

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

FOUR HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SEVENTH MEETING

SEPTEMBER 27, 2007

A Regular meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors (hereinafter referred to as 'CRRA' or the 'Authority') was held on Thursday, October 25, 2007 at 100 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut. Those present were:

Chairman Michael Pace

Directors: Mark Cooper
 Michael Jarjura
 Edna Karanian
 Mark Lauretti
 Theodore Martland
 James R. Miron (Present via teleconference)
 Raymond O'Brien
 Linda Savitsky (Present via teleconference)
 Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc – Mid-Connecticut Project
 Stephen Edwards, Ad-Hoc – Bridgeport Project
 Warren C. Howe, Jr., Ad-Hoc – Wallingford Project

Present from the CRRA staff:

Tom Kirk, President
Jim Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer
Michael Bzdyra, Government Relations Liaison
Robert Constable, Controller
Peter Egan, Director of Environmental Affairs
Tom Gaffey, Recycling Director
Laurie Hunt, Director of Legal Services
Chris Hyfield, Human Resources Manager
Paul Nonnenmacher, Director of Public Affairs
Michael Tracey, Operations Manager, Construction Management
Nhan Vo-Le, Director of Accounting
Moirra Kenney, Secretary to the Board/Paralegal

Also present were: Lisa Bremmer, Pizzimenti of USA Hauling & Recycling, Jerry Tyminski of SCRRRA, Scott Trenholm of Carlin, Charron & Rosen, LLP, Tom Ritter of

Chairman Pace called the meeting to order at 10:58 a.m. and stated that a quorum was present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Pace requested everyone stand for the Pledge of Allegiance, whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

PUBLIC PORTION

Chairman Pace said the agenda allowed for a public portion in which the Board would accept written testimony and allow individuals to speak for a limit of three minutes.

With no comments from the public, Chairman Pace stated the regular meeting would commence.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE JULY 26, 2007 REGULAR BOARD MEETING

Chairman Pace requested a motion to approve the minutes of the July 26, 2007 Regular Board Meeting. The motion was made by Director O'Brien seconded by Director Savitsky.

Chairman Pace asked the Board if there were any questions or comments on the minutes. Chairman O'Brien asked for an update on the Watertown host community benefits situation, primarily if the other towns had been contacted to set up meetings and, if so, what was the outcome.

Mr. Gent explained CRRA had set up meetings with the Chief Elected Officials (CEOs) in the four towns which were involved. One of the CEOs was unable to attend. There were several comments on the contract which were incorporated into the agreements sent to the towns. Watertown is going to be voting on the agreement on Monday, October 1, 2007, and both Ellington and Torrington have submitted evidence to suggest they are favorably inclined to approve the agreement. Essex was the one town CRRA has yet to resolve differences with. Another meeting will be held with the first selectman to try and resolve these issues. Chairman Pace inquired as to exactly what the issues were and Mr. Gent stated the issues resulted from the permit change, planning and zoning.

Chairman O'Brien explained during the Policies and Procurement meeting Director Savitsky had suggested topics raised, to be discussed at future Board meetings, should be added to the agenda to ensure further discussion. Mr. Kirk added that in the future CRRA intends to add an item (1.a) to the minutes to ensure items raised on the minutes will be addressed.

Director O'Brien would rather see topics for discussion reflected on a progress report as in certain cases the item may be ready to be voted on, or merely up for discussion. Mr. Kirk pointed out a progress report puts the burden on CRRA to confirm we have addressed and reflected topics for discussion in the minutes, as required for the records. Mr. Kirk added in order to approve the minutes without lengthy questioning the reminder footnote should be contained elsewhere. Director Savitsky added a distinction between the minutes and a business follow up should be made in an attempt to pass minutes procedurally as they are intended.

Chairman Pace stated, per Board Protocols, additions to the minutes are typical however Director Savitsky is correct.

Director Griswold posed a question with respect to the host fees. He asked how the municipal fees are calculated and what are the components which might cause that number to rise. Mr. Gent explained CRRA had designed a uniform fee from the budget standpoint. A fair market value is used for all four transfer stations and the fee of 50¢ cents a ton was a good surrogate to approximate the value of the transfer stations.

To further explain the calculation, Mr. Gent explained there was some concern the value of the land would increase over time therefore two adjusters were added. In the case of Essex, the amount paid is higher than an assessed value of taxes. Mr. Kirk pointed out we could give nothing further at this time but CRRA’s goal was to achieve a stable, uniform and equal rate for all involved.

Chairman Pace asked Mr. Gaffey to address some of the complaints received from Essex. Mr. Gaffey said CRRA has been constantly looking for the complaints raised but has not found much to support complaints. There were a few limited issues on heavily trafficked days with some litter which was immediately handled. Mr. Kirk mentioned we are still working on finding an amicable solution.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

FINANCE COMMITTEE UPDATE

Chairman Pace requested a motion to discuss the Finance Committee Update. The motion was made by Director Francis and seconded by Director Martland.

Mr. Bolduc provided the Board with a brief overview as the Finance Committee had covered this subject extensively. He explained the Director of Accounting, Nhan Vo-Le, and

Scott Trenholm, an auditor from Carlin, Charron, and Rosen, LLP (who performed the audit for CRRA), would address the topic.

Mr. Trenholm began by distributing the required communication letter intended to provide the Finance Committee and the Board with additional information with regard to the recently completed audit.

Mr. Trenholm explained the accounting firm's responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement with respect to the audit. Furthermore, the report will be issued today and will have an unqualified, or clean opinion.

Mr. Trenholm went on to discuss significant accounting policies. He stated no new accounting policies or changes in the existing accounting policies were made during the course of the year which had any bearing on how CRRA obtains accounting information. However, there were five significant transactions which the accounting firm believed warranted a discussion to make sure the Board of Directors was appropriately informed.

The five transactions were: (1) the settlements resulting from the various Enron related lawsuits with legal firms with attorneys who had represented CRRA; (2) the court ruling issued in the matter of New Hartford vs. CRRA, and the need to record that ruling in the financial statements as a liability. There is significant discussion in the footnotes indicating CRRA is appealing that ruling but, at present, accounting principles require liability be recorded; (3) the settlement agreement with a private landowner in Ellington and how the transaction was accounted for in terms of the allocation and the cost of the settlement, which was recorded as an expense; (4) the agreement with the City of Hartford pertaining to the closure of the Hartford landfill and the ultimate responsibility of the Authority for the costs associated with that closure.

Last, the defeasement in July 2006 of approximately \$54 million Mid-CT project bonds and how that transaction was accounted for.

Mr. Trenholm further discussed the third item on the accounting agenda and how it relates to accounting estimates. It is the responsibility of the auditors to ensure financial statements are appropriately adjusted for the estimates. There are three specific areas where estimates are material to the financial statements. The first is in the determination of closure and post closure care of landfill liability. The second is in the determination of evaluation allowance for accounts receivable, and the last item relates to determination of depreciation and amortization expense using the assignment of estimated lives.

Mr. Trenholm moved on to audit adjustments. The auditors concluded the audit adjustment was viewed as a unique situation.

CRRA files a comprehensive annual financial report with the GFOA each year which includes the financial statement and the opinion expressed by the firm. Mr. Trenholm informed the Board he was happy to report there were no disagreements with management or, to the best of his knowledge, consultation with other independent accountants on matters of accounting principles.

Mr. Trenholm stated any issues discussed prior to retention of independent auditors, relates to the discussion of a variety of matters, including application of accounting principles and auditing standards. These discussions occurred in the normal course of the professional relationship and were not a condition to retention. Lastly there were no difficulties in performing the audit.

Chairman Pace asked Mr. Trenholm to review the Summary of Past Adjustments. Mr. Trenholm explained two items should be reflected on the task adjustment schedule for the Finance Committee and Board's information. The first is related to an understatement of interest required to be accrued in connection to the New Hartford judgment which was calculated to be \$120,000 for the year ending June 30, 2007. The second item relates to the understatement of accrued expenses for amounts received subsequent to closing books. This issue always presents a problem because, at some point, management needs to close the books and make estimates on invoices they are expecting to receive. In this case these expenses came in after the closing of the books.

Director Francis asked Mr. Trenholm to review with the Board issues regarding to the Bridgeport Project. Mr. Trenholm explained the issue which was raised in past management letters states undesignated unrestricted net assets as a deficit. The reason for this is the Board has designated net assets in excess of what is available to be designated.

Director Francis asked Mr. Trenholm to comment on the significance of the closure and post closure liabilities which CRRA needs to address. The closure and post closure care liability represents management's best estimates of the costs associated with the landfill's close.

Chairman Pace asked Mr. Bolduc to speak to the closure and post closure increase. Mr. Bolduc explained closure and post closure costs are dynamic and move quickly. Some of the numbers are a result of changes and escalating oil and natural gas prices. He suggested the Board plan a 12/31/08 stub audit for Bridgeport as that project comes to a close. Mr. Bolduc also pointed out next January represents the Board's last opportunity to change the tip fee and currently there are substantial shortages on what will be required and cause a significant increase in tip fees. The minimum will be \$5, to potentially another \$10-15 which is drastic.

Mr. Edwards pointed out SWAB had attempted several alternatives, to soften the impact, including trying to obtain resources from Waterbury, a different approach on locking in costs for Shelton landfill, and a different exit strategy, but all were unsuccessful. He said the towns are frustrated at not being able to bring any closure to the issue and the general sentiment is they will do whatever they can to get out.

Chairman Pace asked if the towns intend to be on their own and Mr. Edwards explained they are being courted by City Carting with new propositions and ideas. CRRA looking to extend future liabilities is not something they are looking forward to. Chairman Pace asked who was working on this matter, to which Mr. Kirk indicated the Future Options Committee, along with five members from SWAB, and five from CRRA.

Mr. Edwards went on to say the biggest frustration for SWAB is attempting to get a good grip on the loose ends. The actual costs, exit strategy, and cost for closure are escalating. Going forward there is a lot of debate, the question will be how much reserve is necessary, and what will happen with Waterbury? The towns want a clean and neat separation as much as possible.

Mr. Kirk explained the Waterbury landfill costs are what they are, and the cost liability is CRRA’s responsibility. When the 18 towns are out, there may be options to take renewal deals with Wheelabrator and allow the towns to spread out the cost for the last six months over a five year deal. CRRA will have to establish some conservative action to come up with an estimate as the ability to go back and confer with the towns will not exist.

Director O’Brien felt by possibly spreading the cost out over the next six months would gain an advantage to the towns as they would be able to offset the cost with their second tax collection. Chairman Pace asked Mr. Bolduc if the choice could be made by the towns for the period of time. Mr. Bolduc answered that liability and specific town restrictions may come into play but CRRA is working with them to try and do what is right for the towns.

Mr. Gent added a meeting is planned with Mr. LaRusso (CRRA’s counterpart in Waterbury). If a decision is not made at that meeting, CRRA has the consent of SWAB to take the matter to arbitration. In regards to the options beyond December 31, 2008, SWAB made it very clear they didn’t want to enter any agreement with a continuing liability. The towns want their liability to end as of December 2008. If there is a payment plan after that date, there needs to be an agreement in place. With regard to the project, CRRA will be getting a price from Wheelabrator in December. Mr. Gent hopes the price will be agreeable to at least keep some of the towns together.

The motion previously made and seconded passed unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O’Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION OF CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGARDING THE PURCHASE OF COMMERCIAL GENERAL

LIABILITY, UMBRELLA LIABILITY, POLLUTION LEGAL LIABILITY AND COMMERCIAL AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY INSURANCE

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-captioned matter. Director Francis made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That CRRA's Commercial General Liability insurance be purchased from Ace (Illinois Union Insurance Company) with a \$1,00,000 limit, \$50,000 deductible for the period 10/1/07 – 10/1/08 for a premium of \$258,898, as discussed at this meeting; and

FURTHER RESOLVED: That CRRA's \$25 million Umbrella Excess liability insurance be purchased as follows: \$10 million from Everest National Insurance Company for a premium of \$129,948 and \$15 million from Allied World Assurance Company for a premium of \$76,500 for the period 10/1/07 – 10/1/08 as discussed at this meeting; and

FURTHER RESOLVED: That CRRA's Pollution Legal Liability insurance be purchased from Ace (Illinois Union Insurance Company) with a \$20 million limit, \$1 million retention for the period 10/1/07 – 10/1/08 for a premium of \$344,666; and

FURTHER RESOLVED: That CRRA's Commercial Automobile Liability insurance be purchased from Ace American Insurance Company with a \$1 million limit, comprehensive and collision only on eight vehicles with a \$1,000 deductible, for the period 10/1/07 – 10/1/08 for a premium of \$65,000.

Ms. Martin informed the Board this renewal began back in May 2007 when CRRA's brokerage firm AON approached many companies in an attempt to find new insurance coverage. The recommendation is to stay with Ace for the first layer of general liability and auto, and to go with the two new players, Everest and Allied World Assurance Company (AWAC), for the \$10 million and \$15 million of umbrella coverage. Ace was the only player interested in pollution legal liability; they have provided a quote of \$20 million which is the same as what is expiring.

Chairman Pace asked if it were fair to say more players were interested in providing the insurance and the total premium is less than last year. He also asked Ms. Martin to review the Finance Committee's discussion on admitted and un-admitted insurance companies.

Ms. Martin informed the Board the discussion on admitted and un-admitted companies centered around the fact Ace is an admitted carrier, which means the State monitors the company and also provides coverage under the State Guarantee Fund which is at present capped \$300,000 per claim. Everest is also an admitted carrier, AON misspoke at the Finance Committee meeting and designated them as a non-admitted carrier. AWAC is a non-admitted company, however AWAC is placed on what is referred to as a 'white list' which is monitored and subject to having their books examined, it is also A rated. Director Martland added this may be advantageous as the insurance company may be able to offer broader coverage by not having to adhere to the State's requirements to become an admitted company.

Ms. Martin also pointed out, historically, a significant drop in ratings is not something which occurs quickly with these companies. CRRA’s broker, AON, will monitor the companies and CRRA can also terminate the insurance if there is a drop in any of the company ratings. Director O’Brien added AON assists not only with the monitoring effort but in the addition of many new players from which to select insurance, which is significant considering CRRA, in the past, had to consider self-insurance out of pure necessity and he is pleased with AON’s performance. He also believes, in the interest of transparency, the record reflect what we pay AON and that they provide assistance in multiple areas.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director O’Brien was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O’Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the Board hereby approves and endorses the annual financial report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, substantially as discussed and presented at this meeting.

The motion previously made and seconded by Vice-Chairman Martland was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain

Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

POLICIES & PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION REGARDING CRRA'S ADHERENCE TO STATE STATUTES GOVERNING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above captioned matter. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority hereby adopts as its policy to support the nondiscrimination agreements and warranties required under Connecticut General Statutes § 4a-60(a)(1) and § 4a-60a(a)(1), as amended in State of Connecticut Public Act 07-245 and sections 9(a)(1) and 10(a)(1) of Public Act 07-14.

Chairman O'Brien referred to the write-up as well written and necessary in order to proceed with other items on the agenda. He deferred to the Chairman of the Human Resources Committee, Mark Cooper. Director Cooper explained the Human Resources Committee had in fact discussed the resolution at length and were in agreement to recommend the item for the Board's approval.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING SOLID WASTE CONSULTING SERVICES TO SUPPORT PROCUREMENTS OF TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL SERVICES FOR ASH RESIDUE

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to enter into a Request for Services with Alternative Resources, Inc. for solid waste consulting services to support procurement of transportation and disposal services for ash residue, substantially as discussed and presented at this meeting.

Director Savitsky seconded the motion.

Mr. Kirk explained to the Board the landfill is closing and CRRA wishes to issue a request for services to be able to identify the most cost-efficient service provider using a consultant who can assist CRRA in identifying and evaluating the most effective and efficient contactors.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		

Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING CONTRACT WITH CT DEP FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ANNUAL STACK TESTING AT MID-CT FOR CALENDAR YEARS 2008 AND 2009

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection for reimbursement of costs associated with the annual stack testing at the Mid-Connecticut RRF for calendar years 2008 and 2009, substantially as discussed and presented at this meeting.

Mr. Kirk explained, as the Board already knows, the dioxin tax is collected on a per ton basis and reimbursed on a cost basis. It is a nice stream of revenue for the CT DEP. In order for CRRA to get money back to pay for the stack testing CRRA has to execute this reimbursement contract which puts CRRA in a position to get back the \$204,000.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Cooper was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		

Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING THE UPGRADE OF THE AUTOMATION SYSTEM
AT THE MID-CONNECTICUT WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY**

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with I & C Systems Engineering to upgrade the automation system located at the Mid-Connecticut Waste Processing facility, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Chairman Pace felt it was important to discuss this resolution's part in a series of planned activities. Mr. Kirk also agreed these were appropriately planned, engineered, and examined capital improvements to the plant which need to be done. Also of particular interest is, in the past, these actions were conducted by MDC, who would determine what would need to be done, and in the course of finding vendors to do the work would mark up the costs by 15%. CRRA now uses internal engineers which not only saves money but also gives CRRA a better stake in the improvements being made to the facility.

Chairman Pace also explained a lot of the intellectual knowledge was owned by another corporation in prior years and he was happy they had brought much of that information back in. Director Martland asked Mr. Kirk to explain the spread in price. Mr. Kirk replied CRRA had anticipated a price difference as this is a high value contract. The \$100,000 that is 20% markup but he is pleased to even have two viable contractors offer to do the work as there are so few companies which do this type of work any longer.

Chairman Pace asked if we were taking the lower bid. Mr. Kirk explained he believed Director Martland's concern was the difference in the spread. Mr. Kirk assured the board this in no way indicates the write up was done incorrectly. Director O'Brien raised the point that CRRA is doing a better job of planning ahead and making sure these issues are adequately planned for financially.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Savitsky was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE REPLACEMENT OF TROMMEL THRUST RINGS AT THE MID-CONNECTICUT WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Infinity Constructors, Inc. to replace trommel thrust rings at the Mid-Connecticut Waste Processing Facility, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Mr. Kirk explained this is a small design change which will allow CRRA to process change outs more quickly and easily. He feels it is a good improvement engineered by our folks in coordination with MDC.

Mr. Griswold asked Mr. Kirk for clarification on which rings were being replaced; Mr. Tracey clarified and explained that Mr. Griswold was looking at rings which had already been replaced.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Martland was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		

Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE PURCHASE OF TWO HIGH SPEED RUBBER ROLL UP DOORS FOR THE MID-CONNECTICUT WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the Board of Directors, in accordance with the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority's Procurement Policy, hereby approves the procurement of two (2) New High Speed Rubber Roll-up Doors from BODE Equipment Company for use at the Mid-Connecticut Waste Processing Facility, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

The motion was seconded by Director Savitsky.

Chairman Pace asked Director Martland if he had any questions regarding the need for rubber doors. Director Martland mentioned the need for rubber doors must be due to being struck often. Mr. Kirk explained the door needs to be rubber because the steel doors are frequently used and damaged, but with these doors CRRA will save on repair and maintenance.

Director O'Brien drew the Board's attention to the fact that two of the doors are still steel but are not within high traffic or high odor areas.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		

Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT ADVISOR SERVICES AGREEMENTS

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to execute, deliver, and perform on behalf of the Authority, Municipal Government Advisor Services Agreements as were substantially set forth in the Request for Qualifications dated June 25, 2007, for a period of one year commencing on October 1, 2007, and terminating on September 30, 2008, with the firm listed below.

Brown Rudnick Berlack & Israels

Chairman Pace pointed out that CRRA is prohibited from hiring a contract lobbyist. Mr. Kirk added that CRRA is very careful and precise in its' use of consultants with respect to CRRA's prohibition on lobbying the legislature. He added CRRA was not satisfied with the returns of the RFQ so it will be supplemented with the intent of finding a more broad-based response with community focused people. What were obtained the first time around was mostly government services groups at law firms. The specification will be reviewed with another RFQ and return to the board with a stable of choices.

Director Karanian asked why the other four firms who did respond had such different costs. Mr. Kirk replied they had all provided hourly rates. Chairman Pace asked Mr. Kirk to further define community groups at some point. Director Martland added the sensitivity towards geographical location needs to be considered as well. The Policies and Procurement Committee did not feel having primarily local responses was a good idea either, and options in other parts of the state with a broader range of coverage and influence should be explored.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Cooper was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain

Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING REQUEST FOR JUNK MAIL RECYCLING MARKETING CAMPAIGN

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to approve a Request for Services with Pita Communications LLC for services associated with a junk mail recycling marketing campaign substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Chairman O'Brien would like to see measurable objectives in order for the Board to ensure the project goal is being reached. He recognizes actual measurement may be difficult but, in order to propose any type of spending, objectives should always be measurable. Mr. Kirk agreed and he would begin to focus on metrics which can be measured. However, Mr. Kirk further stated this can be difficult due to many factors affecting recycling at the moment. However, a method to measure and better understand the campaign will be brought back to the Policies and Procurement Committee for consideration.

Director Savitsky disagreed since an effort to monitor a campaign of this type, with so many mitigating factors, may end up costing close to the initial \$66,000 price tag. The factors associated with measuring this campaign's effect may be nearly impossible to measure. She feels the campaign is a wonderful public relations campaign in addition to the immeasurable benefit of educating young children about recycling.

Director O'Brien clarified he was looking for a measurement of the objective on increasing recycling. He also feels CRRA has an obligation to identify and record our recycling efforts to the town and a measurement standard for CRRA's base and objective needs to be developed.

Director Martland asked the mayors and selectman present if there was a way to work together with CT DEP in recording and measuring recycling amounts. Senator Gaffey responded the DEP requires the towns to annually report their recycling tonnages. CT DEP's form breaks down the measurements to old newspaper, cardboard, junk mail, white paper, residential,

commercial, and then co-mingled containers. CRRA has explained their reporting indicates fibers come in together, which eliminates the need on the part of the towns to separate those materials. Agreement was given on the part of CT DEP, but their forms have yet to reflect the change.

Mr. Gaffey noted correspondence from Woodbury regarding administration of recycling data and noted he would write First Selectman Crane of Woodbury a letter to this effect and offer CRRA's and CT DEP's services to reconcile the situation. Mr. Gaffey added a primary way to asses any changes in recycling are when through enforcement. For example: CRRA discovers there has been diversion of certain material, which is most often fiber, after enforcement there is a huge increase in recycling.

Chairman Pace asked Mr. Nonnenmacher if the marketing campaign tool 'Phillup D. Bag' is aimed at all recyclables. Mr. Nonnenmacher explained the campaign is currently focused on junk mail but will expand to include all recyclables. Director Savitsky added she had recently read a press release put out by the mayor of Hartford which provided much detail about what should and should not be recycled.

Mr. Gaffey, Paul Nonnenmacher, and Mary Anne Bergenty met with the town manger and public works director of Hartford to advise them on other ways to encourage recycling. Mr. Nonnenmacher added CRRA is also working with the National Recycling Coalition on a pilot program to develop new strategies to improve recycling in cities.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Savitsky was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

RESOLUTION REGARDING AN AGREEMENT FOR METALS RECOVERY AND MARKETING SERVICES WITH WTE RECYCLING, INC.

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President be authorized to enter into a contract with wTe Recycling, Inc. for the transportation, processing, and marketing of metals generated at the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility and the Hartford Landfill using the Shredded Auto Scrape Philadelphia Index substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Mr. Kirk informed the Board unfortunately there is only one contractor available to do the necessary metals recovery and, in the future, CRRA will continue investigating options toward developing their own plant. Director O'Brien asked CRRA note for the record that CRRA rejected alternative bids because the bids were conditional on a five year commitment, especially considering one offer promised a higher return than our current contractor.

Mr. Gent explained we would like CRRA locked in until 2012. Although this would mean more money in the short term for CRRA, it would be a disadvantage to become locked into a contract preventing CRRA from finding alternate bidders or building their own plant. He also pointed out shipping the garbage out to Massachusetts, only to have it shipped back, doesn't make financial sense.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Savitsky was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

ORGANIZATIONAL SYNERGY & HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Mr. Cooper asked the Board make a motion to recognize Mr. Gent's four years of service at CRRA:

Mr. Cooper informed the Board that Floyd Gent, Director of Operations is leaving CRRA for another position closer to home. He mentioned Mr. Gent's efforts has put many of CRRA's projects back in a positive direction. Chairman Pace added Mr. Gent's hard work and dedication were greatly appreciated by both he and the Board.

Mr. Gent told the Board he greatly enjoyed working with CRRA, which had initially attracted him for its challenges, he stressed he truly enjoyed working with all the employees, management, and CRRA Board.

The motion previously made and seconded by Director Savitsky was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE TEMPORARY DISPOSITION OF THE INVESTMENT INCOME EARNED ON ESCROW MONEYS HELD BY THE STATE TREASURER PURSUANT TO THE COURT ORDER IN THE MATTER OF THE TOWNS OF NEW HARTFORD AND BARKHAMSTED VERSUS THE AUTHORITY AND OTHER AVAILABLE FUNDS

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

WHEREAS: according to the Order of the Superior Court dated September 7, 2007 (the "Court Order"), in the matter of the Towns of New Hartford and Barkhamsted v.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority, investment income (the “Escrow Investment Income”) on the sum of (A) \$35,873,732.25 and (B) the interest accrued on such sum from June 19, 2007 to September 7, 2007 (the sum of the amounts described in (A) and this (B), collectively, the “Escrowed Funds”) is thereafter free from prejudgment attachment and is available for disposition by the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority (the “Authority”); and

WHEREAS: the Escrowed Funds have, since April 12, 2007, been held by the State Treasurer in an account established with the State of Connecticut Short-Term Investment Fund (the “Escrow STIF Account”); and

WHEREAS: the Board of Directors of the Authority (the “Board”) is currently evaluating how to best make use of the Escrow Investment Income and such other funds in excess of the Escrowed Funds held by the State Treasurer in the Escrow STIF Account (collectively, the “Available Funds”) pending a final, non-appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction in the matter of the Towns of New Hartford and Barkhamsted v. Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority; and

WHEREAS: pending a final determination of the Board regarding the use of the Available Funds, the Board desires to segregate the Available Funds from Escrowed Funds by creating a separate account with the Short-Term Investment Fund (the “Non-Escrow STIF Account”) and to deposit the Available Funds therein; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the Board hereby authorizes the President and the Chief Financial Officer of the Authority (the “Officials”), acting with the advice of counsel, in their discretion, to establish the Non-Escrow STIF Account with the State Treasurer; and

RESOLVED: That the Board hereby directs the Officials to deposit amounts determined by the State Treasurer to constitute Available Funds in the Non-Escrow STIF Account pending further action by the Board.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

Mr. Bolduc informed the Board the resolution before them reflects the changes put into effect as requested by the Finance Committee. The next Finance Committee Meeting will further discuss what do to with undesignated funds. Mr. Kirk explained the resolution authorizes Mr. Kirk and Mr. Bolduc to have the unrestricted funds moved into a STIF account. Chairman Pace asked if the Finance Committee had approved the decision, to which Mr. Bolduc responded yes.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		

Mark Cooper	X		
James Francis	X		
Edna Karanian	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Raymond O'Brien	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
Non-Eligible Voters			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project			
Timothy Griswold, Ad-Hoc, Mid-CT			

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman Pace requested a motion to enter into Executive Session to discuss pending litigation and personnel matters with appropriate staff. The motion was made by Director O'Brien and seconded by Director Savitsky. The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously. Chairman Pace requested that the following people be invited to the Executive Session in addition to the Directors and Mid-Connecticut Ad-Hocs:

- Tom Kirk
- Jim Bolduc
- Laurie Hunt, Esq.
- Rob Constable
- Lou Pepe, Pepe & Hazard
- Tom Reichen, Pepe & Hazard
- Richard Goldstein, Pepe & Hazard

The Executive Session began at 11:38 a.m. and concluded at 12:53 p.m. Chairman Pace noted that no votes were taken in Executive Session.

The meeting was reconvened at 12:55 p.m.

CHAIRMAN'S, PRESIDENT'S AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Chairman Pace had nothing to report and asked Mr. Kirk for his report.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Mr. Kirk's report discussed the record throughput by MDC of 85 tons per hour. He also talked at length about the poor performance by Covanta and, in the future, CRRA will focus on Covanta in an effort to resolve the issue.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Pace requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion to adjourn was made by Director O'Brien and seconded by Director Savitsky and was approved unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 12:58 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Moira Kenney
Secretary to the Board/Paralegal