, provided such trustee, director,
280 partner, officer or individual shall abstain from deliberation, action or
281 vote by the authority in specific respect to such person, firm or

Comment [PN4]: Deleted per discussion at M-CPMAC meeting June 2, 2011.

282 corporation.

Comment [JP5]: 22a-00--0261---K;;;;;

283 ~~Sec. 2. (Effective from passage) Until such time as the board of~~
 284 ~~directors established pursuant to subsection (d) of section 1 of this act~~
 285 ~~is constituted, there shall be a moratorium prohibiting the authority~~
 286 ~~from entering into any agreement or extending any existing agreement~~
 287 ~~relating in any way to the Mid-Connecticut Trash to Energy Facility, or~~
 288 ~~any component thereof. Without limiting the scope of the foregoing,~~
 289 ~~this prohibition shall extend to municipal service agreements. Any~~
 290 ~~such agreement entered into or executed during the moratorium shall~~
 291 ~~be void. Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, in the event of~~
 292 ~~any emergency declared or subsequently ratified by the authority~~
 293 ~~concerning said facility, said authority may contract with third parties,~~
 294 ~~public or private, for any purpose relating to such emergency,~~
 295 ~~provided the term of any such contract shall not extend for a period of~~
 296 ~~more than ninety days after such emergency is declared or ratified.~~

Comment [PN6]: Deleted per discussion at M-CPMAC meeting June 2, 2011.

297 This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:

Section 1	July 1, 2011	22a-261
Sec. 2	from passage	New section

**Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee
Electronic voting June 3-6, 2011**

Town/City	First Name	Last Name	Title	Delegate	Approve	Disapprove
Avon	Brandon	Robertson	Town Manager			
Barkhamsted	Donald	Stein	First Selectman		X	
Beacon Falls	Susan	Cable	First Selectman			
Bethlehem	Jeffrey	Hamel	First Selectman			
Bloomfield	Louie	Chapman	Town Manager	Sydney Schulman, Mayor / PRO1Y John Adams	X	
Bolton	Robert	Morra	First Selectman	Joyce Stille, Administrator / PRO1Y Bonnie Therrien		
Canaan	Patricia	Mechare	First Selectman			
Canton	Richard	Barlow	First Selectman		X	
Chester	Thomas	Marsh	First Selectman			X
Clinton	William	Fritz	First Selectman			X
Colebrook	Thomas	McKeon	First Selectman			
Cornwall	Gordon	Ridgway	First Selectman			
Coventry	John	Elsesser	Town Manager		X	
Cromwell	John	Flanders	First Selectman		X	
Deep River	Richard	Smith	First Selectman			
Durham	Laura	Francis	First Selectman			
East Granby	James	Hayden	First Selectman		X	
East Hampton	Robert	Drewry	Town Manager			
East Hartford	Marcia	Leclerc	Mayor		X	
East Windsor	Denise	Menard	First Selectman			
Ellington	Maurice	Blanchette	First Selectman			
Enfield	Matthew	Coppler	Town Manager			
Essex	Philip	Miller	First Selectman			
Farmington	Kathleen	Eagen	Town Manager		X	
Glastonbury	Richard	Johnson	Town Manager	Michael Bisi, Superintendent of Sanitation		
Goshen	Robert	Valentine	First Selectman			
Granby	William	Smith	Town Manager	John Adams, First Selectman	X	
Guilford	Joseph	Mazza	First Selectman			
Haddam	Paul	DeStefano	First Selectman			
Hartford	Pedro	Segarra	Mayor	Bob Painter, Court of Common Council	X	
Harwinton	Frank	Chiaromonte	First Selectman	Vote to approve received after the deadline		
Hebron	Bonnie	Therrien	Town Manager		X	
Killingworth	Catherine	Iino	First Selectman		X	
Litchfield	Leo	Paul	First Selectman		X	
Lyme	Ralph	Eno	First Selectman		X	
Madison	Fillmore	McPherson	First Selectman			
Manchester	Scott	Shanley	General Manager	Brooks Parker, Environmental Services Manager		X
Marlborough	Bill	Black	First Selectman			
Middlebury	Thomas	Gormley	First Selectman		X	

**Mid-Connecticut Project Municipal Advisory Committee
Electronic voting June 3-6, 2011**

Town/City	First Name	Last Name	Title	Delegate	Approve	Disapprove
Middlefield	Jon	Brayshaw	First Selectman			
Naugatuck	Robert	Mezzo	Mayor	Sheila Baummer, Solid Waste and Recycling Coordinator		
New Hartford	Daniel	Jerram	First Selectman			
Newington	John	Salomone	Town Manager		X	
Norfolk	Susan	Dyer	First Selectman	VICE-CHAIRMAN	X	
North Branford	Richard	Branigan	Town Manager			
North Canaan	Douglas	Humes	First Selectman			
Old Lyme	Timothy	Griswold	First Selectman			X
Old Saybrook	Michael	Pace	First Selectman	Vote to disapprove received after the deadline		
Oxford	Mary Ann	Drayton-Rogers	First Selectman	Wayne Watt, Recycling Coordinator		
Portland	Susan	Bransfield	First Selectman		X	
Rocky Hill	Barbara	Gilbert	Town Manager	Larrye deBear, Town Council		
Roxbury	Barbara	Henry	First Selectman			X
Salisbury	Curtis	Rand	First Selectman		X	
Sharon	Robert	Loucks	First Selectman			
Simsbury	Mary	Glassman	First Selectman	Thomas Roy, Director of Public Works	X	
South Windsor	Matthew	Galligan	Town Manager			X
Southbury	Bill	Davis	First Selectman		X	
Suffield	Tom	Frenaye	First Selectman		X	
Thomaston	Edmond	Mone	First Selectman		X	
Tolland	Steven	Werbner	Town Manager		X	
Torrington	Ryan	Bingham	Mayor	CHAIRMAN	X	
Vernon	Jason	McCoy	Mayor	(Vote to approve from John Ward)		
Waterbury	Michael	Jarjura	Mayor			
Watertown	Chuck	Frigon	Town Manager			
West Hartford	Ron	Van Winkle	Town Manager			
Westbrook	Noel	Bishop	First Selectman			
Wethersfield	Jeff	Bridges	Town Manager		X	
Winchester	Dale	Martin	Town Manager			X
Windsor Locks	Steven	Wawruck	First Selectman			X
Woodbury	Gerald	Stomski	First Selectman			

SECTION 3

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

June 2011 Monthly Customer MSW and Recyclables Deliveries

This report provides information on deliveries of materials for the Mid-Connecticut Project for the period ending June 30, 2011. The following table provides a summary of materials deliveries to the Mid-Connecticut Project.

Monthly Customer Delivery Report

Project/Contract	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Mid-Connecticut MSW									
Member Towns	733,030	748,232	2%	748,232	730,250	(2%)	69,529	69,145	(1%)
Contract Spot	29,785	29,099	(2%)	29,099	37,227	28%	5,099	4,977	(2%)
In-State Spot	47,943	18,995	(60%)	18,995	20,606	8%	2,049	3,781	85%
Out-of-State Spot	4,519	10	(100%)	10	0	(100%)	0	0	-
MSW TOTAL	815,278	796,336	(2%)	796,336	788,084	(1%)	76,678	77,903	2%
Mid-Connecticut Recyclables									
Member Towns	80,953	83,856	4%	83,856	91,587	9%	7,722	8,335	8%
In-State Spot	1	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
Out-of-State Spot	1,942	0	>100%	0	0	-	0	0	-
RECYC. TOTAL	82,897	83,856	1%	83,856	91,587	9%	7,722	8,335	8%

MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT

June 2011 Monthly Customer MSW Deliveries

Mid-Connecticut Project Member and Contract Towns MSW

Town	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Avon	10,700	10,371	(3%)	10,371.3	10,663.78	2.8%	971.7	1,052.8	8.3%
Beacon Falls	2,743	2,703	(1%)	2,702.6	2,887.35	6.8%	227.9	239.6	5.1%
Bethlehem	1,746	1,725	(1%)	1,725.3	1,683.48	(2.4%)	175.4	145.6	(17.0%)
Bloomfield	9,137	16,011	75%	16,011.4	14,843.19	(7.3%)	1,421.9	1,459.4	2.6%
Bolton	1,819	2,057	13%	2,057.2	1,994.50	(3.0%)	198.1	194.0	(2.1%)
Canaan	837	444	(47%)	443.8	502.05	13.1%	48.0	47.8	(0.5%)
Canton	5,505	4,947	(10%)	4,947.3	4,917.94	(0.6%)	443.0	471.8	6.5%
Chester	1,802	1,343	(25%)	1,343.0	1,268.47	(5.6%)	139.4	134.3	(3.7%)
Clinton	8,506	8,802	3%	8,801.7	7,376.90	(16.2%)	768.9	603.7	(21.5%)
Colebrook	700	743	6%	743.0	654.21	(12.0%)	64.6	63.1	(2.4%)
Cornwall	598	514	(14%)	514.5	432.05	(16.0%)	49.9	45.0	(9.8%)
Coventry	3,841	3,777	(2%)	3,776.9	4,168.55	10.4%	340.8	439.5	29.0%
Cromwell	9,709	9,256	(5%)	9,256.1	8,881.80	(4.0%)	811.7	838.8	3.3%
Deep River	2,830	3,069	8%	3,069.3	3,308.08	7.8%	283.6	296.6	4.6%
Durham/Middlefield	6,038	5,946	(2%)	5,945.6	6,261.63	5.3%	537.9	537.9	(0.0%)
East Granby	3,297	3,958	20%	3,958.2	3,402.82	(14.0%)	441.8	313.5	(29.0%)
East Hampton	5,492	5,511	0%	5,511.2	6,703.37	21.6%	568.2	679.5	19.6%
East Hartford	29,401	31,724	8%	31,724.5	28,105.50	(11.4%)	2,765.2	2,720.5	(1.6%)
East Windsor	4,081	4,534	11%	4,533.8	4,505.81	(0.6%)	430.3	465.1	8.1%
Ellington	5,131	5,326	4%	5,325.7	5,157.51	(3.2%)	487.8	488.2	0.1%
Enfield	23,778	27,965	18%	27,964.7	26,290.69	(6.0%)	2,546.0	2,517.5	(1.1%)
Essex	3,655	3,432	(6%)	3,432.5	3,113.27	(9.3%)	257.6	316.5	22.9%
Farmington	17,911	17,400	(3%)	17,400.2	16,878.55	(3.0%)	1,561.6	1,554.0	(0.5%)
Glastonbury	19,872	19,510	(2%)	19,510.0	20,091.64	3.0%	1,862.1	1,999.6	7.4%
Goshen	1,453	1,405	(3%)	1,405.3	1,411.68	0.5%	133.7	136.8	2.3%
Granby	5,319	4,657	(12%)	4,657.0	5,222.03	12.1%	451.7	490.9	8.7%
Guilford	13,268	13,461	1%	13,461.2	14,045.42	4.3%	1,321.5	1,344.3	1.7%
Haddam	3,409	3,283	(4%)	3,282.7	3,270.60	(0.4%)	299.9	300.0	0.0%
Hartford	98,925	98,202	(1%)	98,202.0	94,961.35	(3.3%)	9,037.6	8,661.0	(4.2%)
Harwinton	2,314	2,237	(3%)	2,236.7	2,259.81	1.0%	192.3	216.3	12.5%
Hebron	3,395	3,266	(4%)	3,265.9	3,386.04	3.7%	330.7	298.0	(9.9%)
Killingworth	2,658	2,625	(1%)	2,625.0	2,592.26	(1.2%)	218.5	209.8	(4.0%)
Litchfield	5,251	5,414	3%	5,413.5	5,618.85	3.8%	514.1	563.5	9.6%
Lyme	879	851	(3%)	851.4	833.84	(2.1%)	76.6	75.7	(1.1%)
Madison	9,954	8,746	(12%)	8,745.8	8,046.19	(8.0%)	841.7	700.6	(16.8%)
Manchester	34,733	37,815	9%	37,814.9	36,531.44	(3.4%)	3,432.2	3,246.1	(5.4%)

Mid-Connecticut Project Member & Contract Towns MSW (Continued)

Town	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Marlborough	3,101	2,885	(7%)	2,884.9	2,519.08	(13%)	278.8	232.5	(17%)
Middlebury	3,121	2,403	(23%)	2,403.3	2,551.20	6%	228.3	293.3	28%
Naugatuck	17,214	15,902	(8%)	15,902.3	15,064.16	(5%)	1,424.2	1,435.4	1%
Newington	22,828	22,409	(2%)	22,408.7	20,915.86	(7%)	2,056.4	1,998.6	(3%)
Norfolk	1,104	798	(28%)	798.0	792.43	(1%)	85.1	74.6	(12%)
North Branford	8,197	7,757	(5%)	7,756.7	7,768.89	0%	714.8	760.0	6%
North Canaan	2,595	2,735	5%	2,735.2	2,481.95	(9%)	272.6	203.7	(25%)
Old Lyme	4,178	4,178	(0%)	4,177.9	3,818.03	(9%)	432.5	365.3	(16%)
Old Saybrook	10,933	10,824	(1%)	10,823.6	10,099.67	(7%)	1,099.2	927.4	(16%)
Oxford	4,335	4,895	13%	4,894.7	5,414.05	11%	498.6	510.5	2%
Portland	4,180	3,970	(5%)	3,969.7	3,822.64	(4%)	346.8	365.2	5%
Rocky Hill	11,405	11,071	(3%)	11,070.9	10,854.87	(2%)	1,088.8	988.4	(9%)
Roxbury	814	769	(6%)	768.6	792.96	3%	71.9	78.9	10%
RRDD#1	13,291	12,801	(4%)	12,800.7	12,550.80	(2%)	1,245.7	1,188.6	(5%)
Salisbury/Sharon	3,564	3,309	(7%)	3,308.7	3,375.11	2%	304.7	330.3	8%
Simsbury	15,331	15,330	(0%)	15,329.9	14,881.50	(3%)	1,422.2	1,484.8	4%
South Windsor	13,875	15,620	13%	15,620.4	15,563.10	(0%)	1,508.9	1,578.3	5%
Southbury	9,419	10,122	7%	10,122.0	10,504.79	4%	996.8	954.5	(4%)
Suffield	5,411	6,354	17%	6,353.8	6,598.77	4%	596.4	647.5	9%
Thomaston	4,724	4,284	(9%)	4,283.8	4,226.46	(1%)	371.5	407.3	10%
Tolland	5,970	6,089	2%	6,089.4	6,446.19	6%	546.2	644.8	18%
Torrington	27,639	26,128	(5%)	26,128.1	25,621.23	(2%)	2,394.5	2,458.9	3%
Vernon	14,009	15,194	8%	15,193.5	14,445.71	(5%)	1,360.2	1,356.9	(0%)
Waterbury	77,156	80,860	5%	80,860.4	82,149.14	2%	7,727.0	7,742.9	0%
Watertown	14,940	13,539	(9%)	13,539.0	13,149.90	(3%)	1,267.3	1,245.4	(2%)
West Hartford	38,544	38,032	(1%)	38,032.3	37,625.38	(1%)	3,510.0	3,675.3	5%
Westbrook	4,703	4,801	2%	4,800.9	3,795.39	(21%)	345.6	289.0	(16%)
Wethersfield	17,172	17,841	4%	17,840.6	16,234.07	(9%)	1,723.9	1,773.9	3%
Windsor Locks	7,277	9,193	26%	9,193.3	8,972.91	(2%)	870.6	810.9	(7%)
Woodbury	5,311	5,110	(4%)	5,110.0	4,941.29	(3%)	486.2	454.6	(7%)
TOTAL MEMBER & CONTRACT TOWN	733,030	748,232	2%	748,232	730,250	(2%)	69,529	69,145	(1%)

Mid-Connecticut Project Contract Spot MSW

State	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
New Haven	29,785	29,099	(2%)	29,099	37,227	28%	5,099	4,977	(2%)
TOTAL CONTRACT SPOT	29,785	29,099	(2%)	29,099	37,227	28%	5,099	4,977	(2%)

Mid-Connecticut Project In-State Spot MSW

Town	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Ansonia	2,447	0	-100%	0	0	-	0	0	-
Berlin	177	67	(62%)	67	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Bethel	0	0	-	0	12	-	0	0	-
Bridgeport	9	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
Bristol	420	362	(14%)	362	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Cheshire	175	84	(52%)	84	0	(100%)	58	0	(100%)
Danbury	0	0	-	0	150	-	0	0	-
Derby	362	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
East Haddam	86	46	(47%)	46	0	(100%)	0	0	-
F & G Recycling	0	2,686	-	2,686	61	(98%)	0	0	-
Hamden	121	19	(84%)	19	0	(100%)	6	0	-100%
Meriden	848	915	8%	915	0	(100%)	396	0	-100%
Middletown	15,564	7,456	(52%)	7,456	13,768	85%	753	2,276	202%
Morris	94	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
Murphy Road Recyclin	0	3,776	-	3,776	5,795	53%	0	1,505	-
New Britain	1,710	198	(88%)	198	0	(100%)	0	0	-
New Haven	19,160	313	(98%)	313	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Newtown	0	0	-	0	49	-	0	0	-
North Haven	46	380	728%	380	0	(100%)	135	0	(100%)
Plainville	165	69	(58%)	69	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Seymour	335	0	(100%)	0	40	-	0	0	-
Somers	606	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
Southington	94	173	84%	173	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Stafford	646	203	(69%)	203	61	(70%)	0	0	-
Stratford	0	192	-	192	0	(100%)	0	0	-
Stratford Baling TS, S	0	0	-	0	229	-	0	0	-
Stratford TS Multi Orig	0	0	-	0	241	-	0	0	-
Transfer Systems Inc	2,984	249	(92%)	249	201	(19%)	0	0	-
Wallingford	798	1,738	118%	1,738	0	(100%)	701	0	(100%)
Windsor	1,095	68	(94%)	68	0	-100%	0	0	-
TOTAL IN-STATE SPOT	47,943	18,995	(60%)	18,995	20,606	8%	2,049	3,781	85%

Mid-Connecticut Project Out-Of-State Spot MSW

State	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Massachusetts	4,499	10	(100%)	10	0	(100%)	0	0	-
New York	20	0	-100%	0	0	-	0	0	-
TOTAL OUT-OF-STATE SPOT	4,519	10	(100%)	10	0	(100%)	0	0	-



Mid-Connecticut Project Total MSW Deliveries

Source	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Member & Contract Towns	733,030	748,232	2%	748,232	730,250	(2%)	69,529	69,145	(1%)
Contract Spot	29,785	29,099	(2%)	29,099	37,227	28%	5,099	4,977	(2%)
In-State Spot	47,943	18,995	(60%)	18,995	20,606	8%	2,049	3,781	85%
Out-of-State Spot	4,519	10	(100%)	10	0	(100%)	0	0	-
TOTAL TONNAGE	815,278	796,336	(2%)	796,336	788,084	(1%)	76,678	77,903	2%

Mid-Connecticut Project MSW Diversions And Exports

Type	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
TS Diversions	14,039	4,962	(65%)	4,962	11,225	126%	562	0	(100%)
TS Exports	2,999	11,253	275%	11,253	3,422	(70%)	0	0	-
WPF Diversions	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-
WPF Exports	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-
TOTAL TONNAGE	17,038	16,215	(5%)	16,215	14,647	(10%)	562	0	(100%)

Mid-Connecticut Project MSW Trends



SECTION 4

MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT

June 2011 Monthly Customer Recyclables Deliveries

Mid-Connecticut Project Member and Contract Towns Recyclables

Town	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Avon	2,123	2,309	9%	2,309.0	2,316.81	0%	187.7	181.6	(3%)
Beacon Falls	320	299	(7%)	298.7	320.45	7%	18.4	28.4	55%
Bethlehem	329	330	0%	330.3	340.47	3%	30.0	32.2	7%
Bloomfield	1,366	1,385	1%	1,384.9	1,701.35	23%	158.3	162.0	2%
Bolton	488	507	4%	506.7	618.02	22%	54.1	54.1	0%
Canton	940	881	(6%)	881.1	1,030.88	17%	83.0	102.6	24%
Chester	301	325	8%	325.4	300.32	(8%)	28.0	27.2	(3%)
Clinton	749	794	6%	794.3	842.23	6%	73.2	71.6	(2%)
Colebrook	158	152	(4%)	151.7	174.41	15%	8.8	16.6	88%
Cornwall	178	159	(11%)	158.9	173.04	9%	13.7	21.2	54%
Coventry	1,268	1,210	(5%)	1,209.7	1,389.42	15%	111.6	135.2	21%
Cromwell	1,321	1,049	(21%)	1,048.9	984.48	(6%)	60.5	82.9	37%
Deep River	282	281	(0%)	281.5	340.75	21%	28.6	27.0	(5%)
East Granby	251	475	89%	474.7	510.99	8%	35.0	43.6	25%
East Hampton	1,024	1,102	8%	1,102.2	1,078.12	(2%)	119.3	102.5	(14%)
East Hartford	1,993	1,936	(3%)	1,936.3	3,326.01	72%	166.7	316.6	90%
East Windsor	728	876	20%	876.0	957.18	9%	95.7	97.7	2%
Ellington	1,241	1,452	17%	1,451.9	1,439.42	(1%)	112.6	112.4	(0%)
Enfield	3,017	2,995	(1%)	2,995.1	3,381.04	13%	286.4	323.0	13%
Essex	665	767	15%	767.1	691.31	(10%)	67.0	74.9	12%
Farmington	2,221	2,314	4%	2,314.0	2,073.69	(10%)	179.5	154.6	(14%)
Glastonbury	4,342	3,699	(15%)	3,699.1	3,736.95	1%	345.2	329.4	(5%)
Goshen	277	293	6%	293.4	300.88	3%	29.5	25.3	(14%)
Granby	1,171	1,503	28%	1,503.0	1,593.56	6%	155.0	166.2	7%
Guilford	1,442	1,646	14%	1,646.2	1,683.28	2%	160.0	168.4	5%
Haddam	493	492	(0%)	492.0	511.69	4%	44.3	39.8	(10%)
Hartford	3,583	4,282	20%	4,282.4	4,741.90	11%	464.1	460.2	(1%)
Harwinton	452	478	6%	478.2	547.56	14%	43.7	45.0	3%
Hebron	885	860	(3%)	859.8	865.01	1%	72.7	77.0	6%
Killingworth	593	631	6%	630.9	591.04	(6%)	54.8	46.6	(15%)
Litchfield	661	659	(0%)	659.0	695.10	5%	63.9	60.8	(5%)
Madison	1,656	1,421	(14%)	1,420.9	1,297.79	(9%)	116.4	117.0	0%
Manchester	3,792	5,006	32%	5,006.1	5,132.90	3%	451.5	459.7	2%
Marlborough	500	529	6%	529.0	533.28	1%	47.0	43.2	(8%)
Middlebury	871	838	(4%)	837.7	883.96	6%	72.8	82.9	14%

Mid-Connecticut Project Member & Contract Towns Recyclables (Continued)

Town	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Naugatuck	1,535	1,477	(4%)	1,477	1,662.53	13%	127	163	29%
Newington	2,207	2,182	(1%)	2,182	2,904.04	33%	189	275	45%
Norfolk	185	166	(10%)	166	176.49	6%	14	15	9%
North Branford	830	879	6%	879	827.82	(6%)	73	77	5%
North Canaan	231	241	4%	241	240.56	(0%)	22	18	(16%)
Old Saybrook	1,169	1,015	(13%)	1,015	1,226.92	21%	101	80	(20%)
Oxford	735	776	6%	776	834.68	8%	79	75	(5%)
Portland	824	556	(33%)	556	636.95	15%	47	54	14%
Rocky Hill	1,390	1,421	2%	1,421	1,435.60	1%	123	123	(1%)
Roxbury	220	199	(10%)	199	202.07	2%	19	23	19%
RRDD#1	1,931	1,927	(0%)	1,927	2,071.33	8%	175	180	3%
Salisbury/Sharon	1,025	969	(5%)	969	1,023.82	6%	95	80	(15%)
Simsbury	2,686	2,527	(6%)	2,527	2,835.28	12%	210	259	24%
South Windsor	2,341	2,725	16%	2,725	2,782.23	2%	254	255	1%
Southbury	1,719	1,574	(8%)	1,574	1,613.18	2%	133	138	4%
Suffield	1,085	1,396	29%	1,396	1,453.06	4%	150	164	9%
Thomaston	422	465	10%	465	510.20	10%	43	46	8%
Torrington	1,987	2,958	49%	2,958	2,984.48	1%	272	277	2%
Vernon	2,041	1,926	(6%)	1,926	2,514.21	31%	207	231	12%
Waterbury	3,180	2,961	(7%)	2,961	2,952.00	(0%)	261	261	0%
Watertown	1,279	1,238	(3%)	1,238	1,278.80	3%	117	112	(4%)
West Hartford	6,092	6,003	(1%)	6,003	7,234.36	21%	610	688	13%
Westbrook	373	414	11%	414	354.06	(14%)	40	25	(37%)
Wethersfield	2,120	2,074	(2%)	2,074	2,811.35	36%	167	260	56%
Windsor Locks	922	1,095	19%	1,095	1,096.02	0%	88	90	2%
Woodbury	744	757	2%	757	819.33	8%	69	77	11%
TOTAL MEMBER & CONTRACT TOWN	80,953	83,856	4%	83,856	91,587	9%	7,722	8,335	8%

Mid-Connecticut Project In-State Spot Recyclables

State	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
New Haven	1	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
TOTAL IN-STATE SPOT	1	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-



Mid-Connecticut Project Out-Of-State Spot Recyclables

State	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Massachusetts	1,942	0	>100%	0	0	-	0	0	-
TOTAL OUT-OF-STATE SPOT	1,942	0	>100%	0	0	-	0	0	-

Mid-Connecticut Project Total Recyclables Deliveries

Source	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Growth	2010	2011	Growth	Jun 10	Jun 11	Growth
Member & Contract Towns	80,953	83,856	4%	83,856	91,587	9%	7,722	8,335	8%
In-State Spot	1	0	(100%)	0	0	-	0	0	-
Out-of-State Spot	1,942	0	>100%	0	0	-	0	0	-
TOTAL TONNAGE	82,897	83,856	1%	83,856	91,587	9%	7,722	8,335	8%

Mid-Connecticut Project Recyclables Trends



SECTION 5

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY
Mid-Connecticut Project

June 2011 Monthly Operational Summary



This report provides information on the operations of the Mid-Connecticut Project trash-to-energy facility for the period ending June 30, 2011. The following table provides a summary of key operating parameters.

Project/ Item	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Change	2010	2011	Change	Jun 10	Jun 11	Change
Mid-Connecticut									
Tons MSW Processed	800,894	789,333	(1.4%)	789,333	786,138	(0.4%)	75,942	80,809	6.4%
Steam (klbs)	4,846,922	4,794,026	(1.1%)	4,794,026	4,733,843	(1.3%)	423,922	431,638	1.8%
(% MCR)	79.8%	79.0%		79.0%	78.0%		85.0%	86.5%	
Power Net MWhr)	391,548	390,270	(0.3%)	390,270	378,372	(3.0%)	33,192	34,638	4.4%
South Meadows Jets									
Net MWH	2,186.66	1,960.39	(10.3%)	787.95	768.89	(2.4%)	787.95	768.89	(2.4%)


MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT

June 2011 Monthly Operational Summary


Item	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year-To-Date			Monthly		
	2009	2010	Change	2010	2011	Change	Jun 10	Jun 11	Change
Tons MSW Processed	800,894	789,333	(1.4%)	789,333	786,138	(0.4%)	75,942	80,809	6.4%
Steam (klbs)	4,846,922	4,794,026	(1.1%)	4,794,026	4,733,843	(1.3%)	423,922	431,638	1.8%
(% MCR)	79.8%	79.0%		79.0%	78.0%		85.0%	86.5%	
Power Net MWhr)	391,548	390,270	(0.3%)	390,270	378,372	(3.0%)	33,192	34,638	4.4%



Mid-Connecticut - Tons Processed - Deviation from Budget and Last Year



Mid-Connecticut - Power Sold - Deviation from Budget and Last Year



Unit Capacity Factors

Month	Boiler 11	Boiler 12	Boiler 13
Jul 10	68%	84%	75%
Aug 10	75%	93%	91%
Sep 10	84%	81%	90%
Oct 10	69%	75%	76%
Nov 10	83%	75%	89%
Dec 10	79%	80%	80%
Jan 11	75%	47%	53%
Feb 11	6%	89%	92%
Mar 11	56%	81%	85%
Apr 11	96%	76%	88%
May 11	86%	88%	85%
Jun 11	86%	88%	85%

Unscheduled Downtime

Date Began	Date Ended	Boiler	Duration (Hrs.)	Reason
07/01/10	07/01/10	11	17.80	Tube repair.
07/01/10	07/01/10	12	7.93	Large clinker jammed at grate discharge.
07/07/10	07/07/10	13	5.97	Loss of vibrating pans.
07/08/10	07/08/10	12	6.00	Condenser cleaning.
07/12/10	07/14/10	11	52.37	Tube repair.
07/16/10	07/16/10	13	12.97	Tube repair.
07/17/10	07/18/10	11	10.74	Tube repair.
07/22/10	07/23/10	11	46.90	Tube repair.
07/27/10	07/30/10	11	56.05	Tube repair.
07/28/10	07/29/10	13	46.88	Tube repair.
08/03/10	08/03/10	11	23.75	Waterwall and Superheater tube leaks.
08/04/10	08/04/10	12	6.00	Clinker jam.
08/06/10	08/07/10	13	46.80	Superheater tube leaks.
09/01/10	09/03/10	12	29.77	Waterwall tube leaks.
09/13/10	09/15/10	13	55.50	Failed distribution spout and Superheater failure.
09/15/10	09/17/10	11	42.00	Roof and Superheater leak.
09/17/10	09/18/10	12	23.85	Waterwall tube leak.
09/22/10	09/22/10	12	17.90	Grate failure.
09/29/10	09/30/10	12	30.95	Waterwall tube leak.
10/07/10	10/08/10	13	11.33	SSC internal derail.
10/09/10	10/11/10	11	33.03	Grate chain failure.
10/14/10	10/15/10	13	42.75	Tube repair.
10/17/10	10/18/10	11	22.99	SSC internal derail.
10/25/10	10/26/10	12	40.13	Tube repair.
10/28/10	10/30/10	11	49.85	Tube repair.
11/03/10	11/03/10	13	0.15	Distribution spout plug.
11/05/10	11/06/10	12	19.54	SSC internal derail.
11/07/10	11/08/10	12	27.88	Tube repair.
11/17/10	11/18/10	11	44.70	Tube repair.
11/19/10	11/20/10	12	46.10	Tube repair.
11/23/10	11/24/10	13	32.57	SSC internal derail.
11/28/10	11/30/10	12	45.89	Tube repair.
12/04/10	12/05/10	13	46.00	Tube repair.
12/06/10	12/06/10	13	8.87	Standby for Turbine 5 excitation systems repairs to AVR.
12/08/10	12/10/10	11	47.34	Tube repair.

Unscheduled Downtime (Continued)

12/15/10	12/15/10	12	14.80	Standby due to turbine condense cleaning.
12/17/10	12/18/10	12	24.88	Tube repair.
12/29/10	12/30/10	12	36.67	Tube repair.
12/30/10	12/31/10	13	42.93	Tube repair.
01/11/11	01/15/11	11	86.89	Tube repair.
01/22/11	01/22/11	11	1.04	Turbine trip.
01/22/11	01/22/11	13	2.50	Turbine trip.
01/23/11	01/24/11	13	0.99	Pressure regulatory failure.
01/23/11	01/26/11	11	67.70	Tube repair.
02/22/11	02/23/11	13	16.75	Internal derail.
03/04/11	03/10/11	12	99.52	Unscheduled stack and common duct repairs.
03/05/11	03/08/11	13	73.80	Unscheduled stack and common duct repairs.
03/15/11	03/15/11	11	0.13	SSC internal derail.
03/18/11	03/18/11	11	8.97	SSC internal derail.
03/24/11	03/24/11	11	6.03	SSC internal derail.
04/04/11	04/05/11	13	25.60	Low inventory
04/09/11	04/11/11	12	42.87	Low inventory
04/16/11	04/17/11	12	26.85	Low inventory
04/24/11	04/25/11	13	38.24	Clinker jam.
05/03/11	05/05/11	12	37.19	Clinker jam and tube leak repair.
05/12/11	05/17/11	11	126.83	Grate repair, catastrophic meltdown of chain.
05/25/11	05/25/11	11	12.62	Clinker jam.
06/01/11	06/01/11	11	12.10	SSC internal derail.
06/03/11	06/03/11	12	15.00	SSC internal derail.
06/07/11	06/07/11	11	2.57	Failed trip coil.
06/11/11	06/12/11	13	10.24	SSC internal derail.
06/16/11	06/18/11	13	51.82	SSC internal derail.
06/27/11	06/28/11	13	28.00	Tube repair.

Scheduled Downtime

Date Began	Date Ended	Boiler	Duration (Hrs.)	Work Performed
07/12/10	07/14/10	13	72.00	Cleaning outage.
07/26/10	07/28/10	12	52.18	Cleaning outage.
08/16/10	08/19/10	11	79.83	Cleaning outage.
10/02/10	10/04/10	11	71.00	Cold Steel Outage.
10/01/10	10/04/10	12	83.62	Cold Steel Outage.
10/02/10	10/05/10	13	81.90	Cold Steel Outage.
12/20/10	12/21/10	11	36.00	Cleaning outage.
01/04/11	01/17/11	13	326.92	Annual outage, secondary superheater replacement.
01/17/11	02/02/11	12	399.10	Annual outage, secondary superheater replacement.
02/02/11	03/13/11	11	936.05	Annual outage, over-fire air system installation & stand-by for turbine outage.
04/18/11	04/19/11	12	48.00	Cleaning outage.
05/02/11	05/03/11	11	36.04	Cleaning outage.
05/09/11	05/10/11	13	39.69	Cleaning outage.
06/21/11	06/23/11	11	57.84	Cleaning outage.

SECTION 6

CRRA Trash Museum January-July 2011											
Month	School Total	Pre-K - 2nd	3rd - 5th	6th - 8th	9th -12th	College - Adult	Walk-ins	Off-Site	On-Site Events	Grand Total	Hartford Schools
January	633	553	67	0	13	170	76	50	325	1,254	319
February	740	521	178	0	41	157	313	0	20	1,230	547
March	1,313	937	344	0	32	362	133	142	331	2,281	33
April	1,375	928	30	407	10	299	533	738	0	2,945	105
May	2,141	589	1286	266	0	438	153	1618	0	4,350	232
June	1,257	363	629	255	10	265	337	30	360	2,249	390
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	1006	45	15	1,066	
August										0	
September										0	
October										0	
November										0	
December										0	
	7,459	3,891	2,534	928	106	1,691	2,551	2,623	1,051	15,375	1626

CRRA Trash Museum January-December 2010											
Month	School Total	Pre-K - 2nd	3rd - 5th	6th - 8th	9th -12th	College - Adult	Walk-ins	Off-Site	On-Site Events	Grand Total	Hartford Schools
January	1,352	795	504	0	53	241	78	108	228	2,007	795
February	1,108	930	158	5	15	326	237	589	50	2,310	680
March	1,596	1,234	341	13	8	468	178	647	216	3,105	300
April	1,764	1300	449	0	15	490	345	661	210	3,470	298
May	1,961	927	1028	0	6	428	98	678	56	3,221	236
June	1,264	516	496	252	0	366	190	573	0	2,393	97
July	874	414	302	158	0	313	750	209	0	2,146	517
August	343	194	116	17	16	136	732	0	0	1,211	77
September	90	4	86	0	0	53	111	338	50	642	0
October	1,498	87	1411	0	0	295	103	130	32	2,058	229
November	1,064	310	572	91	91	184	123	50	211	1,632	384
December	1,145	449	285	223	188	174	277	0	0	1,596	392
	14,059	7,160	5,748	759	392	3,474	3,222	3,983	1,053	25,791	4005

SECTION 7

Financial And Variance Report

Year-to-Date May 2011

MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT – VARIANCE ANALYSIS


May 2011

REVENUES:

- Service Charges Solid Waste—Members & Contracts: unfavorable due to lower than expected member and contract deliveries, which is occurring state-wide.
- Service Charges Solid Waste—Other Contracts & Spot: favorable due to higher than budgeted non-member deliveries as a result of negotiated settlements.
- Municipal Bulky Waste & Mattresses/Box Spring: unfavorable due to lower than expected municipal bulky waste.
- Electricity: unfavorable primarily due to lower than budgeted output by approximately 27.7 million kwh primarily as a result of extended unbudgeted outages associated with the installation of the new overfire air system.
- Interest Income: unfavorable due to lower than budgeted interest rate. The average interest rate for the past eleven months was below the budgeted interest rate of 1%.
- Jets/EGF: favorable due to a true-up of FY10 Excess Capacity Payment.

EXPENDITURES:

- Operational Expenses: favorable due to lower than budgeted labor and overhead costs and but is expected to become unfavorable at fiscal yearend due to Contribution to Risk Fund Reserve.
- Taxes, Municipal Subsidies, and Pilots: favorable due to timing of expenses.
- Waste Transport: favorable primarily due to lower than expected ash disposal.
- Regional Recycling: favorable primarily due to lower than budgeted direct operational labor and overhead costs and building operating costs, and approximately \$280,000 reversal associated with the FY10 Member Delivery Credit Program.
- Waste Processing Facility (WPF): The actual operating and maintenance (O&M) costs are based on 1/12th accrual method. Adjustments are made quarterly following submission of the actual expenditures by the operator. WPF is expected to become favorable at fiscal yearend primarily due to lower than expected O&M costs based on the operator's FY11 Projection.
- Power Block Facility (PBF): favorable due to lower than budgeted engineering services and environmental testing and timing of revenue share expense and annual emission fee. However, PBF is expected to become unfavorable at fiscal yearend due to higher than expected O&M and other contract pass-through costs (i.e., insurance, greenhouse gas monitors and platforms, acid and caustic containment, and other capital expenditures).
- Hartford Landfill: favorable due to lower than expected O&M costs, environmental testing, project equipment maintenance, and other contract operating costs.
- Jets/EGF: unfavorable primarily due to higher than expected Jets and EGF O&M costs associated with higher than budgeted indices and higher than expected EGF contract capital expenditures.



MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT - FINANCIAL RESULTS

For the Period Ending May 31, 2011

	YTD Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Variance	FY11 Budget	Projection (11+1)
REVENUES					
Service Charges Solid Waste - Members & Contracts	\$ 47,426,000	\$ 45,386,500	\$ (2,039,500)	\$ 52,298,000	\$ 50,165,000
Service Charges Solid Waste - Other Contracts	\$ 1,455,000	\$ 1,706,755	\$ 251,755	\$ 1,598,000	\$ 1,976,000
Service Charges Solid Waste - Spot	\$ 609,000	\$ 1,406,571	\$ 797,571	\$ 680,000	\$ 1,732,000
DEP Certified Materials	\$ 458,000	\$ 522,976	\$ 64,976	\$ 500,000	\$ 605,000
Metal Sales	\$ 660,000	\$ 1,744,844	\$ 1,084,844	\$ 720,000	\$ 1,967,000
Municipal Bulky Waste & Mattresses/Box Spring	\$ 803,000	\$ 552,648	\$ (250,352)	\$ 875,950	\$ 593,000
Recycling Sales	\$ 1,340,000	\$ 2,580,263	\$ 1,240,263	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 3,054,000
Electricity	\$ 22,866,285	\$ 21,756,946	\$ (1,109,339)	\$ 24,040,000	\$ 22,901,000
Miscellaneous Income	\$ 171,000	\$ 195,637	\$ 24,637	\$ 187,000	\$ 216,000
Interest Income	\$ 279,000	\$ 102,595	\$ (176,405)	\$ 304,000	\$ 111,000
Use of Prior Year Surplus (a)	\$ 7,146,000	\$ 7,146,000	\$ -	\$ 7,795,824	\$ 7,795,824
Use of Board Designated Reserves	\$ 3,030,000	\$ 3,030,000	\$ -	\$ 3,305,000	\$ 3,305,000
Jets / EGF	\$ 5,349,000	\$ 5,573,674	\$ 224,674	\$ 6,148,000	\$ 6,322,000
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 91,592,285	\$ 91,705,409	\$ 113,124	\$ 99,921,774	\$ 100,742,824
EXPENDITURES					
Administrative Expenses	\$ 3,392,000	\$ 3,359,074	\$ 32,926	\$ 3,700,000	\$ 3,664,000
Operational Expenses	\$ 9,548,000	\$ 8,284,605	\$ 1,263,395	\$ 10,415,799	\$ 12,197,000
Taxes, Municipal Subsidies, and Pilots	\$ 6,047,000	\$ 5,533,726	\$ 513,274	\$ 6,596,500	\$ 6,510,000
Debt Service/Administration	\$ 4,010,000	\$ 3,998,010	\$ 11,990	\$ 4,375,000	\$ 4,375,000
Waste Transport	\$ 21,931,000	\$ 20,699,478	\$ 1,231,522	\$ 24,543,000	\$ 23,032,000
Regional Recycling	\$ 1,261,000	\$ 414,457	\$ 846,543	\$ 1,376,000	\$ 523,457
Waste Processing Facility	\$ 16,094,000	\$ 15,735,475	\$ 358,525	\$ 17,653,975	\$ 17,202,000
Power Block Facility	\$ 16,377,000	\$ 15,868,574	\$ 508,426	\$ 17,866,000	\$ 18,503,000
Landfill - Hartford	\$ 1,162,000	\$ 800,875	\$ 361,125	\$ 1,268,000	\$ 1,038,000
Landfill - Ellington	\$ 229,000	\$ 112,159	\$ 116,841	\$ 249,500	\$ 158,000
Transfer Station - Ellington	\$ 399,000	\$ 363,561	\$ 35,439	\$ 435,500	\$ 395,000
Transfer Station - Essex	\$ 647,000	\$ 609,406	\$ 37,594	\$ 705,500	\$ 661,000
Transfer Station - Torrington	\$ 566,000	\$ 530,652	\$ 35,348	\$ 617,000	\$ 581,000
Transfer Station - Watertown	\$ 490,000	\$ 457,708	\$ 32,292	\$ 535,000	\$ 502,000
171 Murphy Road	\$ 46,000	\$ 31,923	\$ 14,077	\$ 50,000	\$ 43,000
Jets/EGF Expenditures	\$ 8,740,000	\$ 8,837,027	\$ (97,027)	\$ 9,535,000	\$ 9,811,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 90,939,000	\$ 85,636,710	\$ 5,302,290	\$ 99,921,774	\$ 99,195,457
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$ 653,285	\$ 6,068,699	\$ 5,415,414	\$ 0	\$ 1,547,367
OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS					
Chargeable Member & Contracts	665,750	658,152	(7,597)	747,500	727,031
Project Other Contract MSW Tons	24,743	32,250	7,506	30,000	37,227
Project Spot MSW Tons	8,110	22,767	14,657	8,000	28,090
Recycling & Ferrous Residue	7,758	11,563	3,805	8,470	13,110
Total Project MSW Tons Delivered	706,360	724,732	18,371	793,970	805,459
Diverted / Exported MSW Tons	7,436	14,647	7,211	22,270	14,647
Processed Tons	698,924	705,330	6,406	771,700	786,139
Non-Processible Waste Tons (from Facility)	4,618	6,594	1,976	5,200	7,586
Ash Tons	150,064	132,267	(17,797)	165,000	147,248
Ash Percent	21%	19%	-3%	21%	19%
Process Residue Tons	91,827	91,397	(430)	103,216	102,726
Project Recyclables	74,648	83,252	8,603	82,000	91,860
Kwh Sold	381,554,093	353,805,946	(27,748,147)	417,000,000	388,457,000
Average Rate Per kwh <=250GW	\$ 0.0741	\$ 0.0728	\$ (0.0013)	\$ 0.0741	\$ 0.0728
Average Rate Per kwh >250GW	\$ 0.0330	\$ 0.0330	\$ -	\$ 0.0330	\$ 0.0330
Kwh Blended Rate	\$ 0.0599	\$ 0.0615	\$ 0.0016	\$ 0.0576	\$ 0.0589

(a) As required by contract

