CRRA BOARD MEETING JULY 17, 2003



100 CONSTITUTION PLAZA - 17th FLOOR • HARTFORD • CONNECTICUT • 06103-1722 • TELEPHONE (860) 757-7700 FAX (860) 727-4141

July 11, 2003

TO: CRRA Board of Directors

FROM: Angelica Mattschei, Corporate Secretary @w-

RE: Notice of Meeting

There will be a regular meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors held on Thursday, July 17, 2003 at 9:00 a.m. at the Regional Recycling Center, 211 Murphy Road, Hartford.

Please notify this office of your attendance at (860) 757-7792 at your earliest convenience.



100 CONSTITUTION PLAZA - 17th FLOOR • HARTFORD • CONNECTICUT • 06103-1722 • TELEPHONE (860) 757-7700 FAX (860) 727-4141

> Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors' Meeting <u>Agenda</u> July 17, 2003 9:00 AM

I. <u>Pledge of Allegiance</u>

II. <u>Public Portion</u>

A public portion from 9:00 to 9:30 will be held and the Board will accept written testimony and allow individuals to speak for a limit of three minutes. The regular meeting will commence if there is no public input.

III. <u>Minutes</u>

- 1. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought for the approval of the June 19, 2003 Regular Board Meeting Minutes (Attachment 1).
- 2. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought for the approval of the June 30, 2003 Special Board Meeting Minutes (Attachment 2).

IV. <u>Finance</u>

- 1. The Mid-Connecticut Project Source and Use of Cash Funds is included for your review (Attachment 3).
- 2. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought regarding the Creation and Dissolution of a Recycling Reserve (Attachment 4).

V. Project Reports

- A. <u>Mid-Connecticut</u>
 - 1. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought regarding a Connecticut Market Waste Flow and Management Study (Attachment 5).

2. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought regarding A Facilities Modification Agreement to Modify Existing Regional Recycling Center Located in Hartford, CT (Attachment 6).

VI. Chairman's and Committee Reports

- 1. The Policy & Procurement Committee will report on its July3, 2003 meeting.
 - a. <u>Board Action</u> will be sought Authorizing the Approval of the CRRA Ethics Policy (Attachment 7).

VII. <u>Executive Session</u>

An Executive Session will be held to discuss litigation, pending litigation, contractual and consent order negotiations and personnel matters with appropriate staff

TAB 1

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-EIGHTH MEETING

JUNE 19, 2003

A regular meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors was held on Thursday, June 19, 2003 at 211 Murphy Road, Hartford. Those present were:

Chairman Michael Pace

Directors:

Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman
Benson Cohn
Howard Rifkin (delegate for Director Nappier)
John Mengacci (delegate for Director Ryan)(left at 1:05 p.m.)
Ray O'Brien
Andrew Sullivan (arrived at 10:45 a.m.)
Marc Ryan (arrived at 9:30 a.m.)(left at 10:15 a.m.)
Mark Cooper
Mark Lauretti (arrived at 9:10 a.m.)
Timothy Griswold, (ad hoc for Mid-Connecticut)(arrived at 10:15 a.m.)
Alex Knopp (arrived at 9:10 a.m.)

Directors Martland, Francis, Blake, Boone and Nappier did not attend.

Present from the CRRA staff:

James Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer Bettina Bronisz, Assistant Treasurer & Director of Finance Robert Constable, Budget Analyst Peter Egan, Director of Environmental Services Christopher Fancher, Facilities Engineer Thomas Gaffey, Recycling & Environmental Education Division Head Gary Gendron, Director of Administration Ronald Gingerich, Senior Analyst Marion Hubbard, Human Resources Administrator Thomas Kirk, President Angelica Mattschei, Executive Assistant & Corporate Secretary Christopher May, Systems Analyst Virginia Raymond, Project Analyst John Romano, Project Manager Diane Spence, Secretary Ann Stravalle-Schmidt, Director of Legal Services Michael Tracey, Director of Civil & Construction Engineer

Others in attendance were: Elsie Patton, Arthur Rocque and Tom Tyler of the DEP; John Stafstrom, Jr. of P&C; Joyce Tentor of HEJN; Frank Marci of USA Hauling; Jerry Tyminski of SCRRRA; David Arruda of the MDC; Ted Doolittle and Charlie Steenburg of the AG's Office; Jane Korwek and Douglas Cohen of BRBI; Steve Diaz of Covanta; Peter Boucher of H&S; Barry Zitser of P&Z; Mark Cordeiro of PLM; and Jonathan Bilmes of BRROC.

Chairman Pace called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. and noted that a quorum was present. Chairman Pace requested that everyone stand up for the Pledge of Allegiance, whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

PUBLIC PORTION

Chairman Pace said that the next item on the agenda allowed for a public portion between 9:00 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. in which the Board would accept written testimony and allow individuals to speak for a limit of three minutes. Chairman Pace asked whether any member of the public wished to speak.

Ms. Tentor of the Hartford Environmental Justice Network addressed the Board of Directors.

PRESENTATION REGARDING THE COLLABORATION BETWEEN CRRA AND THE DEP

Chairman Pace and Commissioner Arthur Rocque of the Department of Environmental Protection gave a presentation on CRRA and the DEP collaboratively making good public policies (refer to pages 11-23 of transcript).

APPROVAL OF MAY 15, 2003 REGULAR BOARD MINUTES

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion to approve the minutes of the May 15, 2003 regular Board meeting. The motion to accept made by Director Cohn and seconded by Director O'Brien was approved. Director Knopp abstained from the vote as he was not present at the meeting.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	x		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp			X
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		

PROJECT REPORTS

MID-CONNECTICUT

<u>AUTHORIZATION REGARDING AN AGREEMENT FOR METALS RECOVERY AND</u> <u>MARKETING SERVICES WITH wTe RECYCLING, INC.</u>

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President be authorized to enter into a contract with wTe Recycling, Inc., for the transportation, processing and marketing of metals generated at the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility and the Hartford Landfill substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Dese, Chairman			
Michael Pace, Chairman	<u>X</u>		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		ļ
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		

FINANCIAL MITIGATION PLAN

Chairman Pace and Director Ryan led a lengthy discussion regarding the referenced item (see page 26-62 of transcript).

MID-CONNECTICUT (CON'T)

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE INSTALLATION OF A TEMPORARY MEMBRANE COVER AT THE HARTFORD LANDFILL

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to execute the agreement entitled "Installation of Temporary Membrane Cover Agreement" with TDI Contracting, LLC, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti was not present during the vote.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X .		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan , Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE EXTENSION OF THE ON-CALL EQUIPMENT SERVICES CONTRACTS FOR THE ELLINGTON, HARTFORD, SHELTON, AND WALLINGFORD LANDFILLS

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to execute a one-year extension to the On-Call Equipment Services Contracts for Earth Technology, Inc., Infantino's Property Services, Park Trucking & Contracting, LLC, and R.L. Rogers & Sons, Inc., substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti was not present during the vote.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	Х		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING A REDUCTION IN WASTE DELIVERY HOURS AT THE HARTFORD LANDFILL

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the Board of Directors hereby approves a change to the delivery hours for the Hartford Landfill, as published in the <u>MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT</u> <u>PERMITTING, DISPOSAL AND BILLING PROCEDURES</u>, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti was not present during the vote.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
ОРМ (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING A SPOT WASTE SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF WINDSOR

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President is authorized to enter into an agreement with the Town of Windsor substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti was not present during the vote.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	<u> </u>		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

<u>AUTHORIZATION REGARDING AN EQUIPMENT LEASE BETWEEN CRRA AND</u> <u>CWPM, LLC</u>

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President be authorized to enter into an Equipment Lease with CWPM, LLC for the lease of CRRA rolling stock equipment associated with the operation of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti was not present during the vote.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	<u> </u>		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	Х		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	Х		

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE CREATION OF CRRA EMPLOYEE POSITIONS TO OPERATE THE MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT SCALES AND PROVIDE ENHANCED WASTE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President be authorized to implement a program to operate the Mid-Connecticut Project scales with CRRA employees and provide enhanced waste enforcement activities, as deemed necessary, substantially in accordance with the proposal discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion.

Director Lauretti made a motion to amend the resolution to add "or a contractor to CRRA" to read:

RESOLVED: That the President be authorized to implement a program to operate the Mid-Connecticut Project scales with CRRA employees or a contractor to CRRA and provide enhanced waste enforcement activities, as deemed necessary, substantially in accordance with the proposal discussed at this meeting.

Director O'Brien seconded the amendment to the motion which was approved unanimously.

The amended motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

AUTHORIZATION TO ADD AN ITEM TO THE AGENDA

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE PURCHASE OF COAL

Chairman Pace requested a motion to add the referenced item to the agenda. The motion to add the item made by Director O'Brien and seconded by Director Cooper was approved unanimously.

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President of CRRA is authorized to enter into an agreement with Mountain Resources for the purchase of the remaining 2,500 tons of coal at a cost of \$61.90 per ton. Be it further resolved that the President is authorized to purchase the 2,500 tons of coal under the executed agreement with Mountain Resources.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	Х		
Mark Lauretti	Х		
Alex Knopp	Х		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	Х		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	Х		

BRIDGEPORT

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS, AND REPORTING AT THE SHELTON LANDFILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized to enter into a Request for Professional and Technical Services ("RFS") with Environmental Risk Limited under existing Environmental Services Agreement No. 020120 for completion of environmental monitoring, laboratory analysis, and reporting for the Shelton Landfill for Fiscal Year 2004, substantially as discussed and presented at this meeting.

Director Lauretti seconded the motion. After a brief discussion, the motion previously made and seconded was passed unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X	1	
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT			

SOUTHEAST

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING A MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE DELIVERY AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF MANSFIELD

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President is authorized to enter into a solid waste disposal agreement for the Southeast Resources Recovery Facility with the Town of Mansfield, Connecticut in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion. Director O'Brien said that the contract should be approved with the reservation that it could be brought back to the Board for reconsideration pending a resolution from Southeast regarding the out years.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	v		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman			
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		

Mark Lauretti	X	
Alex Knopp	X	
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin , Boone)	X	
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X	
Non Eligible Voters		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT		

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING A MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE DELIVERY AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF SALEM

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President is authorized to enter into a solid waste disposal agreement for the Southeast Resources Recovery Facility with the Town of Salem, Connecticut in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Sullivan seconded the motion which was passed unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	x		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT			

WALLINGFORD

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING AN ASH RESIDUE DISPOSAL AGREEMENT EXTENSION WITH WHEELABRATOR PUTNAM, INC

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The President be authorized to enter into a contract extension with Wheelabrator Putman, Inc., for the disposal of ash residue from the Wallingford and Southeast Resources Recovery Facilities through December 31, 2008 substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Cooper seconded the motion. Mr. Kirk noted that Director Lovejoy expressed to him his regrets for not being able to attend the meeting. Director Lovejoy had a scheduling conflict, he said.

The motion previously made and seconded was passed unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT			

CHAIRMAN'S AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

POLICY & PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

AUTHORIZATION TO APPROVE THE REVISED BYLAWS OF CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced item. Director Cohn made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the Board hereby affirmatively adopts the new Bylaws of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Director O'Brien seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin , Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	ŀ		

CHAIRMAN'S AND STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

DISCUSSION ON SECOND DRAFT OF NEW BUSINESS PLAN

Chairman Pace led a discussion on the referenced item (see page 118-137 of transcript).

Director O'Brien made a motion to state that the Board of Directors encouraged and supported the Chairman, the Steering Committee and staff in the continuing development of the comprehensive business plan for CRRA. The motion was seconded by Director Cohn which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin , Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT		:	

ORGANIZATIONAL SYNERGY & HR COMMITTEE

<u>AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE HUMAN RESOURCES CONSULTING</u> <u>SERVICES AGREEMENTS</u>

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director Cooper made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President is hereby authorized and directed to execute, deliver, and perform on behalf of the Authority, Human Resources Consulting Services Agreement as were substantially set forth in the RFQ for a period of three years with firms listed below, as presented and discussed at this meeting. All of the human resources consulting services obtained through the firms below will be obtained on an "on-call" basis.

B. 401(k) Plan Advisory And Audit Services Prudential Segal

- C. Temporary Staffing Services Adecco Robert Half/Accountemps Jaci Carroll People Management Snelling
- D. Recruiting Services Adecco Robert Half/Accountemps Horton Incorporated Jaci Carroll People Management Snelling

E. General/Miscellaneous Human Resources Services Career Management Services Horton International Lee, Hecht, Harrison People Management

Director Cohn seconded the motion which was approved. Chairman Pace and Directors Sullivan and O'Brien were not present for the vote.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman			
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X.		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien			
Andrew Sullivan			
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters	i	-	
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT		-	

LEGAL

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING FCR SETTLEMENT

Vice Chairman Cassano requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director Cooper made the following motion:

RESOLVED: that the Board hereby approves the procurement procedure for the baler retrofit, set forth in Section 2 of the global settlement, pursuant to Article II, Section 1(b), Subsection 5 and 7.

Director Rifkin seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT			

AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT OF ANDERSON KILL AND OLICK

Chairman Pace requested that the motion be divided into three parts. Chairman Pace requested a motion on the first resolution. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President of CRRA is hereby authorized to pay Anderson Kill and Olick up to \$240,000 to reimburse Anderson Kill for fees and expenses incurred, up until May 31, 2003, but not paid, which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of \$300,000 for work with the Attorney General's Office in the matter of <u>In re: Enron Corp.</u>, <u>et al</u>. (Chapter 11, Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)("Bankruptcy Case"). This brings the total amount authorized by the Board up to \$540,000.

Director Lauretti seconded the motion which was approved. Director Lauretti voted "nay."

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Dago, Chairman			
Michael Pace, Chairman	<u> </u>		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	<u> </u>		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti		Х	
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the second resolution. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

RESOLVED: And to further pay for additional work in the amount of \$26,500 for fees and expenses incurred, up to May 31, 2003, not anticipated on the CL&P issues which arose in the Bankruptcy Case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA.

Director Sullivan seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		

Ray O'Brien	X	· · ·
Andrew Sullivan	X	
Mark Lauretti	X	
Alex Knopp	X	
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin , Boone)	X	
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X	
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X	

Chairman Pace noted that the final resolution would be held until after the Executive Session.

FINANCE

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2004 METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COMMISSION MID-CONNECTICUT PROJECT ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director Sullivan made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The fiscal year 2004 Metropolitan District Commission Mid-Connecticut Project Annual Operating Budget, excluding the projected costs for the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and the associated transportation costs, as presented in Exhibit I, be adopted substantially in the form as presented at this meeting. In its adoption of this MDC Annual Operating Budget, CRRA does not validate or approve the terms of the foregoing MDC Annual Operating Budget and CRRA reserves its rights to dispute and or challenge any of the terms of the foregoing MDC Annual Operating Budget in particular, and without limitation, MDC's statement of Indirect Costs, and in no way waives CRRA'S legal or equitable rights. The adoption of this MDC Annual Operating Budget does not preclude CRRA from effectuating the April 19, 2000 Arbitration decision in CRRA versus the MDC including, without limitation, (1) CRRA's unilateral right to hire replacement workers to replace MDC on one or more programs, (2) CRRA's right to a new Indirect Costing Methodology, and (3) CRRA's right to seek recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as Indirect Costs.

Director O'Brien seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	x		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		-
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan .	X		

Mark Lauretti	X	
Alex Knopp	X	
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X	
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X	
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X	

AUTHORIZATION WITH RESPECT TO FINANCIAL ADVISORY SERVICES

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director Sullivan made the following motion:

RESOLVED: That the President or Chief Financial Officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management ("PFM") to assist the Finance Division staff with work in a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Concurrently, Finance Division Staff will solicit proposals, complete selection and recommend a Financial Advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services.

Director O'Brien seconded the motion which was approved unanimously.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Non Eligible Voters			
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT			

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman Pace requested a motion to convene an executive session to discuss litigation, pending litigation, contractual negotiations and personnel matters with appropriate staff. Director O'Brien made the motion which was seconded by Director Cassano. Chairman Pace requested that Messrs. Kirk, Fancher, Cohen, Doolittle and Cordeiro and Ms. Schmidt remain during separate parts of the executive session. The motion previously made and seconded was approved unanimously.

The Executive Session began at 12:10 a.m.

The Executive Session concluded at 1:45 p.m.

Chairman Pace reconvened the Board meeting at 1:46 p.m.

Chairman Pace noted that no votes were taken in Executive Session.

LEGAL (CON'T)

AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT OF ANDERSON KILL AND OLICK

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the final resolution regarding the referenced topic. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the President of CRRA is hereby authorized to pay Anderson Kill an additional \$115,000 for preliminary motions and appeal in bankruptcy action on the condition that Anderson Kill inform CRRA when it is within \$50,000 of this authorized expenditure. This brings the total amount authorized by the Board up to \$655,000.

Director Cooper seconded the motion which was approved. Director Knopp abstained from the vote.

Eligible Voters	Ауе	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Mark Cooper	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Alex Knopp			X
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		
Timothy Griswold, Ad Hoc - Mid-CT	X		

AJOURNMENT

Chairman Pace requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion to adjourn made by Director Rifkin and seconded by Director O'Brien was approved unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 1:52 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ingelica Mattacher;

Angelica Mattschei Corporate Secretary to the Board

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

EXECUTIVE SESSION

JUNE 19, 2003

An Executive Session called for the purposes of discussing litigation, pending litigation, contractual negotiations and personnel matters, was convened at 12:10 p.m.

DIRECTORS

Chairman Pace

Director Cohn

Director Rifkin Director Sullivan Director O'Brien

Director Mengacci

Director Knopp Director Cooper

Director Lauretti

Ad Hoc Member Griswold

Vice Chairman Cassano

STAFF

Tom Kirk Ann Stravalle-Schmidt (part) Christopher Fancher (part)

BR Doug Cohen

<u>A.G.</u> Theodore Doolittle (part)

<u>PLM</u> Mark Cordeiro (Part)

No votes were taken in Executive Session.

The Executive Session was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

CONNECTICUT RESOURCE RECOVERY AUTHORITY

BOARD MEETING

June 19, 2003

Held At:

211 Murphy Road

Hartford, Connecticut

Held Before:

MICHAEL A. PACE, Chairperson

1 2 3 4 5	Page A p p e a r a n c e s: Directors: STEPHEN CASSANO BENSON COHN MARK COOPER	1 2 3 4 5	JERRY TYMINSKI SCRRRA	Page 4
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TIMOTHY GRISWOLD ALEX KNOPP MARK LAURETTI JOHN MENGACCI RAY O'BRIEN HOWARD RIFKIN MARC RYAN ANDREW SULLIVAN	$ \begin{array}{c} 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ \end{array} $	Perakos & Zitser, P.C. STEVE N. DIAZ COVANTA DAVID ARRUDA MDC MARK CORDEIRO PLM ELSIE PATTON ARTHUR ROCQUE D. COHEN TOM TYLER Department of Environmental Protection	
-	Page	3		Page 5
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	A p p e a r a n c e s (Cont'd.): Present from the CRRA staff: BETTINA BRONISZ ROB CONSTABLE CHRISTOPHER FANCHER GARY GENDRON MARION HUBBARD THOMAS KIRK ANGELICA MATTSCHEI CHRISTOPHER MAY VIRGINIA RAYMOND DIANE SPENCE ANN STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT MICHAEL TRACEY In attendance: JOHN STAFSTROM, JR., ESQ. Pullman & Comley, LLC JOYCE TENTOR HEJN FRANK MARCI USA Hauling & Recycling	1 22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 24 25	In attendance: THEODORE DOOLITTLE, ESQ. CHARLIE STEENBURG, ESQ. Office of the Attorney General JANE KORVEK BRBI RONALD GINGERICH JONATHAN BLIMES	

		Page 6			Page 8
1	THE CHAIRMAN: All right,		1	and idle for could be hours depending on the	
2	we're going to start this meeting. It's five		2	line. And so I would respectfully ask you to	
3	after nine. This is the regular scheduled		3	do that in consideration.	
4	meeting of the Connecticut Resource Recovery		4	Also an additional and this	
5	Authority of June 19th. And I've checked		5	might be down the road a little in your	
6	with counsel; we do have a legal quorum. I		6	contract negotiations for transportation we	
7	would ask everybody to rise for the pledge of		7	are working to try to bring some ultralow	
8	allegiance, please.		8	sulfur diesel into the Hartford area. That's	
9	(Whereupon, the pledge of		9	something that is pretty much common in New	
10	allegiance was recited.)		10	Haven but up here apparently it is not. The	
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I		11	ultralow sulfur diesel with the combination	
12	know we have other members coming, but let's		12	of particulate traps is a good way, an easy	
13	go right to the public portion. Is there any		13	way, an economical way to bring down this	
14	member from the public that wishes to address		14	emissions, the sulfates and the particulate	
15	yes.		15	matter, emissions into the air. And you	
16	MS. TENTOR: Good morning,		16	could by a stroke of a pen require this in	
17	Mr. Pace, board members. My name is Joyce		17	your contracts with your transportation	
18	Tentor, and I'm with the Hartford		18	people when they renew. And this is a little	
19 20	Environmental Justice Network. Our		19	thing that you could do that could have a big	
20	organization is concerned about actions		20	impact on the environment.	
21 22	involving the quality of the air, water, and the land in which we live. And one of our		21	Thank you.	
			22	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone	
23 24	ongoing efforts is to reduce the sulfates and		23	else who wishes to address?	
24 25	the particulate matter in diesel fuel. You have seen the new Connecticut transit buses.		24 25	The Chair is going to take a	
25	have seen the new Connecticut transit buses.		23	prerogative, if you will. We don't normally	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·		
		Page 7			Page 9
1	And we are working with them as well as the	Page 7	1	do this but this CDDA has set right from the	Page 9
1	And we are working with them as well as the Hartford school board to develop alternative	Page 7	1	do this, but this CRRA has set right from the	Page 9
2	Hartford school board to develop alternative	Page 7	2	beginning that it's very concerned about our	Page 9
2 3	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel.	Page 7	2 3	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in	Page 9
2 3 4	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will	Page 7	2 3 4	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any	Page 9
2 3 4 5	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery	Page 7	2 3 4 5	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before	Page 7	2 3 4	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays,	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct?	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins.	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that you're doing on behalf of all of the citizens	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is although we did determine that between the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that you're doing on behalf of all of the citizens in Connecticut, but at the same time we do	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is although we did determine that between the two hours 6:30 and 8:30 a.m. we're going to	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that you're doing on behalf of all of the citizens	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is although we did determine that between the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that you're doing on behalf of all of the citizens in Connecticut, but at the same time we do not want to put an additional burden of added	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is although we did determine that between the two hours 6:30 and 8:30 a.m. we're going to prevent trucks from delivering, we average about three and a half trucks in that time	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Hartford school board to develop alternative fuels for the diesel. On your agenda today you will be considering the reduction of delivery hours to the Hartford Landfill. And before you take a vote on that, I would hope that you would consider on behalf of all of us what impact that may indeed have on traffic going into the landfill, particularly on days that you may see an increase after holidays, perhaps in the summer and spring when peak construction begins. So, with that in mind, you may want to, if you do not have a study now of that kind of activity, you may indeed want to postpone your vote a little to maybe tweak your adjustment hours. Certainly we appreciate the cost-cutting efforts that you're doing on behalf of all of the citizens in Connecticut, but at the same time we do not want to put an additional burden of added pollution to that neighborhood and that	Page 7	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	beginning that it's very concerned about our host communities and it's very interested in doing everything it can do to mitigate any environmental impact. Without putting you on the spot, Peter, the issue about us reducing the landfill hours, can you address in short to the lady if that would have any impact on other traffic, because we have done a study, correct? MR. EGAN: Certainly, I'll address the concern of Joyce in the environmental justice network that we have not conducted a formal study, a formal traffic study. And the reason is I consider this to be a very small, very essentially insignificant change in traffic patterns. I think the only comment that I'll make is although we did determine that between the two hours 6:30 and 8:30 a.m. we're going to prevent trucks from delivering, we average	Page 9

		Page 10			Page 12
1	five to ten minutes. On the other side of	_	1	of Connecticut.)
2	the equation, what we're doing is changing		2	And with that I'm going to be	
3	the time when we start our nonmobile heavy		$\frac{2}{3}$	quiet and introduce Commissioner Rocque.	
4	equipment on the landfill by two hours, which		4		
5	would include typically two bulldozers and a		5	MR. ROCQUE: Thanks Mike, I	
6	compactor.		6	appreciate it. I don't know about special	
7	So what we will be		7	guest but thanks for inviting me.	
8				Before I start, let me just	
	accomplishing is reducing the idling time of		8	point out that I did bring the requisite	
	that heavy equipment for approximately two		9	number of bodyguards with me, one to the	
10	hours, which significantly offsets the issue		10	right side and one to the left side. I'll	
11	of five or ten minutes that a truck might be		11	point them out to you. I brought Elsie	
12	sitting out in the cul-de-sac there. We have		12	Patton with me. Elsie is the acting director	
13	not done a formal traffic study, and, again,		13	of planning for the waste bureau. And just	
14	I don't believe that's necessary in this		14	so that she doesn't get too lazy and	
15	case.		15	complaisant in that new job, we had her bring	
16	THE CHAIRMAN: I just wanted		16	her remediation responsibilities from the	
17	to hear that in case you don't for our		17	water bureau with her. What Elsie is charged	
18	agenda so that we have looked at some of		18	with doing is merging, if you will, the	
19	those mitigating factors. Thank you.		19	appropriate components of the remediation	
20	Yes.		20	program and the waste program. They had been	
21	DIR. CASSANO: The second part		21	in separate bureaus and not always on the	
22	of her presentation involved the low sulfur		22	same page or going in the same direction,	
23	fuel. We do know that New Haven is using it		23	and under Elsie's guidance I'm sure that they	
24	in that area. How will we go about trying to		24	will begin to do that.	
25	make that happen here?		25	I also brought Tom Tyler,	
1					
		Page 11			Dece 17
		Page 11	_		Page 13
1	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what	Page 11	1	who's hiding in the back. Tom is my eyes,	Page 13
2	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom	Page 11	2	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or	Page 13
2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what	Page 11	2 3	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and	Page 13
2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make.	Page 11	2 3 4	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively	Page 13
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady	Page 11	2 3 4 5	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say.	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning,	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA.	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life cycles at the Department of Environmental	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a more proactive stance, all right, with the DEP to see what good public policy is and how	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life cycles at the Department of Environmental Protection over the last 33 years, I've	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a more proactive stance, all right, with the DEP to see what good public policy is and how it can be improved and through regulations or	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life cycles at the Department of Environmental Protection over the last 33 years, I've actually enjoyed some of the more tumultuous	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a more proactive stance, all right, with the DEP to see what good public policy is and how	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life cycles at the Department of Environmental Protection over the last 33 years, I've actually enjoyed some of the more tumultuous things that have occurred between the two	Page 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: I think what we'll do is we'll refer it to Peter and Tom through operations and come back and see what kind of arrangements we have to make. I would say that the lady brings up a point today that's quite opportune, if you will, because I think as the board Commissioners are probably aware of right now, I've taken the liberty of inviting a special guest here this morning, Commissioner Art Rocque. He's commissioner of the DEP. I do this because I've met just recently with the commissioner and his deputy to talk about some of what we think or what I thought were some common interests representing the people of the state of Connecticut, good public policy and the fact that this CRRA board, all right, the new CRRA, if you will, should be working in a more proactive stance, all right, with the DEP to see what good public policy is and how it can be improved and through regulations or legislation what commonalities we would have	Page 11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ears, feet, I don't know, strong arm or subtle arm at the General Assembly to try and keep what we hold near and dear relatively intact and fend off those things that we think are not good ideas. He spends probably more time on the latter than on the former, I fear to say. And that sort of is a segue into the issues that Michael and I and my deputy commissioner discussed a couple weeks ago. We have a long history, DEP and CRRA. Without revealing too much personal information about myself, I was actually in the room when Dan Lufkin listened to the presentation from Dick Chase, Dick Dowd, and Bob Shultz that created CRRA. So I've been around, I know what's going on, I know what has gone on. And through my various life cycles at the Department of Environmental Protection over the last 33 years, I've actually enjoyed some of the more tumultuous	Page 13

	Page 14			Page 16
1	and with the Department of Environmental	1	the two- to three-year range or longer. We	
2	Protection looking at serious reorganization	2	know that we're running out of space. We	
3	for us to sit down and figure out what makes	3	know that it's much more expensive to ship	
4	sense as part of public agenda to move	4	out of state, but yet we're sort of waiting,	
5	forward so that we get the best possible	5	we wait, we the Department of Environmental	
6	waste management and disposal at the lowest	6	Protection, wait for an applicant to come to	
7	possible price that Connecticut can achieve.	7	us. And because there is still capacity the	
8	Having said that, I think that	8	certificate of need for some of these	
9	there are some constraints. One of the	9	landfill ash landfills, for example, are	
10	issues that Mike brought to us was the issue	10	very difficult to quote unquote justify.	
11	of cost. And he and the rest of the board, I	11	Let me be bold and follow a	
12	know, are struggling with trying to reduce	12	careerlong pattern of being candid and	
13	operating costs for the entire system,	13	getting myself in trouble by being so and	
14 15	particularly to benefit municipalities, but	14	suggest that it's a huge fiction. We're	
16	also to benefit the ultimate user or dispager if you will the individual	15	supposed to produce a solid waste management	
17	disposer, if you will, the individual households. We're fairly strongly in	16 17	plan which is supposed to guide our	
18	support of that notion, but there is a minor	18	permitting. The last addition of the solid waste management plan was more controversial	
19	dilemma, and that dilemma is that the	10	than the previous additions. And that has a	
20	contributions that come from CRRA into the	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	basis, I think, in three areas. First of	
21	solid waste management fund are currently	21	all, there is a dearth of data upon which to	
22	used to support the very staff that would be	22	base that plan or the data that exists is	
23	necessary to make whatever adjustments in the	23	controversial or the data is contested. So	
24	system might be appropriate and required.	24	when we try to pull together a solid waste	
25	So, what I suggested as a	25	management plan, CRRA, as one of the	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Page 15			Page 17
1	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a	1	principal users but not the only user of that	Page 17
2	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand	2	plan, objects to the numbers and the	Page 17
2 3	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually	2 3	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the	Page 17
2 3 4	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and	2 3 4	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an	Page 17
2 3 4 5	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more	2 3 4 5	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe	2 3 4 5 6	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state	2 3 4 5 6 7	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up.	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If	Page 17
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need before a new facility can be developed. The	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If you're going to maintain competition, i.e.,	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need before a new facility can be developed. The permitting process for new facilities, for	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If you're going to maintain competition, i.e., be able to control prices, you've got to have	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need before a new facility can be developed. The permitting process for new facilities, for example, a bulky waste landfill, provided	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If you're going to maintain competition, i.e., be able to control prices, you've got to have some ability to provide capacity for the	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need before a new facility can be developed. The permitting process for new facilities, for example, a bulky waste landfill, provided that you have a willing applicant, an able	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If you're going to maintain competition, i.e., be able to control prices, you've got to have some ability to provide capacity for the future so that you're not up against it, as	Page 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compromise or as a solution, I guess, a future solution, is that we go hand in hand to the governor, and I think that's actually a fairly easy sell, but to the governor and to the General Assembly, perhaps a more difficult sell there, and suggest that maybe they want to put DEP on actually some state money, some real state money general fund revenues to support environmental quality programs rather than continue getting by on the cheap, thereby giving us the opportunity to relieve some of the fees and other things that we collect to keep ourselves in business and afloat. Another issue that we discussed that I think is more fundamental to the issues at hand is the statutory construct that has been created for waste disposal in the state of Connecticut. We currently require under statute a certificate of need before a new facility can be developed. The permitting process for new facilities, for example, a bulky waste landfill, provided	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	plan, objects to the numbers and the projections and we start wrestling over the details. The implication being that if an application is not consistent with that plan it isn't going to get a permit, so there are actually big stakes. Well, the problem is that the system, the whole concept of waste management in Connecticut is based on the principle that Connecticut is an entity unto itself completely by itself and completely self-sufficient. You probably have caught on already that that is a fiction, that while we consider it garbage when we take it out to the curb, it's actually at least a national if not an international commodity once it's picked up. So the economic system is driving something that's very different and contrary to what is in Connecticut law. If you're going to maintain competition, i.e., be able to control prices, you've got to have some ability to provide capacity for the	Page 17

.

1			
	Paj	ge 18	Page 20
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think that that's where we need to focus our efforts. I am not particularly proud, but I'm also not ashamed of the fact that there is no current edition of the solid waste management plan out there because, quite frankly, I didn't think it was helpful. I didn't think it was accurate. When I asked staff six years ago questions about how we got certain projections and numbers, et cetera, I was not confident in the answers. I was confident I was getting the answers that they thought I should be getting, but I wasn't confident in what they were giving me in terms of data, numbers, and projections. So I would recommend that that be a place where we start. We start looking at what it is CRRA thinks it needs and what the other operators, very few, think are necessary and reasonable so that we don't wind up with a split system. One of the		 Page 20 working with and where there's a commonality of delivery of service and public policy to the residents of the state. In today's packet you will see that new business plan model, if you will, the new charge for the next year that I've been working on with the steering committee. Others of you have gone through it. It's on the table today. What Art just spoke about today in my meeting with him and Deputy Commissioner Stahl is a major piece of that. So that we take a look at what our business is, what our future projections are and how we come up with the viable business model, the finance model obviously, to do so. So it is an important element. I will say that the attorney general, I've spoken with him on this. He is supportive of this. He was going to be here, but last night we got a call. He had another conflict and obviously he's not here.
21	things that Mike expressed some concern		21 So I think it's important to
22 23	about, and frankly the deputy commissioner and I also are concerned about, is that we		22 sit down with the various agencies of the
23	don't wind up with a segregated waste		state and the legislature and make sure wehave an understanding of what this business
25	management system in Connecticut where you		25 is about and have people realize that this
		ge 19	Page 21
1 2	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or	ge 19	1 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model
1 2 3	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash	ge 19	
2 3 4	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then	ge 19	 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly
2 3 4 5	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is	ge 19	 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from
2 3 4	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then	ge 19	 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the	ge 19	 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state.		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the hall, to the legislature or other places,		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out toward our future with our financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out toward our future with our financial mitigation plan, with our new business model
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the hall, to the legislature or other places, public hearings, et cetera. THE CHAIRMAN: Board? I guess the first thing I don't know if it's a		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out toward our future with our financial mitigation plan, with our new business model being developed, with cooperations of collaboration between the DEP, us, and the
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the hall, to the legislature or other places, public hearings, et cetera. THE CHAIRMAN: Board? I guess the first thing I don't know if it's a surprise. Through the chair, I've been		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out toward our future with our financial mitigation plan, with our new business model being developed, with cooperations of collaboration between the DEP, us, and the attorney general. Someone actually told me
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	have haulers and, if you will, disposers or trash-to-energy producers on one end of the scale and disposers of the residue or the ash on the other end of the scale that can then figure out what the marginal difference is between shipping the ash to say Arizona by parcel post and disposing of it in a landfill that may have excess capacity here within the state. So with that introduction I'd be willing to turn it over to discussion, debate, and conversation. But I think that there's a lot of common ground that we can work on. I think the best way to do that is to sit down and reason together, come together with something that we can both agree to rather than try and battle it out as we have too often in the past either in the hall, to the legislature or other places, public hearings, et cetera. THE CHAIRMAN: Board? I guess the first thing I don't know if it's a		 new CRRA model, all right, is a viable model and is needed to protect the residents of the state of Connecticut and the municipalities and guard against any kind of a monopoly happening I've used that word from either private industry or others through political influence to try to gain an advantage. And I think to be candid, that's one of my concerns as I look at it. You will see items today that will start to bridge us from, if you will, CRRA and the new CRRA. We have been working on that for a year, and today is our one-year anniversary of the new board with things that I think we've accomplished through your good hard works through our new president and CFO and our staff. We need to now make that quantum leap of going into the 13th month looking out toward our future with our financial mitigation plan, with our new business model being developed, with cooperations of collaboration between the DEP, us, and the

1 attention of the public, and CRRA seems to 2 have the attention of the public, we can 2 3 start for move the agend to make sure that 3 4 the public's interest is best served. 4 5 That's the Chairs comments. 5 6 Hy on have any comments of concern or 7 support on this i would appreciate it. 9 DIR. COBRIEN: Tappreciate 9 DIR. COBRIEN: Concerner 10 commissioner some respects by the 11 the meeting services. 12 for several years and you can count 18 me in on any of the discussions that you? 19 Tom. 10 the vecancot and action 11		. Pr	age 22			Page 24
2 DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. 3 start for move the agenda to make sure that 4 the public's interest is best surved. 4 4 the public's interest is best surved. 4 4 the public's interest is best surved. 4 5 That's the Chair's comments. 5 6 If you have any comments of concern or 5 7 Symport on this I would appreciate it. 7 9 DIR. COBRIEN: 1 appreciate 9 10 the comments. And I would commend the 10 11 the meeting. 8 12 some key staff recently, but youre turning 13 15 And, Mike, you know you can 16 16 count me in. I have some experience working 16 17 with DEP for several years and you can count 17 18 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 20 21 DR.COUE: She has all the 23 22 MR.ROCQUE: She has all the 23 23 MR.ROCQUE: She has all the 24 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 24 <	1		150 ZZ	+		Jage 24
3 start to move the agenda to make sure that 3 DIR. CASSANC: If is been moved 4 the public's interest is best served. 4 and seconded. Any corrections? 5 That's the Chair's comments. 5 Secing none, all those in 6 If you have any comments of concern or 6 favor? 7 support on this i would appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 8 Sir. 8 Minutes are adopted. 9 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 11 the meeting. 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 13 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on, 14 the finance so let's go on to item 6. Why 16 dirf we go to project reports, 16 ont me in an any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner 19 That. Have worked with be-puty 21 2 much, but I have worked with be-puty 21 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 21 much, but I have worked with be-puty 21 requirements.						
4 the public's interest is best served. 4 and seconded. Any corrections? 5 Thul's the Chair's comments. 5 Seeing none, all those in 6 If you have any comments of concern or 5 Seeing none, all those in 7 support on this I would appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 8 Sir. 8 Minutes are adopted. 9 DIR. O'BRIEN: I appreciate 9 DIR. KNOPP: Mr. Chairman, I'm. 10 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the meeting. 11 commissioner and the staff. I know you can 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 15 ont't we go to project reports, 16 Mid. Connecticut project, board action 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 and marketing services. 19 number, but have worked with Deputy 21 mumber 5. This is a other the removal 20 - Havert worked with the commissioner 20 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the						
5 That's the Chair's comments. 5 Seeing none, all these in 6 If you have any comments of concern or support on this I would appreciate it. 5 Seeing none, all these in 8 Sir. 9 DIR. OTBRIEN: I appreciate 9 DIR. KNOPP: Mr. Chairman, Pm 10 the comments. And I would commend the comments. And I would commend the comments. And I would commend the in an advantage in some respects by the restricturing. 11 the meeting. 13 that to an advantage in some respects by the restricturing. 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 14 the finances of est go on to item 6. Why 5 Gort we go to project reports, 16 fourt we go to project reports, 16 Mit Connecticut project, board action 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 and marketing services. 19 Tom. 19 Tom. 20 20 I key to hold jointly with the commissioner or 19 Tom. 20 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 21 21 going to be here but she had a conflict as well. 21 requirements. This particular contract 2 21 going to be here but she had a conflict as well. 21 allows us to remove large hulky metal items 3						
6 If you have any comments of concern or 6 favor? 7 support on this 1 would appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 8 Sir. 7 Opposed? 9 DIR. OTBRIEN: 1 appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 9 DIR. OTBRIEN: 1 appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 9 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 11 the comments. And I would commend the ic. 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 13 abstention. Were going to wait for Andy on 14 14 restructuring. 13 abstention. Were going to to tiem 6. Why 15 count me in. I have some experience working 16 Mid-Connecticut project; board action 17 with DEP for several years and you can count 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 19 inke to hold jointly with the commissioner 19 Tom. 20 MR. KRCQUE: She has all the 24 staff anyway so we've go to work with her. 23 MR ROCQUE: She has all the 23 vase	ι				• · · · ·	
7 support on this I would appreciate it. 7 Opposed? 8 Sir. 8 Minutes are adopted. 9 DIR. O'BRUEN: I appreciate 9 DIR. KNOPP: Mr. Chairman, I'm 10 the comments. And I would commend the 10 going to abstain because I was not present at 11 the meeting. DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 11 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 13 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 the finances ole sige on to item 6. Why 16 don't we go to project reports, 16 ont we go to project reports, 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 17 with DEP for several years and you can comut 17 regarcting the agreement for metals recovery 18 ind marketing services. 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 17 12 commissioner Stahl so - 20 MR. NCQUE: She has all the 21 more f. Shi si a contract for the removal 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 24 researched. It's a Masachusects operation	1					
8 Sir. 8 Minutes are adopted. 9 DIR. OBRIEN: I appreciate 9 DIR. KNOPP: Mr. Chairman, I'm 10 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the recting. 11 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the meeting. 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 12 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 16 don't we go to project reports. 16 count me in. I have some experience working 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 16 Mid-Connecticut project. 11 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 20 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 21 much, but I have worked with her. 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 23 adif anyway so we've got to work with her. 24	\$	· ·	ł			
9 DIR. O'BRIEN: 1 appreciate 9 DIR. KNOPP: Mr. Chairman, Im 10 the comments. And I would commend the 10 going to abstain because I was not present at 11 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the meeting. 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 15 And, Mike, you know you can 10 don't we go to project reports. 16 count me in. I have some experience working 16 Mid-Comecticut project, board action 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 and marketing services. 19 Tom. 19 Tom. 20 Ommissioner Stahl so - 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 number S. This is a contract for the removal 20 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 requirements. This particular contract 2 24 staff anyway sow we've got to work with her. 24 researched. H's a Massachusetts operation 25						
10 the commends. And I would commend the commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 10 going to abstain because I was not present at 11 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the meeting. 13 that to an advantage in some respects by the restructuring. 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 the finance so lef's go on to item 6. Why 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 15 And, Mike, you know you can 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 16 count me in. I have some experience working 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery and marketing services. 19 Tom. 20 - I haven't worked with the commissioner 20 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 Was set stream. WTe Corporation has been well 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 24 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane 2 requirements. This particular contract 2 24 doing vary worked with her. 25 1 requirements. This particular contract 2 allows us to remove lange builty metal items 25	\$					
11 commissioner and the staff. I know you lost 11 the to a dvantage in some respects by the 12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 14 restructuring. 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 15 And, Mike, you know you can 14 the finance so let's go in to item 6. Why 16 count me in. I have some experience working ithe to hold jointly with the commissioner or 16 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 11 the meeting. 18 and marketing services. 19 11 the on any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 12 Commissioner Stahl so - 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 12 comstait the service. 11 trescarched. It's a Massachusetts operation 23 12 staff anyway so we've go to work with her. 24 requirements. This particular contract 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP 14 then the make you. 2 alows us to remove large bulky metal items	1		-			
12 some key staff recently, but you're turning 12 DIR. CASSANO: Okay, 13 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 15 And, Mike, you know you can 16 count me in na ny of the discussions that you'd 16 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 17 much, but I have some experience working 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 18 me in on any of the discussioner or 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 19 Town. Town. 17 much, but I have worked with Deputy 20 Ommissioner Stahl so 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have some experience 17 respected. It's a Massachusetts operation 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 17 requirements. This particular contract 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 1 requirements. This particular contract 3 Any other comments? 1 <td< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	3					
13 that to an advantage in some respects by the 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 13 abstention. We're going to wait for Andy on 14 restructuring. 14 the finance so let's go on to item 6. Why 15 And, Mike, you know you can 16 count me in. I have some experience working 17 with DEP for several years and you can count 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 19 Trm. 20	*	-			-	
14 restructuring. 14 the finance so let's go on to item 6. Why 15 And, Mike, you know you can 15 don't we go to project reports, 16 count me in. I have some experience working 15 don't we go to project reports, 17 with DEP for several years and you can count 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 20 — I haven't worked with the commissioner 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have worked with ber. 20 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 21 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 if full compliance with Massachusetts DEP 24 requirements. This particular contract 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 3 that we cannot landfill and we cannot process and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost 5 Art, you're welcome to stay	1					
15 And, Mike, you know you can 15 don't we go to project reports, 16 count me in. 1 have some experience working 16 Mid-Connecticut project, board action 18 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 19 Tom. 20	1					
16 count me in. 1 have some experience working Mid-Connecticut project, board action 17 with DEP for several years and you can count regarding the agreement for metals recovery 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 10 20 I haven't worked with the commissioner 10 21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 20 22 Commissioner Stahl so 20 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 20 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 21 26 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 22 27 Page 23 Page 23 28 any other comments? 1 3 Any other comments? 3 4 Then I thank you. 5 5 Art, you're welcome to stay. 5 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't, 6 7 though. 8 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was 9 9 going to be here but she had a conflict as 1 9 metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 25 1 then thana you. 5	1	•				
17 with DEP for several years and you can count 17 regarding the agreement for metals recovery 18 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 19 Tom. 20 I haven't worked with Deputy 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 21 mumber 5. This is a contract for the removal 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 26 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 requirements. This particular contract 2 well. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 4 and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost 4 Then I thank you. 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 5 MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't, 6 sufficiently high enough, not a likely 7 opportunity. If I realized this was a 11 you have any questions. 9 opportunity. If I realize	}					
18 me in on any of the discussions that you'd 18 and marketing services. 19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 19 Tom. 20 I haven't worked with Deputy 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 21 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 22 Commissioner Stahl so 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 24 researched. If's a Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP Page 23 Page 24 Add spone comments? Add, yother	,					
19 like to hold jointly with the commissioner or 20 — I haven't worked with the commissioner 19 Tom. 20 — I haven't worked with the commissioner 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 21 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 22 Commissioner Stahl so 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 26 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP Page 23 Pag	1					
20 I haven't worked with the commissioner much, but I have worked with Deputy 20 MR. KIRK: That's behind tab 21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 21 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 22 Commissioner Stahl so 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 5 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 requirements. This particular contract 2 well. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 3 that we cannot landfill and we cannot process 4 Then I thank you. 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 6 MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't, 6 sofficiently high enough, not a likely 7 though. 7 opportunity. If I realized this was a 9 going to be the response. 9 metal. Virginia is even more familiar with 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 the company as is Peter, if 10 MR. ROCQ	1					
21 much, but I have worked with Deputy 21 number 5. This is a contract for the removal 22 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 22 of metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 24 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP Page 23 Page 23 24 rescarched. It's a Massachusetts DEP Page 23 Well. 3 Any other comments? 4 Then I thank you. 5 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't, though. 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won'	1					
22 Commissioner Stahl so 23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 vaste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 24 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP Page 23 26 any other comments? 1 27 Then I thank you. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 3 that we cannot landfill and we cannot process 4 Then I thank you. 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't, 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 7 though. 7 opportunity, but should it get high enough we 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was 9 metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 9 going to be the response. 9 metal that's picked out of the Mid-Conn 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 the contract and the company as is Peter, if <t< td=""><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	4					
23 MR. ROCQUE: She has all the 23 waste stream. WTe Corporation has been well 24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 26 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP 27 Page 23 26 going to be here but she had a conflict as 1 3 Any other comments? 3 4 Then I thank you. 5 5 Art, youre welcome to stay. 6 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't, 6 7 though. 7 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was 9 9 going to be the response. 9 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 11 opportunity. If I realized this was a 11 12 birthday I would have brought a cake, but 12 13 anyway thank you very much. 13 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 14 DIR. COBLEN: So moved the 15 All right, Andy Sullivan, 1						
24 staff anyway so we've got to work with her. 24 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 24 researched. It's a Massachusetts operation 26 multiple 25 requirements. This particular contract 2 well. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 4 that we cannot landfill and we cannot process 4 Then I thank you. 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 5 Art, you're welcome to stay. 6 sufficiently high enough, not a likely 7 though. 7 opportunity. but should it get high enough we 8 9 going to be the response. 9 would actually receive a revenue for this 9 going to be the response. 9 metal. Virginia is even more familiar with 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 the contract and the company as is Peter, if 11 you have any questions. 12 DIR. OBRIEN: So moved the 12 All right, Andy Sullivan, 14 DIR. CASSANO: Is there a 16 who's our chairman of our finance committee, 16 <	4					
25 THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was 25 in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP Page 23 Page 23 Page 23 Page 24 Page 25 1 going to be here but she had a conflict as 1 well. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 3 4 Then I thank you. 5 5 Art, you're welcome to stay. 6 6 MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't, 6 7 though. 7 8 THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was 9 9 going to be the response. 9 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 10 11 opportunity. If I realized this was a 11 12 birthday I would have brought a cake, but 12 13 anyway thank you very much. 13 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 14 DIR. CASSANO: Is there a 15 All right, Andy Sullivan, 15 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1					
Page 23 Page 25 1 going to be here but she had a conflict as 1 requirements. This particular contract 2 well. 2 allows us to remove large bulky metal items 3 Any other comments? 3 that we cannot landfill and we cannot process 4 Then I thank you. 4 and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost 5 Art, you're welcome to stay. 5 basis. If the index price for metal gets 6 MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't, 6 sufficiently high enough, not a likely 7 though. 8 sufficiently high enough, not a likely 9 opportunity, but should it get high enough we 8 9 metal. Virginia is even more familiar with 10 10 MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the 9 metal. Virginia is even more familiar with 11 opportunity. If I realized this was a 11 you have any questions. 11 11 opportunity. If I realized this was a 11 you have any questions. 12 12 DIR. OBRIEN: So moved the 13 resolution that follows tab 5. 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	1					
1going to be here but she had a conflict as2well.3Any other comments?4Then I thank you.5Art, you're welcome to stay.6MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't,7though.8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was9going to be the response.10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the11opportunity. If I realized this was a12birthday I would have brought a cake, but13anyway thank you very much.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.15All right, Andy Sullivan,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're19Any discussion?	25	THE CHAIRMAN: And Jane was		25	in full compliance with Massachusetts DEP	
1going to be here but she had a conflict as2well.3Any other comments?4Then I thank you.5Art, you're welcome to stay.6MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't,7though.8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was9going to be the response.10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the11opportunity. If I realized this was a12birthday I would have brought a cake, but13anyway thank you very much.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.15All right, Andy Sullivan,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're19Any discussion?						
1going to be here but she had a conflict as2well.3Any other comments?4Then I thank you.5Art, you're welcome to stay.6MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't,7though.8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was9going to be the response.10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the11opportunity. If I realized this was a12birthday I would have brought a cake, but13anyway thank you very much.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.15All right, Andy Sullivan,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're19Any discussion?		D	22			Daga 25
2well.2allows us to remove large bulky metal items3Any other comments?3that we cannot landfill and we cannot process4Then I thank you.4and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost5Art, you're welcome to stay.5basis. If the index price for metal gets6MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't,6sufficiently high enough, not a likely7though.7opportunity, but should it get high enough we8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was8would actually receive a revenue for this9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brough a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,14DIR. COOPER: Second.16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	1		age 25			rage 25
3Any other comments?3that we cannot landfill and we cannot process4Then I thank you.3that we cannot landfill and we cannot process5Art, you're welcome to stay.4and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost6MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't,5basis. If the index price for metal gets7though.5sufficiently high enough, not a likely7though.6sufficiently high enough, not a likely8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was8would actually receive a revenue for this9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution-that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?						
4Then I thank you.4and dispose of them on a sliding-scale cost5Art, you're welcome to stay.5basis. If the index price for metal gets6MR. ROCQUE: I think I won't,6sufficiently high enough, not a likely7though.7opportunity, but should it get high enough we8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was8would actually receive a revenue for this9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?						
5Art, you're welcome to stay.5basis. If the index price for metal gets6MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't,5basis. If the index price for metal gets7though.6Sufficiently high enough, not a likely8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was8would actually receive a revenue for this9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16DIR. COOPER: Second.1717is going to be delayed. He'll be here1718somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're1819Any discussion?19	1					
6MR. ROCQUE: 1 think I won't, though.6sufficiently high enough, not a likely opportunity, but should it get high enough we7though.7opportunity, but should it get high enough we8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was going to be the response.8would actually receive a revenue for this 	1					
7though.7opportunity, but should it get high enough we8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was9would actually receive a revenue for this9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19Any discussion?19Any discussion?						
8THE CHAIRMAN: I knew that was9910 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1					
9going to be the response.9metal. Virginia is even more familiar with10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19Any discussion?19Any discussion?	1 .					
10MR. ROCQUE: I appreciate the10the contract and the company as is Peter, if11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?					•	
11opportunity. If I realized this was a11you have any questions.12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?						
12birthday I would have brought a cake, but12DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved the13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	1					
13anyway thank you very much.13resolution that follows tab 5.14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	1					
14THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.14DIR. CASSANO: Is there a15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	1					
15All right, Andy Sullivan,15second?16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?						
16who's our chairman of our finance committee,16DIR. COOPER: Second.17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?						
17is going to be delayed. He'll be here17DIR. CASSANO: Moved and18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	L					
18somewhere around 10, 10:30, so what we're18seconded.19going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	•					
19 going to do is move the finance piece. I'm19Any discussion?	1					
	1					
111 compare out that the second test is 100 0	1				-	
	20	going to ask Mr. Cassano to take over the		20	Seeing none, all those in	
	1 0 4		1			
	21	minutes. I have somebody in the hallway that				
	22			23	Item A1 is done.	
	22 23	wants to see me.		<u> </u>		
25 motion to adopt the minutes of May 15th? 25 of the room longer if he's going to get more	22 23 24	DIR. CASSANO: Is there a		24	THE CHAIRMAN: I'll stay out	
	22 23			24 25		

		I		
	Pag	e 26		Page 28
1	done. It's good.		1 of up to, I guess, \$115 million, I think the	
2	DIR. CASSANO: We're going to		2 number is, the treasurer and the secretary	
3	just go down until Andy gets here. We'll		3 would have to essentially approve the	
4	move the resolution		4 mitigation plan submitted by the board. I	
5	DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the		5 said in the letter that I felt very	
6	resolution following tab 6.		6 uncomfortable doing that prognosticating	
7	THE CHAIRMAN: All right,		about the financial health and well-being of	
8	actually after we take the next piece of		8 the authority well into the future. I said	
9	business I'll turn it over to Steve.		9 that I recognize that, because of the	
10	Secretary Ryan, who's part of		10 relationship that CRRA has with the state in	
11	our board, is here. He's asked to be put up		11 terms of the SCRF and a potential draw down	
12	early in the agenda here because he does have		12 on the SCRF, that I continued to support a	
12	to leave. I will notice the board that I			
14	also was going to move part of the finance		, 11	
14			4 delinking in the statute which basically said	
15	piece a little bit later because, as I said,		5 the treasurer and the secretary would accept	
10	Andy Sullivan, our chairman of that, is not here.	1	6 the mitigation plan, basically attest that	
17		1	7 all the things that needed to be addressed in	
18	But one of the major pieces	[8 that plan were addressed without essentially	
20	that we have been working on for the last	t t	commenting on the contents itself and then	
20	many months is a financial mitigation plan		20 follow through with the loan.	
	which is part of our restructuring which is	•	Now, as many of you know, the	
22	part of our mandate through the legislation,		22 budget is held up with the implementing	
23	and we have come up with a plan and have		23 session and the budget session is not	
24	submitted it to the OPM and the treasurer's		occurring exactly on time. We hope to have	
25	office. And John, perhaps you want to move	•	25 the session concluded by the end of the	
	Par	a 27		Page 20
1		e 27	1 month That alcoster if that have a	Page 29
1	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here.	e 27	1 month. That clearly if that happens, even	Page 29
2	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to	e 27	2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now	Page 29
2 3	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan	e 27	2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now3 certainly does not give us sufficient time to	Page 29
2 3 4	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what	e 27	 2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now 3 certainly does not give us sufficient time to 4 enter into an agreement on that basis and to 	Page 29
2 3 4 5	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks.	e 27	 2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now 3 certainly does not give us sufficient time to 4 enter into an agreement on that basis and to 5 get a loan through. 	Page 29
2 3 4	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you	e 27	 2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now 3 certainly does not give us sufficient time to 4 enter into an agreement on that basis and to 5 get a loan through. 6 What I would propose doing is 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first.	e 27	 2 that best-case scenario, perhaps now 3 certainly does not give us sufficient time to 4 enter into an agreement on that basis and to 5 get a loan through. 6 What I would propose doing is 7 my understanding is a meeting took place 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much,	e 27	 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, 	Page 29
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and 	Page 29
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million 	Page 29
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans and approvals of plans in the future with the		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million loan agreement through June 30th of '04. I 	Page 29
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in – and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans and approvals of plans in the future with the authority. But separate and apart from that,		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million loan agreement through June 30th of '04. I believe we can craft, if we need to, I would 	Page 29
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans and approvals of plans in the future with the authority. But separate and apart from that, I also requested a change to the statute that		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million loan agreement through June 30th of '04. I believe we can craft, if we need to, I would like to be able to get the legislative 	Page 29
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans and approvals of plans in the future with the authority. But separate and apart from that, I also requested a change to the statute that was passed a couple years ago that basically		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million loan agreement through June 30th of '04. I believe we can craft, if we need to, I would like to be able to get the legislative changes I think we need to enter into the \$22 	Page 29
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	up to the table, maybe next to Jimmy here. And I think it's important for the board to hear where we are with the mitigation plan and the loan and what has happened and what has transpired in the last few weeks. Secretary, I'll defer to you first. DIR. RYAN: Thanks very much, Chairman Pace. I wanted to come in and I apologize I have to leave shortly for a budget meeting, but I wanted to go through a little bit about some of the things we're concerned about in trying to see if we can move forward and get cash to the authority as quickly as possibly. Many of you are aware that I sent a letter to the legislature on two issues, one of which was my continued feeling that the OPM remaining on the board is a conflict of interest since we will potentially have direct oversight of loans and approvals of plans in the future with the authority. But separate and apart from that, I also requested a change to the statute that		 that best-case scenario, perhaps now certainly does not give us sufficient time to enter into an agreement on that basis and to get a loan through. What I would propose doing is my understanding is a meeting took place yesterday with members of my staff, Gareth Bye, who's my in-house legal counsel, and outside counsel for CRRA, bond counsel, I guess the treasurer's office was there as well, and my understanding is that a number of language changes were submitted and I think in general were adopted. I think the main issue is now the level of the loan in the short term and I guess some disagreement between the parties about whether we could enter into a short-term loan agreement, say, for \$2 plus million, whatever's needed, and then roll that loan into a larger \$22 million loan agreement through June 30th of '04. I believe we can craft, if we need to, I would like to be able to get the legislative 	Page 29

		Page 30			Page 32
1		1 460 50			1 4ge 52
	not the case we could take essentially the		1	can move forward to execute a \$22 million or	
2	agreed-upon language that was outlined		2	\$20 million loan agreement, whatever the	
3	yesterday, simply substitute the \$22 million		3	difference is. And from my perspective I	
4	for the \$2 plus million and enter into that		4	feel comfortable with the language that I	
5	type of an agreement at least in the short		5	think the subcommittee saw yesterday that the	
6	term. I believe we can get around my		6	secretary and the treasurer would sign with	
7	concerns about the delinking by essentially		7	respect to "accepting" the financial	
8	tweaking some language that I understand the		8	mitigation plan that was presented to us.	
9	treasurer's bond counsel had put together,		9	I do want to say for the	
10	and I think we could get that done and not		10	record since Marc raised it, and this isn't	
11	have any issues related to potentially		11	anything that he and I haven't spoken about,	
12	drawing down on the SCRF.		12	that from the treasurer's perspective she	
13	So I just would like to have		13	disagrees with the position that OPM has	
14	some discussion on some of those issues at		14	taken relative to an inherent conflict of	
15	this point when you think it's appropriate.		15		
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Treasurer's			interest by remaining on the board because	
17			16	they are signatory to the loan agreement. I	
	office?		17	think the very nature of having ex-officio	
18	DIR. RIFKIN: I wasn't at the		18	members on quasi-public authorities, whether	
19	meeting yesterday, but I did speak with Cathy		19	it's CRRA or any other public authority,	
20	Boone. I also just on a point of personal		20	quasi-public authority in the state, is that	
21	privilege inform the authority that Cathy		21	those of who us represent offices, statutory	
22	Boone's mother passed away last night, and		-22	offices, in fact, are there to ensure that	
23	that's why she's not here today obviously.		23	the state's interest is heard and	
24	I'm sure we all extend our heartfelt		24	acknowledged in the process. I think that	
25	sympathies to her.		25	Marc's concern about being the signatory as	
			-		
		Page 31			Page 33
		Page 31	_		Page 33
1	I think that from the	Page 31	1	the secretary to the loan agreement may be a	Page 33
2	beginning we the treasurer has expressed	Page 31	2	difference between actions that he might have	Page 33
2 3	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the	Page 31	2 3	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the	Page 33
2 3 4	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that	Page 31	2 3 4	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there	Page 33
2 3	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests	Page 31	2 3	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the	Page 33
2 3 4	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a	Page 31	2 3 4	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority,	Page 33
2 3 4 5	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests	Page 31	2 3 4 5	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority,	Page 33
2 3 4 5	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example,	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into,	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement.	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without having to tap into the SCRF. And then once	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state debt and the chief elected financial officer	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without having to tap into the SCRF. And then once we get this issue resolved in the legislature	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state debt and the chief elected financial officer of the state. I've talked to the treasurer	Page 33
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without having to tap into the SCRF. And then once we get this issue resolved in the legislature with respect to the secretary and the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state debt and the chief elected financial officer of the state. I've talked to the treasurer about this. She recognizes that inherent in	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without having to tap into the SCRF. And then once we get this issue resolved in the legislature with respect to the secretary and the treasurer's requirement to accept a financial	Page 31	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state debt and the chief elected financial officer of the state. I've talked to the treasurer about this. She recognizes that inherent in any work that we do on a quasi-public	Page 33
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	beginning we the treasurer has expressed and evidenced a willingness to work with the authority in executing a loan agreement that was that protected the state's interests and at the same time gave the authority a loan at an interest rate that was appropriate balancing the interests of the state against the one real revenue source that we have, one of the two revenue sources that we have, and that is the tipping fees to the municipalities. That's been the treasurer's position from day one with respect to any negotiations around the loan agreement. At this point in time, given the secretary's reservations, I'm sure that we would be prepared to support an interim loan agreement so that we would float enough resources to CRRA to make the debt service payments that will come due in July without having to tap into the SCRF. And then once we get this issue resolved in the legislature with respect to the secretary and the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	difference between actions that he might have to take whether at CHFA or CDA or on the Waterbury oversight board, but I think there are differences by degree. So, for example, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, every bond issue that they do under the general bond resolution has behind it a special capital reserve fund which is backed by the state of Connecticut. So if there were a default in payment to the bondholders ultimately and the reserves were tapped into, by virtue of the agreement that we have the state of Connecticut would appropriate back to the reserves the amount necessary to continue to support those reserves on an ongoing basis. That clearly is a link between Marc's role as a fiduciary and the budget director. It's clearly a link as in the role of treasurer as the issuer of state debt and the chief elected financial officer of the state. I've talked to the treasurer about this. She recognizes that inherent in	Page 33

June 19, 2003

	•	Page 34			Page 36
1		J age 54			rage 50
	between what the authority's interests might		I	are not insured bonds. And the trustee has	
2	be and what the overarching interests of the		2	such remedies as far as going putting a	
3	state might be, and that's why we're there to		3	receiver on this agency. It could go that	
4	articulate those differences and try to		4	far.	
5	protect the interests of the state.		5	The other piece of this is,	
6	So I need to say since Marc		6	obviously the budget has already been set for	
7	raised it for the record that we have a		7	the coming year and tip fees have already	
8	disagreement and I've conveyed that issue or		8	been set. There is no mechanism under state	
9	that disagreement to the legislative leaders		9	law or otherwise for a special assessment on	
10	as well, as we go forward.		10	the towns to cover any shortfall that we	
11	THE CHAIRMAN: From the		11	might have. So we operate in that sort of	
12	Chair's point, I'll respect your positions,		12	environment right now as far as the timing is	
13	conflict of interest, and that can be sorted		13	concerned.	
14	out. The Chair's concern, as I mentioned to		14	The discussions we had	
15	Marc just a few minutes ago, was the		15	yesterday, and I would agree with the	
16	timeliness of this, that we've gone through a		16	secretary's characterization, that we are	
17	long process with this. And now I'm going to		17	pretty well agreed on what the loan documents	
18	defer, John, how does this work for what the		18	will look like. The issue that I don't think	
19	secretary is offering here? Does this work		19	we came to closure on yesterday is what the	
20	legally Jim, I would also ask you to		20	form of approval of that loan will take.	
21	comment on this from CRRA's perspective?		21	There had been some discussion from the	
22	MR. STAFSTROM: We did have a	•	22	treasurer's office, and frankly the letter	
23	meeting yesterday to discuss the draft of the		23	has not been shared with us, but there was	
24	loan agreement and also some of the issues		24	some discussion from the treasurer's office	
25	raised in the secretary's letter. I think a		25	that they would work on a letter that said	
ļ					
		Page 35			Page 37
		1 age 55			rage 57
1	couple of things: The background of this,		1	the treasurer and the secretary, if the	
2	the background to the Chairman's point about		~		0.022
			2	secretary is in agreement with that, had	
3	the timing of this, is if we are not able to		3	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the	
3 4	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the		3 4	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the	
3 4 5	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit		3 4 5	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial	
3 4 5 6	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the		3 4 5 6	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually	
3 4 5 6 7	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital		3 4 5 6 7	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I	
3 4 5 6 7 8	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to		3 4 5 6 7 8	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority,		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt,		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would actually go into default and the trustee		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel. And maybe there's been some development since	
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would actually go into default and the trustee and/or the bondholders could call that		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel. And maybe there's been some development since then.	
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would actually go into default and the trustee and/or the bondholders could call that default with all kinds of the remedies you		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel. And maybe there's been some development since then. DIR. RYAN: No, I don't think	
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would actually go into default and the trustee and/or the bondholders could call that		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel. And maybe there's been some development since then.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the timing of this, is if we are not able to pay our debt service on the bonds, as the deputy treasurer pointed out, there's a hit on the special capital reserve fund and the state in effect fills that special capital reserve fund. That is a disclosure issue to the rating agencies for this authority, probably is also a disclosure issue for the state of Connecticut. It's on its own debt, something that people would like to avoid, I believe. In addition, the authority also has subordinate debt to the SCRF bonds. There's \$13 million of older bonds that are not covered by the SCRF. And because they are subordinate bonds, if we're now paying the debt service on the SCRF bonds, we're then not able to pay the debt service on the subordinate bonds and those bonds would actually go into default and the trustee and/or the bondholders could call that default with all kinds of the remedies you		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	secretary is in agreement with that, had determined that the requirements of the statute for the the requirements of the statute to be contained in the financial mitigation plan had been met without actually approving the plan in substance. And I believe that's an interpretation of the law that could hold water, but that is not something that secretary's counsel agreed to yesterday. So if that's what they're agreeing to today and there's clear it's clear that once the authority receives the loan, the loan won't be recalled, which is what our concern was yesterday, and that we fit within the statutory requirements, I think we would be comfortable then saying that that's something that we could move forward on. But I'd have to suggest, Secretary Ryan, that we didn't actually come to full closure with that and your counsel. And maybe there's been some development since then. DIR. RYAN: No, I don't think	

		Page 38			Page 40
1	honest here. I think in my letter I		1	executed through the treasurer and the	
2	basically say I am uncomfortable because the		2	secretary, fiscal agents of the state. So	~
3	wording in the statute essentially implies an		3	she wasn't firmly committed to insisting that	
4	absolute approval of a mitigation plan as a		4	any loan agreement that we negotiate go	
5	condition to the loan. And whether we're		5	through the attorney general for form and	
6	signing on the face of it a \$2 million loan		6	legality.	
7	or a \$22 million loan people are going to say		7	So I suppose the answer is we	
8	what's the difference. And do you want to		8	can submit it or not. And that if we decide	
9	know what? That probably is the case. I		9	not to, I don't think that it's a huge issue	
10	would however argue I feel much more		10	for the AG.	
11	comfortable because I do think approval is a		11	THE CHAIRMAN: We're throwing	
12	flexible word. I believe if I'm approving a		12	some numbers around here. We need \$1.8	
13	\$100 million loan approval probably means		13	million for the next year, roughly \$2	
14	something very different if you're signing a		14	million, wasn't it?	
15	document over a 10 or a greater period for		15	MR. KIRK: No, 1.8.	
16	\$100 million or \$20 million, an approval		16	THE CHAIRMAN: One point eight	
17	probably can mean something slightly		17	this year. And we're looking at a package	
18	different within the bounds of the law if I'm		18	that we put on the table that had a five-year	
19	approving a stopgap loan anticipating changes		19	out, about 20 something million, wasn't it?	
20	in the statute. It's a political world. I		20	MR. STAFSTROM: The package is	
21	believe we can craft language that		21	up to 22 with the expectation that the	
22	essentially allows us to enter into a \$2		22	authority would probably only need to draw	
23	million loan with appropriate language that I		23	something slightly under 20, I believe	
24	think we've reviewed perhaps with slight		24	DIR. RIFKIN: Through June 30	
25	changes to comport with the statute and get a		25	'04.	
		Page 39			Page 41
1	short term loan in place before the delinking	Page 39	1	MD STAESTDOM: to sever	Page 41
1	short-term loan in place before the delinking	Page 39	1	MR. STAFSTROM: to cover	Page 41
2	occurs. There is an issue about whether the	Page 39	2	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in	Page 41
2 3	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the	Page 39	2 3	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and	Page 41
2 3 4	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at	Page 39	2 3 4	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is	Page 41
2 3 4 5	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be	Page 39	2 3 4 5	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the	Page 41
2 3 4	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have	Page 39	2 3 4	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month.	Page 41
2 3 4 5	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month,	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions.	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month.	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back.	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again,	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month.	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, -with everybody bringing their interest to the	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it	Page 41
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to	Page 41
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it now. Because otherwise both the treasurer's office and the secretary said, and this board	Page 41
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off with respect to form and legality. And	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it now. Because otherwise both the treasurer's office and the secretary said, and this board has said from square one, everything we've	Page 41
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\\end{array} $	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off with respect to form and legality. And Carolyn's view of that was not necessarily so	Page 39	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, 	Page 41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off with respect to form and legality. And Carolyn's view of that was not necessarily so because of the way in which the statute reads	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it now. Because otherwise both the treasurer's office and the secretary said, and this board has said from square one, everything we've done to date is to ensure the fact that we don't hit the SCRF. Nobody wants that. And	Page 41
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off with respect to form and legality. And Carolyn's view of that was not necessarily so because of the way in which the statute reads as a loan agreement as opposed to a contract	Page 39	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it now. Because otherwise both the treasurer's office and the secretary said, and this board has said from square one, everything we've done to date is to ensure the fact that we don't hit the SCRF. Nobody wants that. And we need to bridge this.	Page 41
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	occurs. There is an issue about whether the attorney general would have to approve the loan agreement. That is unclear to me at this point about whether it would have to be submitted or not. And clearly if it did have to be submitted and the attorney general approved whatever language we put in the agreement about the quote unquote approval, I don't see how that loan would ever be called back. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just address that last point? THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. DIR. RIFKIN: I've had conversations with Carolyn Querijero, deputy attorney general, I had, months ago when we first started down the path of needing to try to negotiate out a loan agreement, talked to the AG's office about the need to sign off with respect to form and legality. And Carolyn's view of that was not necessarily so because of the way in which the statute reads	Page 39	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	June 30th of 2004. So if we're successful in working this through and if the secretary and the treasurer get together on how this is done and put together, the money that the secretary is talking about gets us to next month. THE CHAIRMAN: Next month, the 1.8 to \$2 millions. DIR. O'BRIEN: That would be paid out this month received this month. THE CHAIRMAN: And, again, with everybody bringing their interest to the table. The Chair's responsibility is to CRRA. And I'm telling you my concern is here we are at the 12th hour. And we need to come up with the resolution of how we're going to resolve this, and we probably need to do it now. Because otherwise both the treasurer's office and the secretary said, and this board has said from square one, everything we've done to date is to ensure the fact that we don't hit the SCRF. Nobody wants that. And	Page 41
٦

Image d Image d Image d 1 DIR. RIFKIN: I would say two doesn't rise to the level of a stamp of 2 things: I don't want to put words into 2 4 agreed on language for a loan agreement that 3 4 would coastiture atthority for the state to 6 6 loan CRRA up to \$22 million. 6 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mare, would you 8 8 agreement until the logislature acts, because 1 9 DIR. RIFKIN: We have agreed 10 to happen before CRRA needs the mooney. I 10 chart we read the interest rate, setting 1 an interest in accing the SCRF tapped. So 13 of the interest rate, fulls within the 10 that we'n tagge of the interest rate, setting 13 of the interest rate, fulls within the 10 that with as at 14 autherity of the treasure? 11 that with loan GRRA he morey to make the 15 mark we would led more comfortable 10 that we would led more comfortable 16 aggree that we would led infore comfortable 10 THE CHAIRMAN: So then - 12 autherity of the statute of 11 well enter into the interim agreement? 13 of the interest rate, fulls with athe 11 well enter int			D 42			D 44
2 things: I don't want to put words into 2 approval but comports with the general spirit 4 agree on language for a loan agreement that 3 off the statute as in currently exists. 4 agree on that? So, that's a long-winded way 5 of aying, Michael, that 1 think we're in 6 loan CRRA up to \$22 million. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mare, would you 8 agree on that? 9 DIR. RPKIN: We have agreed 9 DIR. RPKIN: We have agreed 9 DIR. RPKIN: We have agreed 10 that we're going to delink the interest rate, falls within the 11 charge in that loan from the overall loan 13 of the interest rate, falls within the 14 authority of the resaurer: 15 MR. STAPSTROM: That's 16 correct. 17 DIR. RIFKIN: We have – both 18 the secretary and the treasmer's office 19 aprevent and the metrest rate, falls within the 20 agreement agrice 14 that reguires acceptance or approval of a 21 submitted and approved by a two-bitrds vote	1		Page 42			Page 44
3 Marc's mouth, but I do think that we have agreed on langange for a loan agreement that would constitute authority for the state to for ARA up to \$22 million. 3 of the statute as it currently exists. 4 agreed on langange for a loan agreement that would constitute authority for the state to for ARA up to \$22 million. 5 of the statute as it currently exists. 5 bit CALRMAN: Mare, would you agreement. I don't think that Mare to greement. I don't think that that for to going there is a recognition that that for to going the also said clearly that nobody has agreement with the learly that nobody has agreement with the sales said clearly that nobody has agreement and there current to a subsequent a mitterest rate, fails within the anthority of the treasurer. 10 that we're trying to do is come up with a set an interest in seeing the \$CKF lapped. \$0 10 agree that we would feel more comfortable correct. 13 that with out a language of which we've agreed to a systemited so us. 11 bit setters acceptance on approval of a submitted to us. 13 the secretarg and the treasent's static to say that there will be asone point in the near or that size acceptance on approval of a submitted to us. 1 we'll enter into the interim agreement? 2 forward - the agreed in the statute size of the members of this authority and 1 we'll enter into the interim agreement? 3 bit is face to be also and agreement to remove the agreed in the statute size of the members of this authority and 1	•					
4 So, that's a long-wined way 5 of saying, Michael, that 1 think we're in 6 loan CRRA up to S22 million. 7 THE CHAURMAN: Marc, would you 8 agree on that? 9 DIR. RIFKIN: We have agreed 9 that we're going to dolink the interest rate, setting 10 that we're going to dolink the interest rate, setting 11 charge in that loan from the overall loan 12 agreement Lefulls within the 13 of the interest rate, fulls within the 14 authonity of the stasse 15 MR. STAPSTROM: That's 16 correct. 17 DIR. RIFKIN: We have - both 18 the secretary and the trassurer's office 19 agreement he language of which we've agreed 10 there will be as some point of this authority and 21 forward - 22 So we both agreed that - and 23 submitted to us. 24 and budget implementation. And there's a 25 So we both agreed that - and 34 there will be at some pointin the near or <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1					
5 would constitute authority for the state to 5 of saying, Michael, fast 1 think ware in 6 loan CRA up to \$22 million, fast 2 may constitute authority for the state to 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mare, would you agreement until the legislature acts, because 8 agreement until the legislature act, because there is a recognition that that host oping 10 that we're going to delink the interest rate, setting 10 12 agreement because the interest rate, setting 11 13 of the interest rate, setting 12 14 authority of the treasurer: 14 authority of the treasurer's office 15 MR. STAPSTROM: That's 15 that we'n in agreement 16 correct. 17 agreen that we would feel more confortable 19 agree that we would fiel more confortable 19 THE CHAIRMAN: So the 11 aubmitted to us. 21 forward 22 21 submitted to us. 21 we'll enter into the interim agreement? 23 there will be at some point in the near or 3 for Marc or Garch. From my perspective what 7 mort-too-distan future a special sestion	1					
6 loan CRRA up to \$22 million. 6 agree on that? 7 THE (CHAIRMAN: Marc, would you agreement until the legislature acts, because 9 DIR, RIFKIN: We have agreed 9 11 charge in that loan from the overall loan 11 12 agreement think that so to going 11 13 of the interest rate, falls within the 13 14 authority of the treasurer: 14 of parential dimensions to a complex problem 15 MR. STAFSTROM: That's 15 that we'ne trying to do is come by with a set 16 correct. 16 payement and them enter into a subsequent 17 DIR. RIFKIN: We have both 17 agreement the language is agreed moving 18 the secretary and the treasurer's office 19 THE CHAIRMAN: So then 20 securities a difficult mittigation plan 21 forward - 21 three-year financial mitigation plan 22 MR. STAFSTROM: That's 21 southority or dai agreement or and approved by a two-thirds vote 24 something in confinance with whetever the 23 submitted to us. 21 MR. STAFSTROM: Thener of	1					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statue7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statue7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying <td>£</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	£					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statue7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I18executing a subsequent agreement tas soon as18that's the only one. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem						
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	1			-		
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	b.					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	6					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	ſ					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	ł					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem						
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	1					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying<	1					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying<	1					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying<	F					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	1					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	21					
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	22			22	MR. STAFSTROM: So it's fair	
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	23	three-year financial mitigation plan		23	to say that there will be some certificate or	
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: The loan agreem	1	submitted and approved by a two-thirds vote		24		
Page 43Page 431submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statue7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I18executing a subsequent agreement tas soon as18that's the only one. <t< td=""><td>25</td><td>of the members of this authority and</td><td></td><td>25</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	25	of the members of this authority and		25		
1submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and3we'll enter into the interim agreement?3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that lades the12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18that's the only one.19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
1submitted to us.1we'll enter into the interim agreement?2So we both agreed that and3we'll enter into the interim agreement?3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that lades the12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18that's the only one.19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement <td></td> <td></td> <td>Page 43</td> <td></td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td>Page 45</td>			Page 43		· · · ·	Page 45
2So we both agreed that and2DIR. RIFKIN: I can't speak3there will be at some point in the near orin not-too-distant future a special session ofif m saying is I think that the language that4not-too-distant future a special session ofif m saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issuesif m saying is I think that the language that6and budget implementation. And there's aif m saying is I think that the language that7commitment to get a change in the statuteif m saying is I think that the language that8with respect to this loan agreement to removeif m comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down toget tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there isif n conversation that I had previously with Marc11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF toif conversation that I had previously with Marc13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And whatif only sign the certificate that was done by, I14Marc is proposing here is a two-step processif question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to doif only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement to soon asguess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and thenif of the full amount.10If the interim we think thatif only can agreement20for the full amount.if only can agreement	1	and have it is a set	Tugo 10	1	111 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i age 40
3there will be at some point in the near or3for Marc or Gareth. From my perspective what4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove9the approval requirement. It comes down to9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12UR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.21DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement21In the interim we think that21DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement22there is some language, a cert	1					
4not-too-distant future a special session of4I'm saying is I think that the language that5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language, a certificate, if you21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22UR RYAN: The loan agreement plus223will, that we can jointly sign that will lay2324out the						
5the legislature to deal with budget issues5we've seen that certifies and creates the6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.21DIR. RYAN: Right.21In the interim we think that21DIR. RYAN: Right.22will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yester				-		
6and budget implementation. And there's a6condition that satisfies the condition7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.21DIR. RYAN: Right.21In the interim we think that21DIR. RYAN: Right.22will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	£			-		
7commitment to get a change in the statute7precedent to entering into the loan agreement8with respect to this loan agreement to remove8I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words9the approval requirement. It comes down to9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RIFKIN: Right.20In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.21In the interim we think that22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yest						
8with respect to this loan agreement to remove 99In agreement to remove requirement. It comes down to 108I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words 99the approval requirement. It comes down to 1010fill in agreement to remove 9111110the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to 1210here and I think that at least the 1111sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to 1311conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so 1312we're maybe that close to. 1313that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do 1514question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million for the 22 and then 1417DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as 1918that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature 1019DIR. RIFKIN: Right.20for the full amount.21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.21In the interim we think that 2222DIR. RYAN: Tim saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay 2423can take the language changes we proposed 2424out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus						
9the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is9get tweaked a little bit but I think it's10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20DIR. RIFKIN: Right.21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus <td>1</td> <td>e e</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>nrecedent to entering into the loss agreement</td> <td></td>	1	e e			nrecedent to entering into the loss agreement	
10the issue of making sure that there is10here and I think that at least the11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to10here and I think that at least the12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	1 0	WITH respect to this loan agreement to remove		-		
11sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to11conversation that I had previously with Marc12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed23out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	9			8	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words	
12make the next bond payment debt payment so12we're maybe that close to.13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22Will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	1	the approval requirement. It comes down to		8 9	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's	
13that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what13DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is		8 9 10	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the	
14Marc is proposing here is a two-step process14question? Howard, are you saying we would15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to		8 9 10 11	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc	
15towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do15only sign the certificate that was done by, I16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so		8 9 10 11 12	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to.	
16an interim loan agreement by substituting 216guess it was, Squire, Sanders?17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what		8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR, RYAN: Can I ask a	
17million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then17DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process		8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would	
18executing a subsequent agreement as soon as18that's the only one.19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14 15	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I	
19the language is approved by the legislature19DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders?	
20for the full amount.20and the certificate?21In the interim we think that21DIR. RIFKIN: Right.22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying	
22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one.	
22there is some language, a certificate, if you22DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we23will, that we can jointly sign that will lay23can take the language changes we proposed24out the sort of elements of the condition24yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement	
 will, that we can jointly sign that will lay out the sort of elements of the condition can take the language changes we proposed yesterday with the master loan agreement plus 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature for the full amount.		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement and the certificate?	
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature for the full amount. In the interim we think that there is some language, a certificate, if you		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement and the certificate? DIR. RIFKIN: Right.	
25 precedent to the approval of the loan that 25 the certificate with a minimal amount of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature for the full amount. In the interim we think that there is some language, a certificate, if you will, that we can jointly sign that will lay		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement and the certificate? DIR. RIFKIN: Right. DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we	
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature for the full amount. In the interim we think that there is some language, a certificate, if you will, that we can jointly sign that will lay out the sort of elements of the condition		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement and the certificate? DIR. RIFKIN: Right. DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we can take the language changes we proposed yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	
	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the approval requirement. It comes down to the issue of making sure that there is sufficient funds without tapping the SCRF to make the next bond payment debt payment so that in fact we don't tap the SCRF. And what Marc is proposing here is a two-step process towards a \$22 million loan agreement to do an interim loan agreement by substituting 2 million or 1.8 million for the 22 and then executing a subsequent agreement as soon as the language is approved by the legislature for the full amount. In the interim we think that there is some language, a certificate, if you will, that we can jointly sign that will lay out the sort of elements of the condition		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm comfortable with. Now, maybe the words get tweaked a little bit but I think it's here and I think that at least the conversation that I had previously with Marc we're maybe that close to. DIR. RYAN: Can I ask a question? Howard, are you saying we would only sign the certificate that was done by, I guess it was, Squire, Sanders? DIR. RIFKIN: I'm not saying that's the only one. DIR. RYAN: The loan agreement and the certificate? DIR. RIFKIN: Right. DIR. RYAN: I'm saying if we can take the language changes we proposed yesterday with the master loan agreement plus	

Τ

		Page 46			Page 48
1	tweaking, that I guess		1	that may be made.	
2	MR. BYE: John and I are going		2	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard.	
3	to have to dance, around at the head of a pin		3	DIR. RIFKIN: And let me be	
4	and I assume also with Squire, Sanders.		4	clear. I think the language that we've	
5	MR. STAFSTROM: From what I		5	internally worked out for me satisfies a	
6	know, that would be acceptable to us. We		6	broader view of what approval means. And	
7	were at a different place yesterday. I think		7	from our perspective, we'd be willing to	
8	there was some expression that the		-8		
9	certificate was not needed and we probably		9	execute the loan agreement whether it's for 2	
10	don't need to go into all the details		10	million or 22 million dollars with this	
11	DIR. RYAN: No.			language as part of a certificate. I favor	
11	DIR. KTAN. No. DIR. STAFSTROM: because		11	the delinking that Marc is talking about but	
12			12	feel comfortable that this language satisfies	
	what I'm hearing us say is that the		13	the context in which the legislature used the	
14	certificate will enter into the agreement, it		14	word "approval" as a condition precedent to	
15	will be a short-term arrangement, and		15	the secretary and the treasurer executing a	
16	hopefully by the time we get to the need for		16	loan agreement.	
17	additional money next year the legislature	-	17	MR. STAFSTROM: Mr. Chairman,	
18	will have solved the problem.		18	if I could ask one more question?	
19	DIR. RYAN: That's correct.		19	THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.	
20	THE CHAIRMAN: And your		20	MR. STAFSTROM: Because that	
21	problem really is, as you call it, delinking?		21	not that we need to get into the full next	-
22	DIR. RYAN: That's correct.		22	point and exigencies of the situation, but	
23	THE CHAIRMAN: And just for		23	what is your level of confidence that the	
24	the record, it has nothing to do with the		24	legislature is going to change the	
25	content or the format of the mitigation plan		25	legislation so that next month or not I	
	•				
		Page 47			Page 49
1	wa famuandada	Page 47	1		Page 49
1	we forwarded?	Page 47	1	mean, we're living month to month here or	Page 49
2	DIR. RYAN: Uh	Page 47	2	next month we don't have a similar issue?	Page 49
2 3	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear	Page 47	2 3	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer	Page 49
2 3 4	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is.	Page 47	2 3 4	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the	Page 49
2 3 4 5	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this	Page 47	2 3 4 5	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though,	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested,	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue.	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that.	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed.	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an -individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking-will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill.	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan.	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking-will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there.	Page 49
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we	Page 47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes.	Page 49
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we approve it in terms of I'm sorry, submit	Page 47	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. DIR. RYAN: That probably is	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we approve it in terms of I'm sorry, submit that document and we basically attest that	Page 47	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\\end{array} $	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. DIR. RYAN: That probably is the case.	Page 49
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we approve it in terms of I'm sorry, submit that document and we basically attest that you submitted the document, that is something	Page 47	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. DIR. RYAN: That probably is the case. DIR. RIFKIN: He's a detailed	Page 49
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what 1 believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we approve it in terms of I'm sorry, submit that document and we basically attest that you submitted the document, that is something I can agree to as opposed to approving that	Page 47	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. DIR. RYAN: That probably is the case. DIR. RIFKIN: He's a detailed kind of guy.	Page 49
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIR. RYAN: Uh THE CHAIRMAN: I want to hear it if it is. DIR. RYAN: Put it to you this way: We had nothing to do with what I believe CRRA submitted exactly what was called for in the statute. Inherent, though, in the statutory language I see approval, as essentially the secretary of OPM attested, over a long-term time frame, to the health of the authority. And I'm saying I as an individual shouldn't have to attest to that. There are too many unknowns out there. And it has nothing do with the operations necessarily of CRRA at this point, but there are so many things that could fall against anything assumed in that mitigation plan. And that's why I say as long as you essentially submit that document and we approve it in terms of I'm sorry, submit that document and we basically attest that you submitted the document, that is something	Page 47	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	next month we don't have a similar issue? DIR. RYAN: Both the treasurer and I believe have consulted with the legislature and they understand why we think we need those changes. I feel comfortable that the delinking will be approved. I'm not as comfortable that they believe that one or both of the ex-officios should be off the board. That's a totally separate issue. They may not buy that. I had to do what I had to do. I do, though, believe that delinking will be passed. DIR. RIFKIN: Plus it will be in section 150, subsection D, subdivision 17 of the implementor bill. MR. STAFSTROM: But I get the strong sense the secretary will make sure it's there. DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. DIR. RYAN: That probably is the case. DIR. RIFKIN: He's a detailed	Page 49

		_			
		Page 50			Page 52
1	would appreciate the secretary could send		1	don't have your qualifications with regard to	
2	some preadvancive language. As the chairman		2	finance. But nonetheless, I approved as a	
3	with the responsibility I also Bud, did		3	director the mitigation plan because it was	
4	you have a question?		4	based on the best information we had at the	
5	DIR. COHN: Actually I had two		5	time and it made sense. When we went through	
6	questions but one of them was already asked.		6	the budget we even amended the	
7	The second I don't know if		7	recommendations given us by staff to be sure	
8	it's a question or a statement. This board		8	that we could cover all reasonably	
9	has been in a lot of uncomfortable positions		9	anticipated expenses. And while I couldn't	
10	in the last year, but one of the most		10	guarantee even what next year's expenses are	*88838 8888
11 12	uncomfortable was in setting a tipping fee		11	going to be, we voted to approve that, and I	
12	without knowing what the circumstances of the		12	concurred in that vote. Heck, right now we	
14	loan would be, whether there would be one and how much it would be. And we really need a		13	can't even guarantee when summer is going to	
14	commitment from you that that won't happen		14 15	come. So I think you're using the word	
16	again, that our mitigation plan or our next		15	"approve" and at the 11th or 11:30 telling us well you think that means "guarantee," and I	
17	version of it next year is based on		17	think that's a stretch, very honestly.	
18	discussion before the fact of what's feasible		17	DIR. RYAN: If I could just	
19	and what's in the best interest of the		19	respond? I appreciate that, and I don't want	
20	authority and the state.		20	to be at loggerheads with this board, but I	
21	DIR. RYAN: Well, I don't		21	do believe this is a very unique	
22	think I've taken issue with the mitigation		22	circumstance. There has been language in the	
23	plan. I'm just taking issue with the fact		23	statute in the past that allowed the	
24	that the secretary of OPM shouldn't have to		24	treasurer or perhaps just me I can't	
25	attest, as I view it, to the long-term		25	remember which to make incidental, in my	
-					
		Page 51			Page 53
1	viability of the organization before making		1	view, loans to quasi-public authorities, one	
2	the loan.		2	of them, I believe, was the Connecticut	
3	DIR. COHN: Let me be more		3	Student Loan Foundation, and I think that was	
4	specific. In a couple I think it was	·	4	a 2 to 4 million dollar, you know, cash loan.	
5	finance committee meetings rather than board		5	And we went through as much detail on a 2 or	
6	meetings, your representative on the board		6	4 million dollar loan I remember going	
7	was asked what's the secretary's position on		7	through it with Bud on many occasions to	
8	the loan and the answer was, "I haven't been		8	ensure that that agency that we're making a	
9	able to get to see him on it." And I think		9	loan could indeed pay that back, what was a	
10	our plan is better if we know your position		10	fair amount of time to pay it back, and	
11	before we draft it rather than after.		11	things of that nature. This is a very unique	
12	DIR. RYAN: Bud, I don't have		12	circumstance when the state of Connecticut is	
13	an issue with making the loan. I understand		13	essentially not making an appropriation, not	
14	that's needed. In retrospect, I have an		14	having a bond authorization and simply making	
15	issue with the wording of the statute, and		15	a cash loan out of its reserves. And as you	
16	that's the only issue I have.		16	know, we have a severe cash crunch right now,	
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Ray.		17	we don't have a budget coming on July 1, and	
18	DIR. O'BRIEN: That bothers me		18	we don't know if our cash position is going	
19	really, particularly the way you seem to be		19	to improve markedly in the next several	
20	construing the word "approve" to mean		20	years.	
21 22	"guarantee." And that seems to be what		21	So when I'm sitting here, you	
22	you're saying, you construed that as meaning a guarantee for ten years off.		22	know, with this kind of loan sitting in front	
23	Now I'm probably the least		23 24	of me, I believe that it rises to the level the statute forces me to rise to the	
25	qualified person on this board. I certainly		24	level and say can this organization	
1.5	quantital person on the obara. I containly		2.5	iover and say can this organization	
L			1		

		• •		
		Page 54		Page 56
1 2 3 4 5	absolutely pay it back. I'm just saying as good as the mitigation may have been, as honest as this new agency is with new people in it, there are a lot of things that can't be anticipated. And given all the issues	-	 payment date if the payment date is July, then this discussion is materially different than if THE CHAIRMAN: You're talking about transporting the money. I'm talking 	~
6 7 8	surrounding governor's representatives legally or illegally sharing and making decisions on the board in the past, I think I		 about transporting the money. This taking about the document that guarantees we get it. DIR. RIFKIN: I understand that. But the execution of a document, if 	
9 10	have to protect my agency as well as the state and request those changes, just to be		9 the payment date is July 18th, then the issue10 is I mean, we can prepare the documents,	
11 12 13	very honest with you. THE CHAIRMAN: Between John and Marc and Howard, we have a timetable.		11 as we described, including the certificate12 that the secretary and the treasurer is13 comfortable with within the context of the	
14 15	Jim, what's our timetable to need the cash? July what?		current statute. If the payment is July 18thand there is a legislative session between	
16 17 18	MR. BOLDUC: Recent projected cash flow suggests that by the end of July we'll be needing the cash.		 now and then and we get this delinking legislation, then we can tear up the agreement for the \$2 million and execute an 	
19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. And hopefully not waiting on July 29th, John,		agreement that's delinked from acceptance orapproval of a mitigation plan for the full	
22 23	Howard, Marc, what do you see as the timetable to put this document together? DIR. COHN: The 1.8?		 21 \$22 million. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I 23 understand that 	
24 25	MR. BOLDUC: Yes, the one that's in the budget the		24 DIR. RIFKIN: And that becomes25 the sort of operative loan agreement. Well,	
		Page 55		Page 57
1 2 2	DIR. RYAN: I thought that was in June actually.	Page 55	 you can answer the question. MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 	Page 57
	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going	Page 55	 2 MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 3 agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in 4 the document is the same for the 2 million 	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or	Page 55	2 MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 3 agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in 4 the document is the same for the 2 million 5 and the 22 million. The real issue we're 6 talking about is whether we need this 7 determination letter certificate or not	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this?	Page 55	2 MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 3 agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in 4 the document is the same for the 2 million 5 and the 22 million. The real issue we're 6 talking about is whether we need this	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this? DIR. RIFKIN: Well, if it's in June, then there's one answer. If it's in	Page 55	2 MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 3 agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in 4 the document is the same for the 2 million 5 and the 22 million. The real issue we're 6 talking about is whether we need this 7 determination letter certificate or not 8 depending upon whether the legislation 9 delinking is passed. I think what Howard is 10 saying is if we need the money next week, 11 we've got to get this done and got to get the 12 certificate signed. And if you don't need	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this? DIR. RIFKIN: Well, if it's in	Page 55	2 MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've 3 agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in 4 the document is the same for the 2 million 5 and the 22 million. The real issue we're 6 talking about is whether we need this 7 determination letter certificate or not 8 depending upon whether the legislation 9 delinking is passed. I think what Howard is 10 saying is if we need the money next week, 11 we've got to get this done and got to get the 12 certificate signed. And if you don't need 13 the money until July, I assume what they're 14 going to tell you is they are going to wait 15 until they see if the legislature moves.	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this? DIR. RIFKIN: Well, if it's in June, then there's one answer. If it's in July, then there's potentially THE CHAIRMAN: Then the Chair will be conservative and say June because I'd like to see some cash coming to us. DIR. RIFKIN: Let me just say from the perspective of the treasurer, the	Page 55	MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in the document is the same for the 2 million and the 22 million. The real issue we're talking about is whether we need this determination letter certificate or not depending upon whether the legislation delinking is passed. I think what Howard is saying is if we need the money next week, we've got to get this done and got to get the certificate signed. And if you don't need he money until July, I assume what they're going to tell you is they are going to wait until they see if the legislature moves. DIR. RYAN: I came here my last best information was that money would be needed before the end of the fiscal year. If	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this? DIR. RIFKIN: Well, if it's in June, then there's one answer. If it's in July, then there's potentially THE CHAIRMAN: Then the Chair will be conservative and say June because I'd like to see some cash coming to us. DIR. RIFKIN: Let me just say from the perspective of the treasurer, the agreement has a provision with respect to how the money gets drawn. The state is not going to front CRRA money before it has to turn	Page 55	MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in the document is the same for the 2 million and the 22 million. The real issue we're talking about is whether we need this determination letter certificate or not depending upon whether the legislation delinking is passed. I think what Howard is asying is if we need the money next week, we've got to get this done and got to get the certificate signed. And if you don't need we've going to tell you is they are going to wait until they see if the legislature moves. DIR. RYAN: I came here my last best information was that money would be needed before the end of the fiscal year. If cash flows have changed sufficiently to put that off by several weeks, I think what we should do is continue to meet on the master	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in June actually. MR. BOLDUC: The one that's in the book. There's a lot of things been going on in the last few days with EPA contracts and some other things. So we've got to revise when they hand out or THE CHAIRMAN: Give it June, give it July. The thing is what's our timetable here to do this? DIR. RIFKIN: Well, if it's in June, then there's one answer. If it's in July, then there's potentially- THE CHAIRMAN: Then the Chair will be conservative and say June because I'd like to see some cash coming to us. DIR. RIFKIN: Let me just say from the perspective of the treasurer, the agreement has a provision with respect to how the money gets drawn. The state is not going	Page 55	MR. STAFSTROM: I think we've agreed at yesterday's meeting the language in the document is the same for the 2 million and the 22 million. The real issue we're talking about is whether we need this determination letter certificate or not depending upon whether the legislation delinking is passed. I think what Howard is saying is if we need the money next week, we've got to get this done and got to get the certificate signed. And if you don't need deline until July, I assume what they're going to tell you is they are going to wait until they see if the legislature moves. DIR. RYAN: I came here my last best information was that money would be needed before the end of the fiscal year. If cash flows have changed sufficiently to put that off by several weeks, I think what we	Page 57

		Page 58			Page 60
1	MR. KIRK: I don't want to		1	Ray.	-
2	leave the board with the wrong impression.		2	DIR. O'BRIEN: I don't really	
3	The last best information is and as it is		3	support that because it's really breaking the	
4	right now we need the money in June.		4	piggy bank and buying something short term	
5	However, there are steps the board can take		5	that we're going to have a problem	
6	at this meeting releasing board-designated		6	replenishing in the long term. Jim talked to	
7	reserve funds that have some qualifications		7	me about it before the meeting and it just	
8	and issues surrounding that release that		8	seems like we're grasping at the straw to	
9	could get us into July. That in conjunction		9	keep from going down. I think we need that 2	
10	with revised estimates on our EPA can		10	million in June and then move forward with	
11	possibly get us until July, but not without		11	the delinking. I guess I understand	
12	action by the board today.		12	everything with regard to the delinking.	
13	DIR. RYAN: Let me point out		13	That makes sense, move forward with that to	
14	exactly where my position would be. We		14	get the balance approved in July, but I think	
15	should cement down the master loan agreement		15	we need the 2 million in June instead of	
16	and have the ability to put either that $\$2$		16	DIR. RIFKIN: I think we're in	
17	plus million number or that \$22 million		17	violent agreement.	
18	number in it. We should cement down language		18	THE CHAIRMAN: Bud.	
19	on a certificate that the state treasurer and		19	DIR. COHN: I want to say the	
20	I would sign if it's needed because you feel		20	same thing. I'm encouraged that we can get	
21	you need the money before delinking		21	the documents in place and we can go either	
22	legislation can pass. I feel comfortable		22	way because I feel very uncomfortable with	
23	that we can get both of those pieces of paper		23	voting to release the last dollar of reserves	
24	done even by the beginning of next week at		24	because we're an operating agency, things	
25	the latest. And when you guys say if you		25	change from month to month. We can't be	
<u> </u>					
1					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 59			Page 61
1	don't feel comfortable making those	Page 59	1	without some reserves. So I think we have to	Page 61
2	arrangements to extend cash flow through	Page 59	2	without some reserves. So I think we have to be ready to move on the loan if	Page 61
2 3	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the	Page 59			Page 61
2 3 4	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master	Page 59	2 3 4	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had	Page 61
2 3 4 5	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If	Page 59	2 3 4 5	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you	Page 61
2 3 4	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July,	Page 59	2 3 4	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go.	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement.	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen?	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do.	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes?	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't	Page 61
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this.	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things.	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right.	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 12 133 14 15 166 177 18	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 19 20	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a good way to go.	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the modification or what we approved last month?	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 100 111 122 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 200 21 22	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a good way to go. DIR. RYAN: That's not a good	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the modification or what we approved last month? MR. STAFSTROM: No. The	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a good way to go. DIR. RYAN: That's not a good idea. You shouldn't do it.	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes_I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the modification or what we approved last month? MR. STAFSTROM: No. The authorization was up to 22 million.	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 100 111 122 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 200 211 222 23	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a good way to go. DIR. RYAN: That's not a good	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the modification or what we approved last month? MR. STAFSTROM: No. The authorization was up to 22 million. DIR. O'BRIEN: But with	Page 61
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 24	arrangements to extend cash flow through July, I will be more than happy to sign the certificate at a \$2 million level, a master loan agreement at the \$2 million level. If you guys feel you want to go through July, you wouldn't need the certificate. We would get delinking language and we would just sign the master loan agreement. MR. STAFSTROM: Just so I can clarify, I think where the discussion back and forth on June or July is coming is there's some internal discussion if we really got stuck here what would happen on a cash flow basis, but that means linking into board-designated restricted reserves, such as landfill closure reserves and other things. And the issue then is how do you ever replenish them because all we can ever borrow for is debt service. So it's probably not a good way to go. DIR. RYAN: That's not a good idea. You shouldn't do it. THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair is	Page 59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	be ready to move on the loan if THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, you had DIR. CASSANO: We're ready to go. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there are any further do we have a meeting of the minds, gentlemen? DIR. RYAN: I think we do. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard, do this for me. Yes? DIR. RIFKIN: Yes_I don't think there's much left of our minds but THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I don't think we need to take any actions on this. All right. DIR. O'BRIEN: Do we need action to approve the amendment of our original modification or authorize the modification or what we approved last month? MR. STAFSTROM: No. The authorization was up to 22 million.	Page 61

1	,				
		Page 62			Page 64
1	MR. STAFSTROM: We're not		1	It's adopted.	
2	changing any language that wasn't within your		2	Board action.	
3	approval. Your approval was up to \$22		3	MR. KIRK: Actually we're	
4	million and up to I think a 6 percent		4	short a quorum.	
5	interest rate, and we're all within that.		5	(Pause.)	
6	DIR. O'BRIEN: Okay. The		6	DIR. CASSANO: Now I have	
7	certificate doesn't change that at all?		7	nine. We are voting on the installation of a	
8	MR. STAFSTROM: That's really		8	temporary membrane cover, Michael, which is	
9	a legal issue, important one, but a legal		9	somewhat routine.	
10	issue.		10	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
11	DIR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I just		11	DIR. CASSANO: All those in	
12	don't want to drop it.		12	favor?	
13	THE CHAIRMAN: I know the		13	Opposed?	
14	secretary has to go. But I would refer,		14	It is adopted.	
15	Marc, to your attention, if you haven't read		15	We're now on item 6-3,	
16	it yet, in your book, tab 17, which concerns		16	attachment 7.	
17	the moving forward with a business plan that		17	DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the	
18	hopefully you'll see that will be viable.		18	resolution that follows tab 7.	
19	The Chair is going to excuse		19	DIR. COOPER: Second.	
20	himself one more time and ask Mr. Cassano to		20	MR. KIRK: Under 7 this is the	
21	take over.		21	prequalified service agreements, no value to	
22	DIR. CASSANO: We're going to		22	the contract. They are an on-call service	
23	go back to Mid-Connecticut, project reports,		23	for miscellaneous issues at our Ellington,	
24	item 2.		24	Hartford, Shelton, and Wallingford landfills,	
25	DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the		25	storm water management, leachate seepage	
		Page 63			Daga ff
.	resolution that follows tab 6.	1 420 00			Page 65
	resolution that follows tab b			repairs at catero . We concrete e secone et	
				repairs, et cetera. We generate a scope of	
2	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary		1 2	work and choose a vendor.	
2 3	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom.		3	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair	
2 3 4	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary		3 4	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it.	
2 3 4 5	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We		3 4 5	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion	
2 3 4 5 6	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of		3 4	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor?	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are		3 4 5 6 7	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not		3 4 5 6 7 8	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our		3 4 5 6 7 8 9	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars-in-'03-operations- budget is available. We will actually		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand-dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment?	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KJRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars-in-'03-operations- budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was. DIR. CASSANO: Seconded for		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just would like, say, three months after this goes	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars-in-'03-operations- budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was. DIR. CASSANO: Seconded for the record. I'm sorry. Moved by Ray and		$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ \end{array} $	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just would like, say, three months after this goes in for Peter to update the information he	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was. DIR. CASSANO: Seconded for the record. I'm sorry. Moved by Ray and seconded by Mark.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just would like, say, three months after this goes in for Peter to update the information he gave us with regard to any change in traffic	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty thousand dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was. DIR. CASSANO: Seconded for the record. I'm sorry. Moved by Ray and seconded by Mark. All those in favor?		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just would like, say, three months after this goes in for Peter to update the information he gave us with regard to any change in traffic as a result of these hours.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	DIR. CASSANO: Temporary membrane cover. Tom. MR. KIRK: Okay, temporary membrane cover at the Hartford Landfill. We are required to cover at least 50 percent of the open area. In this arrangement we are covering a large area with a poly sheet not unlike trash garbage cans we use in our kitchens. That's a permit requirement. It's to allow shedding of rainwater into the storm sewer system. It's a 6 mil poly sheet. Eighty-thousand-dollars in '03-operations budget is available. We will actually capitalize this item, but it's pretty routine operations issue for the Hartford Landfill. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion on this item? DIR. COOPER: Was it seconded? Why don't I second it. I don't think it was. DIR. CASSANO: Seconded for the record. I'm sorry. Moved by Ray and seconded by Mark.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	work and choose a vendor. THE CHAIRMAN: The vice chair is doing a fine job. Go for it. DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion first of all. All those in favor? Opposed? Adopted. Item 4, attachment 8. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution following tab 8 regarding the reduction of delivery hours at the Hartford Landfill. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. We had discussion on this earlier regarding public comment and I think that was addressed. Any further comment? DIR. O'BRIEN: Yes. I just would like, say, three months after this goes in for Peter to update the information he gave us with regard to any change in traffic	

• •

	Pag	66			Page 68
1	DIR. CASSANO: All those in		1	arrangement has been addressed by the board	1450 00
2	favor?	Í	2	arrangement has been addressed by the board in a prior meeting. It is the return of CRRA	20 March 10
3	Opposed?		3	rolling stock trailers and tractors from our	- 4-7/ 52 - 61
4	Adopted.		4	contractor. We've negotiated a favorable	
5	Item 5, attachment 9, spot		5	agreement that provides more value to CRRA.	
6	waste delivery.		6	This particular agreement codifies a lease	
7	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the		7	buy-back arrangement such that we will own	
8	resolution following tab 9 regarding spot		8	and have in our possession the titles for all	
9	waste services agreement with the town of		9	of our original equipment. We will be	
10 11	Windsor.		10	leasing that at a market rate to our	
11	DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: Moved and		11 12	contractor and they will have the option of	
12	seconded.		12	buying that equipment at a market rate at the conclusion of the contract. This has been	
14	Tom.		13	previously described to the board. There	
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Just for		15	were some changes in the actual agreement, in	
16	information, one of the people sitting here		16	particular, the insurance arrangements that	
17	went in the hallway and unfortunately fell		17	assure indemnity of the CRRA. And we want to	
18	and he's needing a little medical attention.		18	bring it back to the board for final	4 Jacob 1000
19	That's why you see the activity going in and		19	approval.	
20	out. It's not that people are being rude.		20	DIR. O'BRIEN: I would like to	
21	They are just attending to somebody.		21	commend the president and his staff on	
22	I'm sorry, sir.		22	resolving this question which was sticky for	
23	DIR. CASSANO: Tom, item 9.		23	a while. And I got involved in it at the	
24 25	MR. KIRK: Under tab 9, spot		24	Chairman's request last year. So I commend	
23	waste services for Windsor, this is an		25	you and your staff on the efforts to resolve	
					£
	Pag	67			Page 69
1	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of	e 67	1	this.	Page 69
· 2	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield	e 67	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard.	Page 69
·2 3	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in	e 67		THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say	Page 69
·2 3 4	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we	e 67	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want	Page 69
·2 3 4 5	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility.	: 67	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since	Page 69
·2 3 4 5 6	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us	: 67	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially	Page 69
·2 3 4 5 6 7	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state	• 67	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous	Page 69
·2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price	:67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2	÷ 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer	Page 69
·2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings.	€67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved	e 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings.	: 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor?	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed?	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority.	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded.	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM.	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this?	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM. DIR. COOPER: Second.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this? Then I'd see if the vice chair wants to call	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM. DIR. COOPER: Second. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this? Then I'd see if the vice chair wants to call for the vote.	Page 69
⁻² 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded.	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this? Then I'd see if the vice chair wants to call for the vote. DIR. CASSANO: All those in	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Tom.	. 67	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this? Then I'd see if the vice chair wants to call for the vote. DIR. CASSANO: All those in favor?	Page 69
· 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	agreement with the town of Windsor for use of their landfill, the Windsor/Bloomfield Landfill. It allows us additional options in dealing with our excess waste, waste that we cannot process at the Mid-Conn facility. It's beneficial for us because it provides us another option in addition to out-of-state export and it's at a slightly favorable price to our established costs right now, about \$2 per ton savings. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor? Opposed? It's adopted. Item 6, attachment 10. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move the resolution regarding the equipment lease between CRRA and CWPM. DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved and seconded.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: I want to say for the record I agree with Ray. And I want to make it clear that particularly since this is on CTN that this essentially reverses the action taken by the previous board in which as a result of the transfer of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations to a private entity previous board action gave away the equipment that was under the ownership of CRRA. And I do appreciate the effort that Tom and others made to not only undo that but also create additional value to the authority. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The Chair agrees with that. It was one of our long-standing issues and has finally been concluded. Any other comment on this? Then I'd see if the vice chair wants to call for the vote. DIR. CASSANO: All those in	Page 69

		Page 70			Page 72
1	It is adopted.		1	says CRRA employees, and there's a statutory	
2	Finally, item 7, board action		2	limit on number of CRRA employees.	
3	attachment 11.		3	DIR. CASSANO: That was a	
4	DIR. COHN: I'll move the		4	major consideration.	
5	resolution that follows tab 11 regarding the		5	DIR. O'BRIEN: Do these count	
6	creation of CRRA employee positions for the		6	or don't they count?	
7	Mid-Conn project scales.		7	DIR. CASSANO: They don't	
8	DIR. COOPER: I'll second it.		8	count because one of the criteria, there are	
9	DIR. CASSANO: It's been moved		9	alternative provisions that if in fact cash	
10	and seconded.		10	savings are a part of the addition of people,	l
11	Let me just indicate that the		11	and in this case I believe the savings are	
12	personnel committee has reviewed this and		12	approximately \$89,000, then we're allowed to	
13	recommended unanimously adoption of this to		13	do it.	
14	the board.		14	DIR. O'BRIEN: And we've	
15	Tom,		15	documented that and we've got the audit trail	
16	MR. KIRK: This is an		16	set up to maintain that documentation.	
17	initiative that we're undergoing for two		17	THE CHAIRMAN: Howard.	
18	reasons: One is from a controls and a		18	DIR. RIFKIN: With respect to	
19	business standpoint we don't think it's		19	Essex and Ellington, are we replacing MDC	
20	proper that the CRRA cash register, if you		20	employees?	
21	will, is operated by contractors. We think		21	MR. KIRK: We're giving staff	
22	it's a not optimized arrangement. It puts		22	the opportunity to do that. I would hasten	
23	our contractors in an unenviable position of		23	to add that our plan is not to do that	
24	controlling scales over which their equipment		24	because we're still in the middle of a	
25	moves and in the situation where they are		25	mediation with MDC. But fundamentally our	
	moves and mane situation where and are		25	mediation with white. Due fundamentary our	
		Page 71			Page 73
1	paid by the ton.	Page 71	1	desire is to control our own scale house and	Page 73
1 2	paid by the ton. Additionally, in anticipation	Page 71	1	desire is to control our own scale house, and at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the	Page 73
2	Additionally, in anticipation	Page 71	2	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the	Page 73
2 ⁻ 3	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's	Page 71	2 3	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale.	Page 73
2 3 4	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement	Page 71	2 3 4	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in	Page 73
2 3 4 5	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates	Page 71	2 3 4 5	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort?	Page 73
2 3 4	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues,	Page 71	2 3 4	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues,	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net-positive to our	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue-positive, net-positive-to-our- bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will-be-revenue-positive, net-positive-to-our- bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less costly. Equally qualified CRRA employees are	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 -14 15 16 17 18 19	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So what we're saying now is we need somebody	Page 73
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net-positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less costly. Equally qualified CRRA employees are less costly than our contractors. So we will	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So what we're saying now is we need somebody in-house to watch over to be a gatekeeper, if	Page 73
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less costly. Equally qualified CRRA employees are less costly than our contractors. So we will be able to initiate this action and actually	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So what we're saying now is we need somebody in-house to watch over to be a gatekeeper, if you will, at all of these transfer stations	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less costly. Equally qualified CRRA employees are less costly than our contractors. So we will be able to initiate this action and actually save money.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as-I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So what we're saying now is we need somebody in-house to watch over to be a gatekeeper, if	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Additionally, in anticipation of increasing tip fees in years to come, it's important that we redevelop our enforcement capabilities. This initiative creates positions that will address both issues, operating our own scales and providing us an enforcement arm to ensure proper deliveries of waste to our facilities and sufficient searching and exclusion of unacceptable wastes. Importantly, the positions will be revenue positive, net positive to our bottom line, because our employees are significantly cheaper than our contractors and we can DIR. O'BRIEN: Less costly. MR. KIRK: I'm sorry, less costly. Equally qualified CRRA employees are less costly than our contractors. So we will be able to initiate this action and actually save money. DIR. CASSANO: Ray.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at Essex and Ellington MDC does run the scale. DIR. RIFKIN: And in Torrington and Watertown it's Manafort? MR. KIRK: Torrington and Watertown is Manafort. And we would initiate discussions with them to replace their scale operator with a CRRA employee. DIR. RIFKIN: I'm just trying to sort of when MDC was replaced in Torrington and Watertown, part of the motivation, as I-understand-it, of the previous board was in fact that the authority had the ability to do that because the MDC had not fulfilled certain terms of the contract that we had and we moved to privatize it because we felt that there would be a net/net savings to the authority. So what we're saying now is we need somebody in-house to watch over to be a gatekeeper, if you will, at all of these transfer stations whether the current employee is an MDC	Page 73

25 in those two instances an MDC employee to

	·	Page 74		Page 76
1	things there, Howard. We're looking for		1	achieve a savings, because I'm assuming that
2	savings but also we've talked right from the		2	the savings are going to come from the
3	beginning on this board that we needed to		3	difference between what an MDC employee is
4	take a look at how our functions are handled		4	paid and plus the fringe benefits we have to
5	and who handles, where the intellectual		5	reimburse and the health care benefits that
6	knowledge is, and in this case who's running,		6	we have to reimburse the MDC for and what we
7	using Tom's word, the cash register. And the	1	7	would propose to pay an individual working
8	scale house really dictates obviously the		8	directly for CRRA?
9	tonnage that gets charged and how it comes		9	MR. KIRK: Correct.
10	through, and this is one means for us to take		10	THE CHAIRMAN: Correct.
11	a look and take control of that.		11	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent
12	So, the first savings, and I'm		12	that our MDC contract does not allow for the
13	going back between Manafort and the MDC was		13	replacement of a substitution of a
14	one piece, this is moving forward to take a		14	CRRA-paid employee for an MDC employee and we
15	look at how do we understand our business and		15	go to arbitration and we need to continue to
16	understand the flow of garbage that comes in		16	pay – not reduce our MDC payment by that
17	that should be charged for currently and in		17	equivalent amount, we are, in essence, not
18	the future, and that's what Tom is looking		18	saving money but potentially are incurring
19	at.		19	additional costs?
20	DIR. RIFKIN: So let me just		20	THE CHAIRMAN: And this is
21	understand. The savings that we're alluding		21	what Tom and I think the committee
22	to are the result of personnel cost savings,		22	Virginia.
23	or are we including what we think will be		23	MS. RAYMOND: I just want to
24	some additional revenue?		24	comment that and I fully respect where
25	THE CHAIRMAN: No, this is		25	you're coming from but traditionally,
				·
	I	Page 75		Page 77
1	cost, not revenue.		1	historically CRRA has operated its scales.
2	MR. KIRK: It's strictly		2	So this is not something this is actually
3	personnel savings.		3	going back to something we have traditionally
4	DIR. RIFKIN: So to the extent		4	done, and that was control. So getting into
5	that at Torrington/Watertown there are		5	the MDC contractual matters and stuff may not
6	contractual questions with the MDC over who		6	necessarily be germane because they used to
7	has responsibility over the tipping scales		7	run our scales.
8	over the scales, we may have an MDC employee		8	MR. KIRK: We ran it when MDC
9	coupled with a CRRA employee essentially		9	was operating.
10	responsible for the same thing; is that		10	MS. RAYMOND: Absolutely.
11	possible?		11	DIR. LAURETTI: Are there any
12	MR. KIRK: I suppose as a		12	prohibitions that would allow us to use an
	result of arbitration or even mediation if		-13-	alternative method for manning the scale
14	MDC were to be back at Torrington or		14	houses, as an example, another outside
15	Watertown, that's certainly a possibility. I		15	contractor?
16	would		16	THE CHAIRMAN: I think that's
17	DIR. RIFKIN: 1 mean, Essex		17	what the president's point is, that we ought
18	and Ellington, the two transfer stations that		18	to have somebody working for us that's loyal
19	MDC is now in charge of, Essex and Ellington,		19	to us and not to an outside contractor
20	there is currently an MDC employee who's		20	watching those scales.
21	responsible for the cash register?		21	DIR. LAURETTI: I wouldn't
22	MR. KIRK: Correct.		22	disagree with that. And quite frankly, if
23	DIR. RIFKIN: This proposal		23	it's arbitration that is the result of it,
24	would substitute a CRRA directed employee for		24	then so be it. At some point in time we've
25	in those two instances an MDC employee to		25	got to take a position about the cost of

25 got to take a position about the cost of

		Page 78			Page 80
1	doing business. That's something we've been	U ···	1	DIR. RIFKIN: But the	
2	talking about for the last year.		2	arbitration doesn't have to do with this	
3	THE CHAIRMAN: Steve, can you		$\frac{2}{3}$	issue, but we're creating another issue.	
4	comment on this?		4	THE CHAIRMAN: But we're also	
5	DIR. CASSANO: This directly		5		
6	does that. Howard said the major savings are		6	going into mediation.	
7	in the salary differences are significant.			DIR. LAURETTI: I think it's	
8				pretty clear that we need to go down a	
9	This also includes actually extending the hours of this position from 37 and a half to		8	different road, to quote you, Howard. The	
10			9	time has come. And for us to continue to	
11	40 hours a week, it reduces overtime, and it		10	debate this really doesn't serve much of a	
12	gives us supervision of our own scales. It		11	purpose because if you're going to use that	·
12	does exactly that. It creates a cost savings		12	rationale as a reason not to go down that	
13	but gives us management which we have had in		13	road then we might as well fold the tents and	
14	the past.		14	go home.	
	DIR. RIFKIN: I just want to		15	DIR. RIFKIN: All I'm saying	
16	say that I don't oppose this and I appreciate		16	is that I mean, I'll vote for this if	
17	the fact that we had like she said, we had		17	there's some assurance that there's going to	
18	control of the scales. I guess the question		18	be some conversation that takes place with	
19	I had was whether we've had any discussions		19	the parties affected so that we don't go down	
20	with the MDC with respect to replacing the		20	another road of arbitration or litigation	
21	current employee on their payroll who we		21	THE CHAIRMAN: And that's the	
22	reimburse the MDC for with a CRRA employee.		22	committee's intention.	1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2
23	Because if in fact they're going to assert		23	DIR. RIFKIN: et cetera. I	
24	some contract right, even if in the past we		24	mean, because it doesn't make any it seems	
25	did it another way, then in fact the only		25	to me that, yes, I agree we need to do	
			ļ		
		Page 79			Page 81
1	savings here would be the difference in	Page 79	1	business differently, but if what it's going	Page 81
	savings here would be the difference in whatever Manafort is paying the person who	Page 79	1	business differently, but if what it's going to do is take us down an old road we ought to	Page 81
2	whatever Manafort is paying the person who	Page 79	2	to do is take us down an old road we ought to	Page 81
2 3	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would	Page 79		to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this.	Page 81
2 3 4	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down	Page 79	2 3 4	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our	Page 81
2 3	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road.	Page 79	2 3 4 5	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do	Page 81
2 3 4 5	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to	Page 79	2 3 4	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this.	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings.	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we?	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to - if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we? THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this and we take those chances. As to Howard's concerns, they can be addressed. Clearly we	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we? THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the easiest thing is we're not looking to	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this and we take those chances. As to Howard's concerns, they can be addressed. Clearly we have discussions, ongoing discussions, with	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we? THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the easiest thing is we're not looking to duplicate personnel on-site. There is an	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this and we take those chances. As to Howard's concerns, they can be addressed. Clearly we	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we? THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the easiest thing is we're not looking to duplicate personnel on-site. There is an arbitration. The MDC has decided to continue	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this and we take those chances. As to Howard's concerns, they can be addressed. Clearly we have discussions, ongoing discussions, with MDC, opposition is clear, the financial	Page 81
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	whatever Manafort is paying the person who attends the cash register and what we would pay the person, because we would go down another road. All I'm saying is we ought to if you're saying to me that legally under the MDC agreement and because in the past we have controlled the cash register we have the absolute unilateral right to do this and can expect to realize some savings, that's one thing. If what we're going to do is incur yet another dispute with the MDC which they're going to assert we don't have the unilateral right to do this and therefore assert arbitration and try to get some stay of our ability to replace their employee with our employee, we won't realize any savings. And so, you know, where are we? THE CHAIRMAN: I guess the easiest thing is we're not looking to duplicate personnel on-site. There is an arbitration. The MDC has decided to continue with arbitration even though we're going into	Page 79	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to do is take us down an old road we ought to rethink this. DIR. LAURETTI: I think our arbitration award tells us that we can do this. THE CHAIRMAN: I think everybody let's go to Steve. He's the DIR. CASSANO: Whether it does or doesn't, I think you still have to make the step forward. This is a cost-saving measure. It's only \$90,000. But when you look at salary differences and so on and the ability to control your own scales, these are the kinds of things that CRRA should be doing even if it means that we have to go to arbitration, whatever it is, if we're serious about moving forward and trying to do things to make us more effective, then we pass this and we take those chances. As to Howard's concerns, they can be addressed. Clearly we have discussions, ongoing discussions, with MDC, opposition is clear, the financial position is clear, the differences, and so we	Page 81

	Page 82			Page 84
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	MR. KIRK: I do want to emphasize, though, that this is a resolution asking for creation of a position, and we wouldn't necessarily insert anyone until we're comfortable, one, we got the right person to train and it does in fact work to the advantage of the CRRA. And to that end, I anticipate that changes, if they come about, at the MDC staff places to be a result of negotiations with MDC, probably not part of the broader mediation because there's much bigger issues there, but probably as a result of an improved relationship with MDC, and in recognition of the fact that they used to be our positions. I'm not anticipating a difficult time convincing the MDC that it's in our best interests relationshipwise to be able to make those changes. If that is the case, we do have, I believe, the unilateral right to make that change based on cost, but I'm not anticipating we do that. There's eight positions created here, a lot of training, both enforcement and the scale has	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	going across those scales. DIR. LAURETTI: I would like to see the motion reflect that, that there's an option to do either/or. That's always my first preference. MR. KIRK: As opposed to DIR. LAURETTI: As opposed to increasing MR. KIRK: hiring employees? DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second that amendment. THE CHAIRMAN: All right, would the chairman accept that as a DIR. CASSANO: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: The chairman of that committee would accept that as an amendment. Mark, how would you like that to read and where would you like these words inserted? Take a look at the motion, please. DIR. LAURETTI: This is tab number 11?	Page 84
24 25	to go has to happen. My first target would be CWPM scales because I think we have	24 25	DIR. O'BRIEN: I would say with CRRA employees or a contractor to CRRA.	
1	Page 83 put that vendor, quite honestly, in an	1	DIR. CASSANO: CRRA or	Page 85
2	untenable position of running a scale over	2	contract employees.	
3	which he determines how he's paid. If I was	3	DIR. LAURETTI: It's the	
4	a private contractor I wouldn't want to be in	4	wording that gives you an option and	
56	that position, and I think that's where we should go first.	5	flexibility.	
7	DIR. RIFKIN: I think that's a	7	THE CHAIRMAN: It's your motion. I just want to make sure it reads	
8	reasonable explanation.	8	DIR. LAURETTI: I'd be	
9	THE CHAIRMAN: But we're	9	comfortable with that.	
10	looking at the whole thing. Howard, not just	10	DIR O'BRIEN. Put the word	

÷	mar position, and I timit that o where we	1 2	nearonity.
6	should go first.	6	THE CHAIRMAN: It's your
7	DIR. RIFKIN: I think that's a	7	motion. I just want to make sure it reads
8	reasonable explanation.	8	DIR. LAURETTI: 1'd be
9	THE CHAIRMAN: But we're	9	comfortable with that.
10	looking at the whole thing, Howard, not just	10	DIR. O'BRIEN: Put the word
11	one piece.	11	independent contract employee so there's no
12	DIR. RIFKIN: I understand.	12	implication it could be the
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lauretti		THE CHAIRMAN: So let's vote
14	DIR. LAURETTI: Tom, is there	14	on the amendment.
15	a I think I asked this question before	15	All those in favor of the
16	is there a prohibition, or is it an absolute	16	amendment as stated?
17	that they have to be CRRA employees to	17	Opposed?
18	perform these functions?	18	All right. And now on the
19	MR. KIRK: No. We could get	19	revised
20	another contractor. And if we were to go	20	DIR. CASSANO: The amended
21	that route, actually our prices are pretty	21	motion. Any further discussion?
22	good compared to the market. We're not out	22	Seeing none, all those in
23	of market, per se. But if we were to go that	23	favor?
24	route, I would certainly choose a contractor	24	Opposed?
25	that was not involved in the waste business	25	It is adopted. That's the
			-
		1	

		Page 86			Page 88
1	seven items. You have one additional item,		1	been prequalified under our three-year	
2	resolution regarding the purchase of coal for		2	services agreement prequalification exercise.	
3	the Mid-Connecticut project. There's a		3	The contractor that we chose is not only the	
4	motion to suspend the rules to add this to		4	low bidder but they are a qualified bidder.	
5	the agenda.		5	I'll answer any questions you might have.	
6	DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved.		6	THE CHAIRMAN: We have a	
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Second.		7	motion on the table. Is there a second?	
8	DIR. CASSANO: All those in		8	DIR. LAURETTI: Second for	
9	favor?		9	discussion.	
0	Opposed?		10	THE CHAIRMAN: Second for	
1	The motion to adopt the		11	discussion, Mr. Lauretti.	
2	resolution regarding the purchase of coal for		12	DIR. LAURETTI: Yes,	
3	the Mid-Connecticut project.		12	Mr. Chairman, you and I have been having some	
4	DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved.		13		
5	DIR. CASSANO: Is there a		14	ongoing discussions with respect to these	
5 6	reason this wasn't passed around until after		15	services as they are being provided, and I'm	
7	the commissioner and DEP left?		10	just wondering if this is the time to further those discussions. I certainly recognize	
/ 8	(Laughter.)		17		
o 9	DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion		10	that we need to have something in place to	
9	on the coal purchase?		19 20	fulfill our DEP permit requirements and in the interest of the operation and the	
1	•				
1 2	Seeing none, all those in favor?		21	landfill in and of itself, but I didn't want	
			22	this item to be passed and not have some type	
3	Opposed?		23	of discussion about how the city of Shelton	
4	It is adopted. And Mid-Connecticut is finished.		24	will interact.	
25	Mid-Comfecticut is fiffished.		25	THE CHAIRMAN: I would think	
		Page 87	-		Page 89
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. we'll 90	Page 87	1	that this is the right time to bring any of	Page 89
	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, we'll go on to the Bridgeport project.	Page 87	1	that this is the right time to bring any of your concerns right now to the table, sir.	Page 89
1 2 3	on to the Bridgeport project.	Page 87	2	your concerns right now to the table, sir.	Page 89
2 3	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the	Page 87	2 3	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The	Page 89
2 3 4	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12.	Page 87	2 3 4	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months	Page 89
2 3 4 5	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right.	Page 87	2 3 4 5	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to	Page 89
2 3 4 5 5	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug	Page 89
2 3 4 5 7	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files.	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill.	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it?	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this?	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here.	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly.	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief.	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it.	Page 89
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark.	Page 89
2345678901234	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum.	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can	Page 89
23456789012345	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it	Page 89
234567890123456	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for	Page 89
2345578901234567	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the	Page 89
23456789012345678	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory	Page 85
234557890123456789	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion	Page 89
2345678901234567890	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our three-year engineering services agreement.	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion is that back in August of 1999 there was a	Page 89
23455739012345678901	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our three-year engineering services agreement. We sought prices from five of the engineering	Page 87	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion is that back in August of 1999 there was a gas migration leak at the Shelton Landfill	Page 89
2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our three-year engineering services agreement. We sought prices from five of the engineering firms with which we have three-year	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion is that back in August of 1999 there was a gas migration leak at the Shelton Landfill that caused quite a stir and it's of concern	Page 89
2345678901234567890123	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our three-year engineering services agreement. We sought prices from five of the engineering firms with which we have three-year agreements, and the information in the board	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion is that back in August of 1999 there was a gas migration leak at the Shelton Landfill that caused quite a stir and it's of concern not only for the community but for the DEP.	Page 85
234567890123456789012	on to the Bridgeport project. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll move the resolution following tab 12. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. This deals with the resolution regarding the environmental monitoring laboratory testing for the Shelton Landfill. Peter, would you speak to this? MR. EGAN: Certainly. THE CHAIRMAN: In brief. DIR. O'BRIEN: While we have a quorum. MR. EGAN: This is simply a contract to conduct environmental monitoring of the Shelton Landfill for the next fiscal year for July '03 until June of '04. We are contracting with this contractor under our three-year engineering services agreement. We sought prices from five of the engineering firms with which we have three-year	Page 87	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your concerns right now to the table, sir. DIR. LAURETTI: Okay. The letter that I had sent you a couple of months back, were you able to THE CHAIRMAN: I haven't dug it out of my files. Peter, did we find it? MR. EGAN: I did locate a copy and I have a copy here. THE CHAIRMAN: Then can you speak to it. Mark. DIR. LAURETTI: Maybe you can just read it it's relatively short, is it not just so the board can get a feel for where we're at with this issue. Just for the board's benefit, just some introductory information. The reason for this discussion is that back in August of 1999 there was a gas migration leak at the Shelton Landfill that caused quite a stir and it's of concern	Page 85

			-		
		Page 90			Page 92
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	the gas collection system. It was agreed between myself and the former president, and I believe the board also approved this, of CRRA, that the city of Shelton would have as a second stopgap, employ an environmental firm much like the company that we are looking to approve today for these services to be the city of Shelton's eyes and ears at the landfill in the form of additional protection. Chairman Pace had spoken to me several months back about this issue and felt that there was a duplication of services because the expense was being borne by CRRA. And I had said to him at that time that I did agree that there is a duplication of services and that was really to serve a purpose a couple of years ago and that there was probably some vehicle that we could put in place that would allow us to eliminate this duplication of cost and services to still satisfy the city's need and interest in protecting any future gas migration leaks that may occur.		$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	contract. The environmental consultant will provide DEP with all necessary reports and copy CRRA with all correspondence and reports. This will require approval by the DEP. I am therefore sending a copy of this letter to Deputy Commissioner Stahl." THE CHAIRMAN: I remember that letter. And that letter was in response, so the board knows, to a letter that I had sent to the mayor back on August 29th, about two months after assuming the chair. It was to the mayor. The topic was reimbursement of costs for environmental consultant. "I understand that the city of Shelton employs an environmental consultant, currently Teague and Bond, to perform environmental oversight services associated with the Shelton Landfill. "I believe that the Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority began providing reinbursement to the city of Shelton for its expenses in this regard beginning sometime after the gas migration invident relief a summaria in 1000.	rage 92
24	Peter, why don't you go ahead		24	incident which occurred in 1999.	
25	and read that letter, if you would, to the		25	"As you are aware, a permanent	•
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the	Page 91	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	enclosed landfill gas flare was installed at the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposed area at the landfill	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating	Page 91	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result.	Page 91	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result. "As a counterproposal the city	Page 91	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to be conducted in accordance with the discharge	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result.	Page 91	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result. "As a counterproposal the city would hire a qualified environmental consultant to perform all the tasks currently performed by your consultant to meet the DEP	Page 91	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to be conducted in accordance with the discharge permit which governs the landfill. "At this time I, acting as chair, feel that it is appropriate to	Page 93
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result. "As a counterproposal the city would hire a qualified environmental consultant to perform all the tasks currently performed by your consultant to meet the DEP requirements. CRRA will reimburse the city	Page 91	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to be conducted in accordance with the discharge permit which governs the landfill. "At this time I, acting as chair, feel that it is appropriate to discontinue the reimbursement program that	Page 93
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	board. MR. EGAN: Certainly. This is a letter to Michael Pace from Mayor Lauretti. It's dated December 11, 2002. "In a recent letter you advised me that CRRA wished to discontinue reimbursement to the city of Shelton for the environmental consultant we hired for oversight of the CRRA landfill in Shelton. "The city believes it has a strong obligation to protect the residents near the landfill in the circumstances that occurred in 1999. We've relied only on the reports done by the firm you had contracted with to meet the DEP requirements for the permit and were woefully let down in what could potentially have been a devastating result. "As a counterproposal the city would hire a qualified environmental consultant to perform all the tasks currently performed by your consultant to meet the DEP	Page 91	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	the site in May of 2002. Following a several week shakedown period during the month of June, this new flare was operating flawlessly. A stack test was performed in June of '02 and the results indicated that the flare is performing as designed. The results of this test were submitted to the DEP on August the 5th, '02. At this time the entire landfill gas collection and control system is operating in compliance with the air permit that governs the system. Additionally, the leachate collection and treatment system which is designed to manage the ash leachate generated from the ash residue disposal area at the landfill continues to operate properly, and the groundwater monitoring program continues to be conducted in accordance with the discharge permit which governs the landfill. "At this time I, acting as chair, feel that it is appropriate to	Page 93

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Pag request dated June 20th of '02 which was \$2,210. CRRA, of course, will continue to provide copies." As Mark said, there was a migration system. It was a serious problem. It was addressed. The city of Shelton had legitimate concern and did have a secondary person or company verifying some of the numbers. Upon reviewing those things and talking with staff back in August when you first came on board and, again, looking to take a look at cost benefit, I wrote the letter to Mr. Lauretti saying we'll discontinue that and reimburse them to date. At this point we're at		 And I think with that I have asked Peter and Tom and talked with Mark that we would put in place whatever the mayor thought was needed using this new firm. And you can speak with the new firm and Peter to see what protocols they are going to provide and how you would want that information turned over to you simultaneously as it's turned over to us. DIR. LAURETTI: I do agree with you that we're at the point now the system has been revamped. It's working, I think, pretty well. We've had two years of a pretty good track record there. And again, in the interest of our community, if there's 	Page 96
16 17	renewing or bringing in a contract to continue this, and I think Mr. Lauretti is	1	6 we're not duplicating our efforts and our	
18 19	looking for assurances for his town and his population about these results in the firm.	1	8 THE CHAIRMAN: Then that's	
20 21	Am I correct on that? That's a duplication. DIR. LAURETTI: That's in	2	0 DIR. LAURETTI: Perhaps one	
22 23	part correct because past performance at the landfill has not borne fruit. And the reason	2	2 putting it before the city board of alderman	
24 25	that we had asked for some type of a safeguard and a second set of eyes and ears		4 put their blessing on it.	
25	sateguard and a second set of eyes and ears		5 A second approach could be	
	Pag			
	1 48	95		Page 97
1	was just for that reason. There are many		that we would provide outside oversight	Page 97
2	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area		that we would provide outside oversighteither once or twice during the course of the	Page 97
1	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. 	Page 97
2 3 4 5	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables.		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence,		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect 	Page 97
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put some type of procedure in place that ensures		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this point THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect your town, all right, and we would take a 	Page 97
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put some type of procedure in place that ensures that those eyes and ears are going to be there on an ongoing basis.		 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect your town, all right, and we would take a look at that internally including a 	Page 97
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put some type of procedure in place that ensures that those eyes and ears are going to be there on an ongoing basis. THE CHAIRMAN: And to that	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect your town, all right, and we would take a look at that internally including a presentation to your board of alderman? DIR. LAURETTI: When does the 	Page 97
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put some type of procedure in place that ensures that those eyes and ears are going to be there on an ongoing basis. THE CHAIRMAN: And to that point that's where, quite honestly, I'd	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect your town, all right, and we would take a look at that internally including a presentation to your board of alderman? DIR. LAURETTI: When does the contract expire? 	Page 97
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	was just for that reason. There are many people that reside very close to the area that felt that not only the CRRA has let them down but the DEP has also let them down. DEP is supposed to be there on a regular basis to do inspections. They were. They were there just three weeks prior to the incident and revealed nothing. So if you know anything about the nature of gas migration it's something that evolves over a period of time given the nature of where it is and soil conditions and a whole bunch of variables. So for them to be there and inspect and sign off with a clean bill of health, it tells me that we were failed on two fronts. So hence, in the best interest of our public that lives there we had insisted upon this. And I guess what I'm suggesting here today is that we put some type of procedure in place that ensures that those eyes and ears are going to be there on an ongoing basis. THE CHAIRMAN: And to that	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 that we would provide outside oversight either once or twice during the course of the year of which the cost would be reimbursed by CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That gets us back to the original letter. DIR. LAURETTI: But it really doesn't because we were spending close to 11,000, 12,000 dollars. And I'm not talking doing the monitoring on a monthly basis. I'm talking about doing it maybe once or twice a year is probably what the necessity is at this.point. THE CHAIRMAN: Perhaps the gentleman would entertain this: That the gentleman if he wants the chair or the president working with whatever protocols and whatever you think would be needed to protect your town, all right, and we would take a look at that internally including a presentation to your board of alderman? DIR. LAURETTI: When does the contract expire? MR. EGAN: This contract 	Page 97

,

	Page	98		Page 100
1	contractor ready to go on July 1st. We	1	and make sure that that testing and that	
2	monitor quarterly, the first quarter being in	2	reporting is satisfactory to the town of	
3	the month of July. Also this particular	3	Shelton and its residents. So I'm sure your	
4	contract is for groundwater monitoring and	4	comments included both.	
5	other ancillary	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
6	DIR. LAURETTI: There are	6	Any further discussion?	
7	other services that are being provided under	7	Is the gentleman at least	
8	this in addition to gas migration?	8	temporarily satisfied?	
9	MR. EGAN: There is no	9	All those in favor?	
10	services associated with gas management or	10	Opposed?	
11	gas migration in this contract. We have a	11	Abstained?	
12	separate service contract with Emcon OWT	12	So moved.	
13	which we extended two months ago at the April	13	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the	
14	board meeting. This is a separate contract,	14	resolution following tab 13	
15	not associated with the gas system.	15	DIR. COOPER: Second.	
16	THE CHAIRMAN: CRRA, not	16	DIR. O'BRIEN: regarding	
17	because you're here, Mark, but to any of our	17	waste delivery agreement with the town of	
18	customers which are our towns and anybody who	18	Mansfield.	
19	has our facilities we will respond.	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Tom.	
20	Now through this other	20		
20	discussion moving forward on the contract and	20	very basic update of a contract. Some of	
22	taking a look at some of your other concerns,	22	· ·	
23	as the commissioner was here today you heard	23	our our projects include cities that are	
23	he's willing to work with us and I think is	23	not original founding members and therefore	
24 25	eager to do that, we can take a look at DEP	24	pay a small premium to the tipping fee for	
23	eager to do that, we can take a look at DEF	23	arriving later into the project. This town	
	Page	99		Page 101
1	providing a secondary oversight of their	1	of Mansfield is a renewal of that contract	
2	responsibilities of what, quote, we do at	2	and identifies tipping fees moving forward.	
3	your landfill. Perhaps that's a means of	3	Similarly, in the next tab the town of Salem	
4	giving you that sense.	4	is an identical resolution.	
5	DIR. LAURETTI: Again, I'm not	5	THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a	
6	I'll vote in favor of the motion that's	6	motion on the table dealing with the town of	
7	before us today, but I want everybody to	1.7	Mansfield.	
8	understand that I'm going do be vigilant in	8	DIR. O'BRIEN: Just a	
9	this effort because that's my part of my	9	question. Has Southeast reviewed and	
10	responsibility as the mayor of Shelton.	10	approved this?	
11	THE CHAIRMAN: And as this	11	MR. KIRK: Yes.	
12	board, it's our responsibility, as we said,	12		
13	to make sure that we do what's right for the	12		
14	public and the public's interests in our host	14		
15	facilities.	15		
16	DIR. O'BRIEN: I think that's	16		
	the position we've taken. While I think the	17	item was going to be taken up on. It's my	
17		18	understanding they will be taking it up at	
17 18	services outlined in this contract are	, 10	understanding mey will be taking it up at	
18	services outlined in this contract are certainly of interest to the city of Shelton		next month's masting so we will be annearing	
18 19	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton	19	next month's meeting, so we will be approving	
18 19 20	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton and their residents near the landfill, I	19 20	it	·
18 19 20 21	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton and their residents near the landfill, I think the more important one and I'm sure	19 20 21	it DIR. O'BRIEN: Do they need to	
18 19 20 21 22	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton and their residents near the landfill, I think the more important one and I'm sure your comments about talking to the mayor and	19 20 21 22	it DIR. O'BRIEN: Do they need to approve it for it to be effective?	
18 19 20 21 22 23	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton and their residents near the landfill, I think the more important one and I'm sure your comments about talking to the mayor and his counsel would also apply to the gas	19 20 21 22 23	it DIR. O'BRIEN: Do they need to approve it for it to be effective? MS. RAYMOND: Technically, no,	
18 19 20 21 22	certainly of interest to the city of Shelton and their residents near the landfill, I think the more important one and I'm sure your comments about talking to the mayor and	19 20 21 22	it DIR. O'BRIEN: Do they need to approve it for it to be effective?	

......

		Page 102			Page 104
1	involve them and	2	1	DIR. O'BRIEN: What's this	
2	MR. TYMINSKI: Other than it's		2	year, Virginia, 60?	
3	a delivery to the project. I don't want to		3	DIR. GRISWOLD: Sixty-three	
4	speak for my board. There may be a concern		4	seventy-five, I think.	
5	about deliveries in the outer years because		5	THE CHAIRMAN: That's	
6	our tonnage has been up.		6	Mid-Conn.	
7	THE CHAIRMAN: The outer years		7	DIR. O'BRIEN: Southeast is	
8	looking at '07/08, sir?		8	60?	
9	MR. TYMINSKI: That's correct.		9	MS. RAYMOND: Fifty-seven.	
10	Our tonnage, as you've noticed, has continued		10	DIR. O'BRIEN: Fifty-seven,	
11	to increase, and there would be a concern in		11	okay.	
12	the outer years.		12	THE CHAIRMAN: Tim, as you	
13	DIR. O'BRIEN: I would move		13	know there are four different projects. This	
14	that we approve the contract, as I've stated,		14	is a different project.	
15	but I would reserve the right to bring it		15	MS. RAYMOND: It's possible	
16 17	back for reconsideration pending a resolution		16	MR. KIRK: They're at 57 now.	
17	from Southeast regarding the out years. THE CHAIRMAN: All right.		17 18	In July they go to 60. There's a \$4 premium. So is it safe to say that the late arrivals	
19	DIR. O'BRIEN: I'm just		18	pay a \$4 premium to the tipping fee	
20	reserving that right. It doesn't change the		20	established?	
21	motion. I'll just say we can bring it back		21	MS. RAYMOND: Correct. We	
22	for reconsideration if it seemed appropriate.		22	never let anybody into the project	
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Griswold		23	DIR. O'BRIEN: Or 7 percent	
24	from the town of Old Lyme.		24	above roughly.	
25	DIR. GRISWOLD: The contract		25	DIR. MENGACCI: So that \$4	
		Page 103			Page 105
1	rates are set every year by CRRA, are they	Page 103	1	delta will always remain?	Page 105
1 2	rates are set every year by CRRA, are they not?	Page 103	1 2	delta will always remain? MS. RAYMOND: This is a	Page 105
1 2 3	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.	Page 103	{	delta will always remain? MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate	Page 105
3 4	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this	Page 103	2	MS. RAYMOND: This is a	Page 105
3	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future	Page 103	2 3	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate	Page 105
3 4 5 6	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be?	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07.	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be,	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be _paying_perhaps_who_are_adjusted_every_year?	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be.	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying-perhaps-who-are adjusted-every-year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible.	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying perhaps who are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be -paying-perhaps-who-are-adjusted-every-year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that?	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John.	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying perhaps who are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying perhaps who are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes.	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying-perhaps-who-are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying-perhaps-who-are-adjusted-every-year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible that in an out year that it might? Yes, but	Page 103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for that project through 2015. And due to the	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be -paying-perhaps-who-are-adjusted-every-year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible that in an out year that it might? Yes, but highly unlikely. I mean, this is literally	Page 103	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for that project through 2015. And due to the lucrative energy contract that that project has, those energy rates will continue to escalate on an annual basis and the	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying perhaps who are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible that in an out year that it might? Yes, but highly unlikely. I mean, this is literally this is unlikely. It's possible that that	Page 103	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for that project through 2015. And due to the lucrative energy rates will continue to escalate on an annual basis and the projections that we currently show for that	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying-perhaps-who-are-adjusted-every-year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible that in an out year that it might? Yes, but highly unlikely. I mean, this is literally this is unlikely. It's possible that that might happen, but our projections of future	Page 103	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for that project through 2015. And due to the lucrative energy rates will continue to escalate on an annual basis and the projections that we currently show for that project because that tip fee will remain	Page 105
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not? THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. DIR. GRISWOLD: But this commits to these rates in the future regardless of what CRRA's may be? THE CHAIRMAN: It has the '04 rates going down through '07. DIR. GRISWOLD: But if there were some need to increase the rates above this, these rates would be fixed they might be under what other towns would be paying perhaps who are adjusted every year? THE CHAIRMAN: Well, this is not the Mid-Conn project that you and I are involved in, you know that? DIR. GRISWOLD: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Tom or Rob, do you want to address? MS. RAYMOND: Is it possible that in an out year that it might? Yes, but highly unlikely. I mean, this is literally this is unlikely. It's possible that that	Page 103	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	MS. RAYMOND: This is a negotiated contract. We might negotiate something higher. If another customer wanted to come in with a CRRA contract we could well DIR. MENGACCI: I understand that. I guess I'm getting back to what the gentleman asked earlier as to whether or not there is a possibility that these prices will be lower than what the tipping fees could be, and you answered that question again it could be. MS. RAYMOND: It's possible. MR. CONSTABLE: I just want to expand a little bit upon that, John. Basically during our last budget process we presented to the board the projections for that project through 2015. And due to the lucrative energy rates will continue to escalate on an annual basis and the projections that we currently show for that	Page 105

Т

	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 106			Page 108
	because they will be increasing their energy		1	THE CHAIRMAN: Anything with	
2	revenues approximately \$799,000 a year. So		2	cost on this that you see beneficial in the	
3	this will actually based on what we know		3	out years?	
4	today this will be above member rates for the		4	MR. KIRK: Well, if Art Rocque	
5	duration of the contract.		5	were here I'd say certainly	
6	DIR. O'BRIEN: And they are		6	THE CHAIRMAN: Then the Chair	
7	refinancing too, aren't they?		7	will withdraw the question.	
8	MR. CONSTABLE: The		8	MR. KIRK: Beneficial ash	
9	refinancing may not occur.		9	reuse is a much preferred, but this is a	
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, there		10	very, very favorable price. Our bidding	
11	were some comments about tonnage in the out		11	indicated this is certainly the best option	
12	years, by the gentleman, of that project.		12	for us. Out-of-state options are the only	
13	Ray said the reserve of bringing something		13	other options available and they are more	
14	back is always possible.		14	expensive so we think this works well for us	
15	Any further discussion? All those in favor?		15	and we are continuing to investigate the	
16			16	possibility of bringing our Mid-Conn ash up	
17	Opposed? So moved.		17 18	to Putnam.	
10	DIR. O'BRIEN: I move the			THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any further discussion?	
20	resolution following tab 14 regarding the		19 20		
20	town of Salem with the same notification to		3	All those in favor?	
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$			21	Opposed?	
22	the board regarding reconsideration pending a report from the board Southeast.		22 23	Abstained?	
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a		23 24	So moved.	
25	second?		24	DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just ask a follow-up question?	
	scond.		25	ionow-up question?	
		Page 107			Page 109
	DIR SUILLIVAN: Second	Page 107	1	THE CHAIRMAN Sure	Page 109
1	DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	Page 107	1	THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.	Page 109
2	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	Page 107	2	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent	Page 109
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve	Page 107	2 3	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford	Page 109
2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments?	Page 107	2 3 4	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the	Page 109
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same.	Page 107	2 3 4 5	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right.	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste?	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion?	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor?	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed?	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained?	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash,	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved.	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there.	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's expansion	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab.15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam.	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab.15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second.	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's expansion opportunity there too. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom.	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's expansion opportunity there too. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but	Page 109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very straightforward. It's a contract for ash	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but we anticipate making a decision on that very	Page 109
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab.15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very straightforward. It's a contract for ash disposal until 2008. I would also note it	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but we anticipate making a decision on that very quickly because we've only got a couple years	Page 109
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab.15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very straightforward. It's a contract for ash disposal until 2008. I would also note it also provides an option for Mid-Conn at an	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but we anticipate making a decision on that very quickly because we've only got a couple years left here at Hartford.	Page 109
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very straightforward. It's a contract for ash disposal until 2008. I would also note it also provides an option for Mid-Conn at an identical rate to bring its ash into the	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion-opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's expansion opportunity there too. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but we anticipate making a decision on that very quickly because we've only got a couple years left here at Hartford. DIR. RIFKIN: And to the	Page 109
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? The gentleman from Southeast wish to reserve the same comments? MR. TYMINSKI: It's the same. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Any other discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Abstained? So moved. DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab.15 regarding the ash disposal with Wheelabrator Putnam. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. COOPER: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Tom. MR. KIRK: Very straightforward. It's a contract for ash disposal until 2008. I would also note it also provides an option for Mid-Conn at an	Page 107	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIR. RIFKIN: To the extent that is one of the issues around the Hartford Landfill and potential expansion of the Hartford Landfill the combination of ash waste? MR. KIRK: Yes. There's essentially two cells at the Hartford Landfill, one is a subtitle D line landfill on the north side which we use for ash, leachate collected and treated. There's about four or five years of capacity left there. There is expansion opportunity there. On the south side is the bulky waste and MSW cell. There's about two and a half years of space left. Some years ago the original idea was to permit expansions at both sites. That's still under review, but we anticipate making a decision on that very quickly because we've only got a couple years left here at Hartford.	Page 109

1.1

		Page 110			Page 112
1	the Putnam Landfill, how does that		1	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I was	
2	potentially affect the discussion making with		2	going to hold finance and give Andy a few	
3	respect to the Hartford Landfill?		3	seconds to get settled. I was going to move	
4	MR. KIRK: In any case		4	on with the revised bylaws.	
5	depositing the ash in the Hartford Landfill		5	DIR. COHN: I'd like to move	
6	is a much more economically beneficial option		6	it with one change in wording. Instead of	
7	to us because there's zero tip fee. The		7	"affirmatively approves and endorses," I'd	
8	option of bringing Mid-Conn ash up to Putnam		8	like to just say "adopts."	
9	would primarily be a timing issue to take		9	DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second	
10	advantage of this negotiated lower price tip		10	that with the amendment. Marc will be proud	
11	fee of \$38, escalated \$38 per ton, to allow		11	of you.	
12	us to bank, if you will, the air space at the		12	DIR. COHN: Thank you.	
13	Hartford Landfill for later on in the project		12		
13	when we would probably sorely be in need of		13	There's one substantive change from the addition that was circulated last month, and	
14	mitigation of our tip fee.		14	addition that was circulated last month, and	
15			ŧ	that is on page 4, the functions of the vice	
10	THE CHAIRMAN: It's saving on		16	chairman. It was pointed out by a member	
	future costs, I guess.		17	that the last language we had in there prior	
18 19	DIR. RIFKIN: Okay.		18	was exceeding the statute in permitting the	
	THE CHAIRMAN: Any further		19	vice chairman to succeed temporarily to all	
20	discussion?		20	the functions of the chairman. And since	
21	All those in favor?		21	that's the governor's prerogative who's	
22	Opposed?		22	chairman and under what circumstances, we	
23	So moved.		23	changed it so that vice chairman essentially	
24	MR. KIRK: I did want to make		24	just chairs meetings in the absence of the	
25	one point before we leave the Bridgeport		25	chair. Other than that, it's the same as the	
		Page 111			Page 113
1	issues. Director Lovejoy called me yesterday		1	one that was distributed last month.	÷
2	expressing his regrets for not being able to		2	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: If I	
3	attend. I wanted to make sure that was in		3	could just add a few quick points. These	
4	the minutes. He had a conflict with a		4	bylaws were revised from 1992 and hadn't been	
			<u>-</u>	narriand aim an 1000 111 1	
5	meeting on expansion of Route 25 or fixing		5	revised since 1992, and the change was pretty	
6	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident		6	much statutorily mandated in the sense that	
6 7	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that		6 7	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and	
6 7 8	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one.		6 7 8	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want	
6 7 8 9	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go		6 7 8 9	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11,	
6 7 8 9 10	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman?		6 7 8 9 10	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as	
6 7 8 9 10 11	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit		6 7 8 9 10 11	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I	
6 7 9 10 11 12	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked		6 7 8 9 10 11 12	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <u>13</u>	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be-	
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from-"frees"-to-"fees." That-would-be	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That-would-be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board.	
6 7 9 10 11 12 -1-3- 14 15 16	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo from "frees" to "fees." That would be the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for him, so we expect him back in the office this		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 -13 -13 -14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That-would-be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: Are there any other provisions of the bylaws that are an	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for him, so we expect him back in the office this		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That-would-be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: Are there any	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for him, so we expect him back in the office this afternoon.		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 -13 -13 -14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That-would-be- the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: Are there any other provisions of the bylaws that are an	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Route 25, and as a Fairfield county resident I strongly encouraged him to go to that meeting instead of this one. THE CHAIRMAN: Before we go on, have we had an update on the gentleman? MR. KIRK: Jim fell and hit his head, opened up a nice gash. It looked like he had been in a prizefight. I didn't think things got that ugly outside. He was taken to Saint Francis in an ambulance with one of our employees. He was coherent and fine and worried about finishing the meeting. Bettina convinced him she and Rob and the rest of the staff could fill in for him, so we expect him back in the office this afternoon. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	much statutorily mandated in the sense that our statutes changed, FOIA has changed, and the public statutes has changed. I just want to point out for the board on page 11, article IX should include employee as far as indemnification just to track the statute. I make that change. And then there's just a -typo-from "frees" to "fees." That would be the only change I would make, but I wanted to point that out to the board. THE CHAIRMAN: All set with that, Bud? DIR. COHN: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Howard. DIR. RIFKIN: Are there any other provisions of the bylaws that are an expansion of the underlying statute or are	

.

			······································	
	Page 114			Page 116
1	most stood out. But with the change to just	1	the record, as I understand it, that nothing	
2	recognize that the vice chair would merely	2	in these bylaws that we're about to adopt	
3	attend meetings or oversee meetings as	3	would be included in any of those	
4	compared to being given any signatory	4	requirements for public notice that are found	
5	authority that the current chair has, I guess	5	in the statute.	
6	I feel okay about that. But are there any	6	THE CHAIRMAN: Bud, I'd defer	
7	others that should be highlighted?	7	to you as chairman of this. And then you	
8	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: That	8	could defer to Ann, if you like.	
9	are outside the statute? Pretty much, except	9	DIR. COHN: I think I'll have	
10	probably the CFO was added. The other bylaws	10	to.	
11	had other officers. I guess at one time when	11	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: No.	
12	the bylaws were first adopted in 1979 and	12	And I just want to point out, yes, Howard is	
13	revised in 1992 there was provision for other	13	correct on 22. What we looked at was	
14	officers, i.e., vice president, what have	14	22a-268a, written procedures, which requires	
15	you. And since we didn't have one we made a	15	the CRRA to go to publication under what he's	
16	paragraph about the chief financial officer,	16	referring to as 1-121 for the borrowing,	
17	and that would be in article IX under	17	adopting an annual budget or plan of	
18	officers. But pretty much the appointment,	18	operation. This isn't an adoption of a plan	
19	the president delegation, are very similar,	19	or a budget. We do specify certain financial	
20	the quorum is similar. They just track the	20	information to hiring, dismissing, promoting,	
21	statute as far as the appointment of the	21	compensating employees, including an	
22	board, who sits on the board, how the board	22	affirmative action plan and requirement for	
23	can be removed, and the quorum and	23	an approval before a position may be filled	
24	transaction of business tracks the statute	24	or a vacancy filled; acquiring no personal	
25	and is different from the other bylaws	25	property and personal services; contracting	
			F - F	
	Page 115			Page 117
1	because the statute has changed. The thing	1	for business design, operation, management or	
$\hat{2}$	we did add in this bylaw was standard of	2	financial, legal or bond underwriting or	
3	conduct, partially because of the environment	3	other professional services, not that, or	
4	and partially because it's good to have at	4	contracting for supplies and materials, so on	
5	least a generic standard of conduct in the	5	and so forth. Let's see, issuing and	
6	bylaws for the officers and directors of a	6	retiring bonds; bond anticipation notice and	
7	company or quasi-public. Everything else	7	other obligations; awarding loans, grants and	
8	that's in the statute, standing committees	8	other financial assistance and the use of	
9	and the like, are in some form or the other	9	surplus funds.	
10	were in the other bylaws, including going	10	And so we went through this	
11	into executive session.	11	list and said it's not one of these seven,	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Sir.	12	therefore we don't have to go to 1-121. For	
13	DIR. RIFKIN: Just one other	_13_	_example, we do with the travel policy also	
14	question for the record. It's been	14	because it's something where people	
15	highlighted to me that for most quasi-public	15	because it may affect outside consultants who	
16	agencies there is a requirement that any	16	can come and comment. And when we were	
17	policies, procedures be noticed to the public	17	changing other things that the public would	
18	before being adopted. And I understand when	18	have input, they were noticed in the	
19	that provision of the statute was in fact	19	Connecticut Law Journal at the time of the	
20	passed, which came after the establishment of	20	board meeting and they could come and express	;
21	CRRA, there was a carve-out for CRRA in which	21	their opinion.	,
22	there is seven conditions listed in the	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are you	
23	statute that would require public notice	23	satisfied?	
24	prior to the adoption of procedures related	23	DIR. RIFKIN: Yes. I just	
25	to those seven items. And I just want for	25	wanted that on the record.	
			wanted that on the record.	
		1		

.

1	Page 118	1	dirate atom to divide a series of series	Page 120
1 2	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other		distinctive individual problems that we	
3	comments?	2	currently face and that the marketplace will	
4	DIR. CASSANO: Just a quick comment to Bud and the committee and to Ann.	3	probably bring on in the near future and to	
5	I served on enough bylaw committees. These	5	gain knowledge that would be needed to	
6	things were 15 years old. There was a lot of		improve operations, strengthen the management	
7	work to go into this. And it's just a	6	information system, manage human resources,	
8			broaden in-house activities and task	
9	terrific job in bringing them up to 2003. DIR. COHN: Thank you.	8	assignments, and you heard a little bit about	
10		9	that earlier, balance outsourcing needed for	
	DIR. O'BRIEN: Paul Doyle also	10	tasks not assigned to the in-house, and	
	was involved.	11	enhance the delivery of services to our	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: The committee,	12	customers.	
13	they've done a great job.	13	It goes on to continue to look	
14	All those in favor?	14	at cost saving and revenue enhancement, and	
15	Opposed?	15	to that point we actually offered a few bills	
16	Abstained?	16	this year in the legislature. While none of	
17	So moved.	17	us really thought that they probably would	
18	Tab 17, this is the second	18	pass the first time out, I think I'm	
19	draft of that business plan. Basically you	19	semi-encouraged with the fact that they will	
20	can take a look-through. The chair and the	20	probably go into some kind of a review	
21	steering committee, we're seeking consent of	21	committee that hopefully CRRA will be at the	
22	the board of directors on the development of	22	table and have a voice. And that would deal	
23	the new comprehensive business plan for CRRA.	23	with the dioxin tax as well as the bottle	
24	The plan will be structured in the following	24	bill, which is a favorite of the Chair's.	
25	sequence, just as, you know, when we first	25	We talked about staffing and	
1	Page 119 took over I brought to you a three-, a six-		what our future will need. The basis for	Page 121
2	and a 12-month plan with benchmarks to	2	charting the review of the future will be to	
3	accomplish some things. What this plan does	3	focus on a strategic plan with tactical	
4	is look in the short term going out to the	4	initiatives, improve management's focus and	
5	longer term from the next six months to the	5	directed commitment, develop teamwork and	
6	next 10 years of what our business model	6	organizational synergy and that's what	
7	should be based on what market conditions	7	Steve's committee has been working on	
8	are.	8	enforce the process for continued	
9	The purpose of the business	9	improvement. Any organization, and I think	
10	plan is to guide CRRA's board and staff in	10	this organization with its highlighted recent	
11	conducting the authority's business over what	11	past, we need to put in place something that	
12	will prove to be a crucial period in CRRA	12	is a continuous improvement in the way we	
13	_since the last-30-yearsAnd the task-would	13	deliver services because the marketplace will	
14	be directly assigned to the president and the	14	be changing out there. Coordinate specifics	
15	CFO.	15	of the structured annual plan to the	
16	Taking a look at just some of	16	strategic plan. And that is in there in	
	the categories so that the TV or the people	17	working in conjunction with what you heard	
17		18	from Art today. It's important for us to	
17		1 10	have this plan knowing or at least having	
18	here Strategic plan, that would	10		
18 19	Strategic plan, that would	19		
18 19 20	Strategic plan, that would look at both innovation as well as conducting	20	some knowledge of what the DEP is looking for	
18 19 20 21	Strategic plan, that would look at both innovation as well as conducting those of mandatories or legislation or	20 21	some knowledge of what the DEP is looking for going, looking for future policies it may	
18 19 20 21 22	Strategic plan, that would look at both innovation as well as conducting those of mandatories or legislation or regulation.	20 21 22	some knowledge of what the DEP is looking for going, looking for future policies it may have.	
18 19 20 21 22 23	Strategic plan, that would look at both innovation as well as conducting those of mandatories or legislation or regulation. On the second page it goes	20 21 22 23	some knowledge of what the DEP is looking for going, looking for future policies it may have. Performance review and audit,	
18 19 20 21 22	Strategic plan, that would look at both innovation as well as conducting those of mandatories or legislation or regulation.	20 21 22	some knowledge of what the DEP is looking for going, looking for future policies it may have.	

Page 122Page 1221Document control, that was one1residents of the state, to the anybody else2of the things that I think we had talked2who would have any doubt that we're not going3about early on, a keeper of the records, if3to be viable and to assure our bondholders4you recall, and at that point we assigned it5to be viable and to assure our bondholders5to Ann, to make sure that all of our5the future. We will have to go out into the6documents were kept in one secure place, and6marketplace to sign up the towns one more7that as documents went around, so to speak,7time for any one of our projects.8it had all the right signatures to it but8We have to take a look at a9also had those who truly needed to know be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be1spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.12make some comments to this today. I'm under13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	
2of the things that I think we had talked3about early on, a keeper of the records, if4you recall, and at that point we assigned it5to Ann, to make sure that all of our6documents were kept in one secure place, and7that as documents went around, so to speak,8it had all the right signatures to it but9also had those who truly needed to know be10part of it. And that information was to be11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our12company.13Team building, we've been14working on that to some degree. I think Tom15has built on that model very well since he's16been on board.	n de geberen her her inder here
 about early on, a keeper of the records, if you recall, and at that point we assigned it to Ann, to make sure that all of our documents were kept in one secure place, and that as documents went around, so to speak, it had all the right signatures to it but also had those who truly needed to know be part of it. And that information was to be an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our company. Team building, we've been working on that to some degree. I think Tom has built on that model very well since he's been on board. the teat and to assure our bondholders to be viable and to assure our bondholders that we will be able to pay off our debts in that we will have to go out into the marketplace to sign up the towns one more time for any one of our projects. the future. We have to take a look at a market study. And in talking with Jim and Tom, I have confidence that they can spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to make some comments to this today. I'm under no delusionary thing that this is not going to take a while to do, but I think between the efforts of the steering committee and, as you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've 	ar here a series of the series o
4you recall, and at that point we assigned it4that we will be able to pay off our debts in5to Ann, to make sure that all of our5the future. We will have to go out into the6documents were kept in one secure place, and5the future. We will have to go out into the7that as documents went around, so to speak,6marketplace to sign up the towns one more8it had all the right signatures to it but8We have to take a look at a9also had those who truly needed to know be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be10Tom, I have confidence that they can11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.12make some comments to this today. I'm under13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	a den ka den a kana den kana kana kana kana kana kana kana ka
 5 to Ann, to make sure that all of our 6 documents were kept in one secure place, and 7 that as documents went around, so to speak, 8 it had all the right signatures to it but 9 also had those who truly needed to know be 10 part of it. And that information was to be 11 an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our 12 company. 13 Team building, we've been 14 working on that to some degree. I think Tom 15 has built on that model very well since he's 16 been on board. 5 the future. We will have to go out into the 6 marketplace to sign up the towns one more 7 time for any one of our projects. 8 We have to take a look at a 9 market study. And in talking with Jim and 10 Tom, I have confidence that they can 11 spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to 12 make some comments to this today. I'm under 13 no delusionary thing that this is not going 14 to take a while to do, but I think between 15 the efforts of the steering committee and, as 16 been on board. 	an lan lati anna an sua fa sha faasha baa baa baa baa sha sha sha sha sha sha sha sha sha s
6documents were kept in one secure place, and that as documents went around, so to speak, it had all the right signatures to it but6marketplace to sign up the towns one more time for any one of our projects.8it had all the right signatures to it but8We have to take a look at a9also had those who truly needed to know be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be10Tom, I have confidence that they can11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.12make some comments to this today. I'm under13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	ol taat yn stat yn ol anna o staat yn am yn y
 7 that as documents went around, so to speak, 8 it had all the right signatures to it but 9 also had those who truly needed to know be 9 also had those who truly needed to know be 9 also had those who truly needed to know be 9 also had those who truly needed to know be 9 market study. And in talking with Jim and 10 part of it. And that information was to be 11 an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our 12 company. 13 Team building, we've been 14 working on that to some degree. I think Tom 15 has built on that model very well since he's 16 been on board. 7 time for any one of our projects. 8 We have to take a look at a 9 market study. And in talking with Jim and 10 Tom, I have confidence that they can 11 spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to 12 make some comments to this today. I'm under 13 no delusionary thing that this is not going 14 to take a while to do, but I think between 15 the efforts of the steering committee and, as 16 you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've 	9.1172/141934/93143.1274.12777200722772327272363328.19722882274852828
8it had all the right signatures to it but8We have to take a look at a9also had those who truly needed to know be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be10Tom, I have confidence that they can11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.12make some comments to this today. I'm under13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	144421231222222222222222222222222222222
9also had those who truly needed to know be9market study. And in talking with Jim and10part of it. And that information was to be10Tom, I have confidence that they can11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.12make some comments to this today. I'm under13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	226688 212 218 218 218 218 228 228 238 238 238 238 238 238 238 23
11an inclusive, not an exclusive piece of our11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to12company.11spearhead this thing off. I've asked Tom to13Team building, we've been12make some comments to this today. I'm under14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	
12company.13Team building, we've been14working on that to some degree. I think Tom15has built on that model very well since he's16been on board.	27 5 7 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
13Team building, we've been13no delusionary thing that this is not going14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	25341742323237 22 523239
14working on that to some degree. I think Tom14to take a while to do, but I think between15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
15has built on that model very well since he's15the efforts of the steering committee and, as16been on board.16you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	22222
16 been on board. 16 you know, Andy, myself, Steve and we've	8
	599199
	10000
17Best practices and statutory17included Bud onto the steering committee,18compliance, we have to take a look at that18working with the president and the CFO on	
	2 57 152 77 72 27 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
19 and take a look at, you know, as a19 this issue, who will be our new operations20 quasi-public I think we could take a look at20 officers and then branching out from there on	9765334
20 quasi-public 1 mink we could take a look at 20 officers and then branching out from there of 21 what private industry does and take a look at 21 segmental pieces to go back to the subgroups	111111
22 some of their best practices. And here 22 for this business plan, I think should give	1993
23 again, why we delayed our six months in 23 us the information we needed and hopefully	141255523
24 hiring a president to see what we needed and 24 the format to set this direction for the new	1996 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997
25 we got somebody from that side. So Tom came 25 CRRA. I'm going to be quiet.	. HEATEN
	11116697062
Page 123 Page 1	25
1 in from that private industry. So taking a 1 Tom, do you have some	10000
2 look at what those best practices are and 2 comments?	
3 bringing them into our company, so to speak. 3 MR. KIRK: Just a couple. I	terned
4 It goes on. A business 4 do want to stress that this is a very	areas a
5 disruption plan. I'll just bring you to the 5 expansive project. The document you have in	10000
6 bottom of page 4. You can see there's a lot 6 front of you is the second draft and still	
7 of highlighted things that we included in 7 very preliminary on some of the broad	65818893
8 this. We're taking a look at a business 8 objectives we are attempting to pursue. But	101110
9 disruption plan. We went through a little 9 as much as it is a big project, there's not a	2635162513
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year	
 9 disruption plan. We went through a little 9 as much as it is a big project, there's not a 10 episode here with terrorism and things like 11 that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go 12 down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do 13 we enact it? On the first day how do we 14 build confidence in the marketplace that we 15 can handle the trash? And where do we come 16 up with the resources, both financial and 9 as much as it is a big project, there's not a 10 lot of time to get it done. There are a few 11 initiatives that we're beginning already even 12 before we've completed this business plan, if 13 you will. A big portion of the business plan 14 is our long-term strategic plan, what would 15 typically in a private sector be a five-year 16 outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that 	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having18The last page of this18a document for review by year-end. That's a	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having18The last page of this18a document for review by year-end. That's a19disruption plan you see I've put several19very aggressive schedule, quite honestly, but	
 9 disruption plan. We went through a little 9 as much as it is a big project, there's not a 10 episode here with terrorism and things like 11 that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go 12 down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do 13 we enact it? On the first day how do we 14 build confidence in the marketplace that we 15 can handle the trash? And where do we come 16 up with the resources, both financial and 17 other to get the job done? 18 The last page of this 19 disruption plan you see I've put several 10 lot of time to get it done. There are a few 11 initiatives that we're beginning already even 12 before we've completed this business plan, if 13 you will. A big-portion of the business plan 14 is our long-term strategic plan, what would 15 typically in a private sector be a five-year 16 outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that 17 process with the staff and anticipate having 18 a document for review by year-end. That's a 19 disruption plan you see I've put several 10 outlook, a five our particular needs in 11 to. This is a long process. Again, as we 12 to. This is a long process. Again, as we 	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having18The last page of this18a document for review by year-end. That's a19bullets in there that I hope you have comment10very aggressive schedule, quite honestly, but20started out, our three-, six-, 12-month plan.21that given our anticipated financial22started out, our three-, six-, 12-month plan.22situation and our need to resolve our	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you-will. A big-portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having18The last page of this18a document for review by year-end. That's a19bullets in there that I hope you have comment20it's one that meets our particular needs in21to. This is a long process. Again, as we21that given our anticipated financial22started out, our three-, six-, 12-month plan.22situation and our need to resolve our23As we said before, the new CRRA needs to take23mitigation plan before the statutorily	
9disruption plan. We went through a little9as much as it is a big project, there's not a10episode here with terrorism and things like10lot of time to get it done. There are a few11that, but if Mid-Conn or something should go11initiatives that we're beginning already even12down, what do we do? Where do we go? How do12before we've completed this business plan, if13we enact it? On the first day how do we13you will. A big portion of the business plan14build confidence in the marketplace that we14is our long-term strategic plan, what would15can handle the trash? And where do we come15typically in a private sector be a five-year16up with the resources, both financial and16outlook, a five-year plan, we've begun that17other to get the job done?17process with the staff and anticipate having18The last page of this18a document for review by year-end. That's a19bullets in there that I hope you have comment20it's one that meets our particular needs in21to. This is a long process. Again, as we21that given our anticipated financial22started out, our three-, six-, 12-month plan.22situation and our need to resolve our	

		Page 126			Page 128
1	have be according to the stand of the standard standards	0			
I	has to be essentially in place by the end of		l	pumps are working overtime and we're doing	
2	the year. The process for that plan, how		2	very well. Unfortunately I don't think the	
3	we're going to pull it together, which		3	public, the media, perhaps even the	
4	resources we will dip into to get that done		4	legislature, I don't think the public in	
5	is in progress now.		5	general quite yet understands what has	
6	The other major initiative of		6	happened here and has drawn a distinction	
7	the business plan that is under way already,		7	between the old CRRA and the new CRRA. I	
8	and it's actually in process, is a		8	think what happened in the legislature this	
9	reorganization study, a study of our		9	year is perhaps indicative of that.	
10	organization, how our resources, needs, and		10	One of the most important	
11	responsibilities work or don't work together.		11	goals I have for the organization in the next	
12	We are fortunate to have the OPM helping us		12		
13				couple of months is to clearly define a line	
	with that, I believe at zero cost. John		13	of demarcation, a wall, if you will, between	
14	has		14	the old CRRA and the problems that	
15	DIR. MENGACCI: Let's see how		15	without casting aspersions happened on	
16	the loan agreement turns out.		16	their watch and the new CRRA, particularly	
17	(Laughter.)		17	the new board, and the changes we're making	
18	MR. KIRK: John's division has		18	to mitigate those problems and get the ship	
19	graciously allowed us access to Dr. Mary		19	righted and back moving in the right	
20	Polci who is a doctorate in organizational		20	direction. That's not going to be easy to	
21	science and has been we're fortunate to		21	do, particularly since until Ken Lay or Andy	
22	have her essentially examine and study our		22	Fastow is indicted there's no one swinging	
23	organization, what our requirements are, what		23	from the yardarm to blame for this Enron	
24	our tasks are, and help us design an		24	mess. But nonetheless, it's important that	
25	organizational structure that best meets our		25	we as an organization and this board do make	
			20	we us an organization and this board do make	
					1
		Page 127		· · · ·	Page 129
1	needs. That is also a fast-track project, in	Page 127	: 1	the efforts necessary to ensure that the	Page 129
	needs. That is also a fast-track project, in particular because Dr. Polci is being	Page 127		the efforts necessary to ensure that the board is seen as the recovery board, the	Page 129
2	particular because Dr. Polci is being	Page 127	2	board is seen as the recovery board, the	Page 129
2 3	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of	Page 127	2 3	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the	Page 129
2 3 4	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that	Page 127	2 3 4	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of	Page 129
2 3 4 5	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to	Page 127	2 3 4 5	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward.	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good.	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment?	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir.	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it will we will be able to confidentially	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very -important and very good work and I appreciate-	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it -willwe will be able to confidentially- assure the board that all of our tasks and	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it -willwe will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very -important and very good work and I appreciate-	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it -willwe will be able to confidentially	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it -willwe will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very 	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it will we will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very-good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it will we will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it will we will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any strategic planning process in any business	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it willwe will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan.	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very-good work and I appreciate- the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any strategic planning process in any business planning, that needs to be clearly spelled	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it willwe will be able to confidentially- assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan. In the past six months I've been here and the	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any strategic planning process in any business planning, that needs to be clearly spelled out. And I've said before meetings that when	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it we will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan. In the past six months I've been here and the year that this board has been in place, I	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any strategic planning process in any business planning, that needs to be clearly spelled out. And I've said before meetings that when the board came in initially a year ago that I	Page 129
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it willwe will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan. In the past six months I've been here and the year that this board has been in place, I think tremendous strides have been made in	Page 127	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very 	Page 129
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it will we will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan. In the past six months I've been here and the year that this board has been in place, I think tremendous strides have been made in righting the ship. To use a boat analogy, I	Page 127	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very important and very good work and I appreciate the Chair leading this. One of the things that I think is important to sort out is what is the appropriate relationship between the board operating as a policy board and the organization. And I think that in any strategic planning process in any business planning, that needs to be clearly spelled out. And I've said before meetings that when the board came in initially a year ago that I thought that we should have taken a number of days in a sort of retreat-style effort to	Page 129
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	particular because Dr. Polci is being transferred into a new division outside of John's control. We're also fortunate in that her new division head has permitted her to continue work on this project. That's going to be also a fast-track project which we hope to have done within a couple of months. The work product there will be a new organizational structure, perhaps minor changes, perhaps major changes from what we have now but will be defendable in that it willwe will be able to confidentially assure the board that all of our tasks and responsibilities moving forward, and they are a little different than they were in the past, will be addressed by the organization. And finally the most important part of our new business plan moving forward is, quite honestly, a communications plan. In the past six months I've been here and the year that this board has been in place, I think tremendous strides have been made in	Page 127	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	board is seen as the recovery board, the board that is to bring the CRRA out of the wilderness. That's going to be the focus of our communications plan moving forward. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. There have been some personnel changes and we're still looking at that. And with that I guess I would ask if you have any comment? The Chair would sir. DIR. RIFKIN: Can I just say one thing? I think that this is all very 	Page 129

		·			
		Page 130			Page 132
1	recognize that we were confronted with having		1	things, while working somewhat independently,	
2	to make a lot of detailed decisions. We get		2	really work jointly together.	
3	piles of information about various contracts		3	DIR. RIFKIN: But just to be	
4	that we have to approve, et cetera, but what		4	clear, this isn't CRRA. We haven't had an	
5	I'm afraid of is that we don't have the time		5	undated solid waste management plan in 10	
6	or take the time to look at some of the		6	years.	
7	larger questions that you began to raise with		7	THE CHAIRMAN: And that's	
8	the DEP commissioner earlier today whether		8	where the commissioner said that. And	
9	it's what is going to be the overall		9	working with us the Chair will entertain	
10	approach to solid waste management in the		10	maybe we'll have a little retreat down along	
11	state extant of CRRA, what the affect of		11	the water, down along Old Saybrook, and maybe	
12	transferring some of these plants that are		12	that will be the time where we can get away	
13	now under the purview of CRRA to the private		13	just as any other retreat, and consider these	
14	sector when the bonds are paid off. What		14	things, pending the commissioner's	
15	we're going to do with some of the landfill		15	availability. Does that sound okay, Howard,	
16	capacity issues that we talked about relevant		16	looking in the future?	
17	to Hartford and other landfills in the state.		17	DIR. RIFKIN: Uh-huh.	
18	Those are issues that not only affect the		18	THE CHAIRMAN: Other comments	
19	ultimate environment of the state but the		19	concerning either the big picture I had	
20	economic structure of the state in the		20	Commissioner Rocque here for or this business	
21	future. And I think that this board has got		21	plan?	
22	to find a way to carve out the necessary time		22	Sir.	
23	to really get information, consider those		23	DIR. KNOPP: I just wanted to	
24	issues, and help steer from a policy		24	say the document that was handed out, this	
25	perspective this organization in the right		25	draft about the implementation process, about	
		•• •			
		Page 131			Page 133
1	direction. And I'm still frustrated by	Page 131	1	some of the aspects of the business plan	Page 133
1 2	the and I recognize the necessity for	Page 131	1 2	some of the aspects of the business plan strikes me as a very good way to begin	Page 133
	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without	Page 131			Page 133
2 3 4	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're	Page 131	2	strikes me as a very good way to begin	Page 133
2 3	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed	Page 131	2 3	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy.	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very	Page [3]	2 3 4 5	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes,	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization.	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years.	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture,	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does	Page 133
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out	Page 133
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in the public's interest on this level getting	Page [3]	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out is a very good basis for accumulating some of	Page 133
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: And I concur.</u> And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in the public's interest on this level getting into the business plan with Tom, the steering	Page 131	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out is a very good basis for accumulating some of this information that we need.	Page 133
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN:</u> And I concur. And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in the public's interest on this level getting into the business plan with Tom, the steering committee on another level, and over the	Page [3]	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out is a very good basis for accumulating some of this information that we need. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir.	Page 133
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN:</u> And I concur. And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in the public's interest on this level getting into the business plan with Tom, the steering committee on another level, and over the next and I've given it some benchmarks as	Page [3]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out is a very good basis for accumulating some of this information that we need. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. DIR. O'BRIEN: Keying off the	Page 133
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	the and I recognize the necessity for getting down into the details, but without some concomitant effort to stay up here we're not doing our job as a board that is supposed to be involved in policy. So, I think these are all very important documents and important processes, but I think we also need to keep in mind what the ultimate relationship should be or role of this board ought to be in relationship to the organization. <u>THE CHAIRMAN:</u> And I concur. And that's why today I thought on our first-year anniversary bridging going forward you've got the business plan just as the gentleman just talked about the big picture, that's why I had the commissioner here, so that we start to sit at the table for these big policy decisions setting out what's in the public's interest on this level getting into the business plan with Tom, the steering committee on another level, and over the	Page [3]	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	strikes me as a very good way to begin accumulating the data that we need to go into some of the policy issues that Howard has raised. Now in terms of the timing of which goes first and which is available when, I don't have an opinion about, but I think it's a funny situation. We're here on a recovery board I think Tom has a good term for that at the sort of tail end of the initial 20 or 30 years of CRRA, and now we're beginning planning for the next 30 years. And this kind of data, especially about each plan, I think is very meaningful. I know coming from Bridgeport our plan is the first one that expires under the current agreements, and therefore I think rather soon we're going to need to start looking at alternatives for forecasting. But it does strike me that this packet I got passed out is a very good basis for accumulating some of this information that we need. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir.	Page 133

	P	age 134			Page 136
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	offer a motion that the board of directors encourages and will support the chairman, the steering committee, and the staff in the continuing development of the comprehensive business plan for CRRA. THE CHAIRMAN: That's a motion? DIR. O'BRIEN: If I may. THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. Is there a second to that? DIR. COHN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: That would be looking for consent of the board that this is the direction we want to go. You may not agree with all the wording that we put in there, but in concept. Any discussion on this? Then we're going to kick it off. Tom. MR. KIRK: I just want to make one addition. One A here, after selecting internal team members, we elected not to include, but honestly is important and probably should be included. We have to determine the applicability of our planning process to FOIA rules. Coming from the	uge 134	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	addendum to what Tom said, this obviously in the end has to be a matter of building a public consensus about policy issues, environmental issues, and financial issues, and therefore they need to have a very comprehensive public participation process that is more than just giving people a take-it-or-leave-it plan at the end of an executive review, but have a chance for input, you know, at 50 percent, 75 percent, and 100 percent of our thinking. If we get guidance along the way, it would be useful, especially by other municipal CEOs and finance directors and public works directors in the towns. THE CHAIRMAN: Post today, then, the Chair, the steering committee will meet and then start to look through this process and find the best way to unfold this. Always looking for people to volunteer. Sir. DIR. CASSANO: Just one additional comment. I know we had the commissioner in this morning. We talked about issues, long-term issues with DEP. We	
	process to rom rules. Coming nom the		2.5	about issues, iong-term issues with DEx. we	
	Pa	age 135			Page 137
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Private sector, I think it would be important for us to understand how we can think outside the box in a confidential manner without signaling the organizations and the state's intentions to competitors out there, the waste managements and other waste providers. We will work that out, and we'll of course find something that works inside the existing framework of restrictions, but it's probably worth mentioning that this strategic planning process would be something that would be very valuable to the waste disposal corporations of the world. CRRA's goal, I believe, is to	age 135	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know that we're losing ash sites and things like that. We need to have DOT as a part of some of this discussion. We've already had discussions here about the potential of either moving stuff by rail or whatever it might be. It doesn't make sense for us to be planning without using the people that are going to be involved in the transporting one way or another, whether it's rail lines or barge. Whatever it might be, there's a lot of effort there on their side. And as we get into long-range planning and start to look at the future and we're going to have to	Page 137

		Page 138			Page 140
1	I know, sir, you'd like to add		1	nonsubstantive changes in regard to the	
2	this to the agenda?		2	previous settlement. But we are authorizing	
3	DIR. CASSANO: The		3	the purchase seeking to authorize the	
4	organizational synergy and HR committee had		4	purchase of a baler which is over the \$50,000	
5	one other item that needs to be presented,		5	mark, so the resolution adopting the	
6	the resolution with respect to human		6	settlement would handle both.	
7	resources consulting service agreements.		7	DIR. CASSANO: Are you saying	
8	Gary, did everybody get a copy		8	that the resolution on 18, page 1 of tab 18,	
9	of the resolution itself?		9	you don't believe we need the first three	
10	MR. GENDRON: Yes, everyone		10	parts of that?	
11	has a copy of the resolution.		11	MR. KIRK: Is Tom here? Yes.	
12	DIR. CASSANO: You have a copy		12	MR. GAFFEY: And Gary is here	
13	of the resolution. With that is a separate		13	also. We just wanted to bring this back. I	
14	sheet requesting qualifications of firms and		14	agree with the president that the resolution	
15	so on. These are a variety of different		15	probably is unnecessary because we're in	
16	firms that we work with on a regular basis.		16	conformance with what you already	
17	We're counting to make sure we have a quorum		17	substantially adopted. We wanted to bring	
18	here.		18	this back specifically with regard to the	
19.	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Yes.		19	procurement question that is outlined in	
20	DIR. CASSANO: We do have a		20	section 2 on the retrofit and funding of the	
21	quorum. In effect what this will allow us to		21	baler. We just wanted the board to be aware	
22	do is, for a three-year period these are all		22	that we would have to make those retrofits	
23	people that have responded, and we have a		23	and have it be consistent with our	
24	data on each. We've worked with most in one		24	procurement policies.	
25	way or the other, and it just allows the		25	DIR. CASSANO: So the	
			20		
		Page 139			Page 141
1	president to move without being held up.		1	resolution then for us to adopt would be	
2	Is there a motion?		2	"Resolved: That the board hereby approves	
3	DIR. COOPER: So moved.		3	the procurement procedure for the baler	
4	DIR. COHN: Second.		4	retrofit set forth in section 2 of the global	
5	DIR. CASSANO: It was		5	settlement, pursuant to Article II, Section	
6	unanimously recommended by the personnel		6	1(b), subsections 5 and 7."	
7	committee.		7	MR. GAFFEY: Correct.	
8	All those in favor?		8	DIR. CASSANO: Is there a	
9	Opposed?		9	motion to adopt?	
10	It's adopted.		10	DIR, COOPER: So moved.	
11	Legal we're going to – I		11	DIR. CASSANO: Is there a	
12	guess we have to wait for Andy. I don't		12	second?	
13	think there's any other actions.		12	DIR. RIFKIN: Second.	
14	Ann, are you ready for board		14	DIR. CASSANO: Any discussion?	
15	action on attachment 18?		15	Seeing none, all those in	
16	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Yes.		16	favor?	
17	But basically it's not so different than the		17	Opposed?	
18	FCR redemption agreement that you saw before		18	It is adopted. That's legal	
19	We just wanted to make sure we're in	•	19	one.	
20	agreement with some of the provisions that		20	Attachment 19, authorization	
			21	for payment to Anderson, Kill and Olick.	
	were worked out in the settlement		· 4 ·	TO PAYMON TO MARISON, ISTA AND ONOR.	
21	were worked out in the settlement.				
21 22	DIR. CASSANO: Tom.		22	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the	
21 22 23	DIR. CASSANO: Tom. MR. KIRK: I don't believe		22 23	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 19.	
21 22 23 24	DIR. CASSANO: Tom. MR. KIRK: I don't believe there's a need for a resolution. To be		22 23 24	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 19. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a	
21 22 23	DIR. CASSANO: Tom. MR. KIRK: I don't believe		22 23	DIR. O'BRIEN: Move the resolution following tab 19.	

.

$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Ann, could you speak to this one? MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Yes, I can speak to this one. I have worked with Anderson Kill with the help of Ted Doolittle, who is here. In November we had talked to the board about the issues that we had with some overruns in the authorization. The authorization of payment to Anderson Kill and Olick wasn't made at the time. Now that we know where the litigation appears to be going, we're able to get a budget, which, if anyone is interested, I will share privately because it does have some litigation strategy, and so on and so forth. And what this is, is, the first part of the resolution is to pay off what we owe Anderson Kill above the remaining authorization of last June a year ago. The second part is to authorize. And what the board had requested me to do last time I was here is to get a hudget from Anderson and Kill that would at	Page 142	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	of 300,000. It wasn't anticipated that we would get into some of the issues with CL&P that we wound up getting into that we needed with the bankruptcy input, and that was not budgeted for nor did the board authorize. And that so far has been \$26,500. DIR. CASSANO: Ray. DIR. O'BRIEN: For Bettina covering for Jim, is this money reflected in the June expense log that we have and MS. BRONISZ: I put \$600,000 in there for additional legal bills under the advice of Ann. DIR. O'BRIEN: So that's the \$600,000 which we haven't authorized yet but were planning to authorize in the June expenditures? MS. BRONSZ: It's 600,000 that we expect to spend this month for legal bills. DIR. O'BRIEN: Okay. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: And what I'm asking is authorization since this is the Enrop litigation that the haved since	Page 144
23 24 25	budget from Anderson and Kill that would at least take us out this budget will at		23 24 25	is the Enron litigation that the board since the AG came here is to clear off the old	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	least take us out through the end of this calendar year, if not through the entire fiscal year, and it will depend on what happens after the motion for reconsideration, which is all I'm going to say in open session. It will depend on where that motion goes, whether or not this 115,000 that I'm asking for to move forward as of this date	Page 143	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	authorization and get a cap so that we can manage the Enron litigation a little more closely. I've also asked and we haven't worked out the terms yet of how Anderson Kill will inform CRRA when it's within \$50,000 of the 115, so we need something on the front end, not just on the back end.	Page 145
9 10 11 12 -13	will be needed to be added to. It may not need to be. So what I'm asking for in this resolution is to pay Anderson Kill, because I actually stopped paying them before we		8 9 10 11 12 13	DIR. O'BRIEN: Putting aside the 26,000, which I understand, this means the total authorization by the board for Anderson Kill will be what amount? MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: You -mean-the-total-authorization-in-toto-from-	

1	Page 146	1	third ricco the last 115 000 mentions	Page 148
12	DIR. MENGACCI: It's 681,500. DIR. O'BRIEN: Excluding		third piece, the last 115,000, wouldn't be	
3	the CL&P fees	2 3	voted on, as Alex preferenced, until after the executive session. Then we can include	
4	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: It's	4	that.	
5	655.	5		
6	DIR. O'BRIEN: not part of	6	THE CHAIRMAN: So you want to put back your piece into the third paragraph?	
7	the bankruptcy litigation. And I would like	7	DIR. O'BRIEN: Actually, I'd	
8	that included as part of the motion as a	8	put it in both just in case the third	
9	further-resolved that this increases the	9	paragraph doesn't get passed. The first	
10	total authorization to \$155,000 for the	10	paragraph reflects the increase in the total	
11	litigation, the bankruptcy litigation.	11	authorization to 540,000 for that litigation.	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair	12	THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair	
13	accepts that just for transparency of the	12	stated it took your motion and put it in	
14	record.	13	there for transparency, and it's getting	
15	Sir.	15	cloudy on me now. So would the gentleman	
16	DIR. KNOPP: I think it would	16	state where and how he would want it stated?	
17	be helpful to have this resolution divided	17	DIR. O'BRIEN: Authorize to	
18	into three resolutions, because they are	18	pay up to 240,000 to reimburse Anderson Kill.	
19	separate items. I don't know whether we're	19	DIR. KNOPP: Can I make a	
20	going to have an executive session today.	20	suggestion to make it easier for us? Why	
21	THE CHAIRMAN: We are.	21	don't we just, to implement Mr. O'Brien's	
22	DIR. KNOPP: If so, I assume	22	suggestion, at the end of each of the three	
23	that the third paragraph here might get	23	paragraphs, assuming that after each one	
24	discussed there. As I understand it, the	24	passes, that the staff add the cumulative	
25	first paragraph deals with payment for the	25	total arising with the passage of that	
1				
	Page 147			Page 149
1		1	narticular paragraph	Page 149
1 2	legal work the board has previously	1	particular paragraph. THE CHAIRMAN: That will give	Page 149
2	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize	2	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give	Page 149
	legal work the board has previously	1	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that?	Page 149
2 3 4	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but	2 3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine.	Page 149
2 3	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized	2 3	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that?	Page 149
2 3 4 5	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002.	2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't	2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session.	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second.	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table?	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed.	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13-14	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13- 14 15	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first two now and then deal with the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right,	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and then deal with the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table.	Page 149
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and then-deal-with the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved.	Page 149
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on -the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the- third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a	Page 149
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive -session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be \$655,000.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on -the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the- third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?	Page 149
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be \$655,000. DIR. O'BRIEN: If we had that	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and then deal-with the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. LAURETTI: Second.	Page 149
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be \$655,000. DIR. O'BRIEN: If we had that in paragraph two or actually it would be	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. LAURETTI: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lauretti.	Page 149
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be \$655,000. DIR. O'BRIEN: If we had that in paragraph two or actually it would be in paragraph one that would have increased	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first two now and then deal with the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. LAURETTI: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lauretti. All right, we'll go to Mr. O'Brien.	Page 149
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	legal work the board has previously authorized. The second is to authorize payment for work not previously authorized but MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Wasn't anticipated in June of 2002. DIR. KNOPP: And the third is more future-oriented. So I think it would be helpful to have this in three resolutions. DIR. O'BRIEN: I request this be voted on serially, with the third portion being tabled until after the executive session. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So what we have is the current motion that will be divided into three votes by three paragraphs with the inclusion I would assume in paragraph two which would state the total amount of money spent exclusive of the CL&P part, as you've stated, which would be \$655,000. DIR. O'BRIEN: If we had that in paragraph two or actually it would be	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	THE CHAIRMAN: That will give us transparency. Do you agree with that? DIR. O'BRIEN: That's fine. DIR. CASSANO: Maybe we should do it after the executive session. Move to table this until after the executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: All those in favor of table? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed. DIR. KNOPP: We could vote on the first-two-now-and-then-deal-with-the third after. THE CHAIRMAN: We'll make a motion to take it off the table. All right, the Chair will entertain a motion to bring this item back to the table. DIR. KNOPP: So moved. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? DIR. LAURETTI: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lauretti.	Page 149

.

		Page 150			Page 152
1	motion number one, "Resolved: That the		1	the legislation that created this board, we	
2	president of CRRA is authorized to pay		2		
3	Anderson Kill and Olick up to \$240,000 to			do not have control of that litigation. We	
	reimburse Anderson Kill for fees and		3	only pay the bills. So I think there are	
4			4	mitigating circumstances over why we didn't	
5	expenses," and the rest of the language in		5	have a better plan. We have one now. I	
6	that first paragraph noting that this brings		6	think those are also mitigating circumstances	
7	the total authorization by the board for this		7	as to how we got so far before we really	
8	up to \$540,000.		8	could get a handle on this.	
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Hold it there.		9	So I support the resolution,	
10	Let's see if our secretary has that.	·**	10	although had it been a different set of	
11	DIR. LAURETTI: And I'll		11	circumstances I would wholeheartedly agree	
12	second that for discussion.		12	with Mayor Lauretti.	
13	THE CHAIRMAN: And we have a		13	DIR. SULLIVAN: I support it	
14	second.		14	as well but with that reservation. I made	
15	Any discussion on that?		15	the same comment at the last meeting that it	
16	DIR. LAURETTI: Yes. This		16	is bad policy to be involved in retroactive	
17	also includes the amount that was previously		17	approvals of expenditures. And I think	
18	not authorized in the amount of 300,000; am I		18	that's the practicality of it. And I think	
19	correct?		19	that the attorney general's office is	
20	DIR. O'BRIEN: No. That was		20	sensitive to that at this point. And I think	
21	authorized.		21	that the fact that the resolution that we're	
22	DIR. SULLIVAN: Three was		22	going to talk about after executive session	
23	authorized, 240 was not.		23	speaks to a specific amount is an answer to	
24	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Two		24	that having it resolved in advance of and	
25	forty was not and that says if the fees and		25	approved in advance of the professional	
				approved in advance of the projectional	
		Page 151			Page 153
1	expenses incurred, blah, blah, but not paid	Page 151	1	services firm moving on our matters.	Page 153
1 2	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount	Page 151	1 2	services firm moving on our matters. DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition	Page 153
		Page 151	1		Page 153
2	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount	Page 151	2	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I	Page 153
2 3	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240	Page 151	2 3	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our	Page 153
2 3 4	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully	Page 151	2 3 4	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I	Page 153
2 3 4 5	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as	Page 151	2 3 4 5	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of	- -
2 3 4 5	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000.	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control.	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control.	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13-	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that.	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13- 14	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect -that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that-there-should-have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical.	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 -14 15 16 17 18	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many	Page 151	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13-\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 -14 15 16 17 18 19	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be	Page 151	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that-there should-have-been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach	Page 151	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach here.	Page 151	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur additional expenses. That's how we got to	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach here. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir.	Page 151	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur additional expenses. That's how we got to where we were. I think with the \$50,000	- -
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach here. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. DIR. O'BRIEN: I agree with	Page 151	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur additional expenses. That's how we got to where we were. I think with the \$50,000 notification we have clearly a directive to	- -
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach here. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. DIR. O'BRIEN: I agree with the comments of Mayor Lauretti in general and	Page 151	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur additional expenses. That's how we got to where we were. I think with the \$50,000 notification we have clearly a directive to the attorney general and to the law firms	- -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which exceed the June 2002 authorized amount of 300. So it lays out that we're paying 240 for bills up to May 31, 2003, hopefully taking care of everything up to as much as possible that weren't paid, so you know that we didn't pay above the authorization, and it exceeds the authorized amount of 300,000. DIR. LAURETTI: For the record I want you to know that I oppose this for the following reasons: Number one, I think that the fees are excessive. Number two, I think -that there should have been a defined plan- put before the board for this overexpenditure that may never occur, as I expressed at the last meeting. And I don't think this is any way to conduct business. I just think at this juncture we have held the line in many areas in terms of expenses, and it would be wrong for us not to take the same approach here. THE CHAIRMAN: Sir. DIR. O'BRIEN: I agree with	Page 151	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	DIR. LAURETTI: In recognition of the comments of the last two directors, I fully understand that this is far beyond our control, and perhaps maybe this issue shouldn't be before us. There's all kind of recognition from outside sources that understand the financial position of this organization was created beyond our control. But by the same point, the incurrence of these bills were also created beyond our control. And I want the record to reflect that. DIR. CASSÁNO: The other issue here, and Ann alluded to it earlier, is the notification. When we get within \$50,000 of reaching the limit, I think that's critical. We did not have that before. There were no provisions for that; therefore, the attorney general could simply, if he needed to, incur additional expenses. That's how we got to where we were. I think with the \$50,000 notification we have clearly a directive to	- -

- |-----

		Page 154			Page 156
1	got to let us know, because if you go beyond	:	1	plus the 26,5?	
2	that we're in a different situation.		2	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Yes.	
3	DIR. SULLIVAN: And		3.	DIR. O'BRIEN: We could. I	
4	we have to always consider the fact that, you		4	didn't really because it's not explicitly a	
5	know, the cost benefit. I know that I		5	part of the Enron bankruptcy, and that's why	
6	subscribe to the issue of the public policy		6	it was billed separately. It was a different	
7	and continue to prosecute the claims that we		7	it was a related piece but it was not the	
8	have, but, again, wearing the businessman's hat, as I said before, cost benefit is a		8	same piece.	
10	certain analysis that has to be made in any		9 10	MR. KIRK: And it was engaged by the previous president without a need for	
11	of these matters so		11	board approval at the time. It was a more	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think		12	routine legal expenditure to solve a problem	
13	we've vented that out. We all share the same		13	the organization was experiencing.	
14	concerns, but I think the attorney general		14	THE CHAIRMAN: Any further	
15	has been working with you to help us with		15	discussion?	
16	that notification, but he has an obligation		16	All those in favor?	
17	to prosecute a case too. With that said,		17	Opposed?	
18	none of us, he or us, are looking forward to	•	18	Abstained?	
19	spending this money, but it has to be done.		19	Paragraph 3, your recommending	
20	Okay, we have a motion on the		20	we hold; is that correct?	
21	table, duly seconded, which has an amendment		21	DIR. SULLIVAN: Correct.	
22	of language attached to it.		22	THE CHAIRMAN: Until after	
23 24	All those in favor?		23 24	executive session. Okay.	
24	Opposed? DIR. LAURETTI: Opposed.		24	If Mark leaves, do I have a quorum?	
	DIR. LAURETTI. Opposed.		.23	quorum:	
		Page 155			Page 157
1	THE CHAIRMAN: Abstained?	Page 155	•1	MS STRAVALLE SCHMIDT: Vor	Page 157
1 2	THE CHAIRMAN: Abstained? The Chairman will entertain	Page 155	1	MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: Yes,	Page 157
2	The Chairman will entertain	Page 155	2	you have a quorum.	Page 157
	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two.	Page 155		you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to	Page 157
2 3	The Chairman will entertain	Page 155	2 3	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I	Page 157
2 3 4	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move	Page 155	2 3 4	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and	Page 155	2 3 4 5	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA.	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second.	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE-CHAIRMAN: Okay.	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think-Angelica-is-very-on-top-of thatWe'll	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE-CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is-very-on-top-of-that. We'll- make sure we will update it.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE-CHAIRMAN: Okay.	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE-CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the	Page 155	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their	Page 157
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the cost-benefit analysis, I totally agree with	Page 155	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think-Angelica-is-very-on-top-of-that. We'll- make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their statutory requirements to make the appropriate number of meetings. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure	Page 157
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE-CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the cost-benefit analysis, I totally agree with that one.	Page 155	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think-Angelica is-very-on-top-of-that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their statutory requirements to make the appropriate number of meetings. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure everybody knows Angelica from my tenure up	Page 157
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the cost-benefit analysis, I totally agree with that one. THE CHAIRMAN: There's a	Page 155	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their statutory requirements to make the appropriate number of meetings. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure everybody knows Angelica from my tenure up there and she does a terrific job.	Page 157
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. <u>THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.</u> DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the cost-benefit analysis, I totally agree with that one. THE CHAIRMAN: There's a motion on the table.	Page 155	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is-very-on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their statutory requirements to make the appropriate number of meetings. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure everybody knows Angelica from my tenure up there and she does a terrific job. Andy, we're going to go to	Page 157
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	The Chairman will entertain paragraph two. DIR. O'BRIEN: I will move that the board of directors authorize the president to pay for the additional work done by Anderson Kill of 26,500 for fees and expenses not initially anticipated on CL&P issues which arose in the bankruptcy case and in discussions with CL&P to obtain monies owed to CRRA. DIR. SULLIVAN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. DIR. O'BRIEN: I would just say that that turns out to be money well spent even though, again, it should have come to us first for authorization. It does turn out to be money well spent. DIR. SULLIVAN: Following the cost-benefit analysis, I totally agree with that one. THE CHAIRMAN: There's a	Page 155	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	you have a quorum. THE CHAIRMAN: We're going to go on to financial. But, Ann, before I forget, I will note here if we could in the next couple of weeks as we go and bridge into our second year, can someone review the attendance of our board and anyone who did not make the mandatory attendance will be notified? Then, let me know, and we'll take a look at the proper procedure of refill. MS. STRAVALLE-SCHMIDT: I -think Angelica is very on top of that. We'll make sure we will update it. MR. KIRK: Angelica does provide each board member with their attendance record so they can manage their statutory requirements to make the appropriate number of meetings. THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sure everybody knows Angelica from my tenure up there and she does a terrific job.	Page 157

		Page 158	·		Page 160
1	the Chair and the board's indulgence in		1	DIR. SULLIVAN: I'll move the	
2	moving this around due to an unavoidable		1 2		
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	conflict that I had on another matter this		2	resolution that has now just been handed out	
3				that "The fiscal year 2004 Metropolitan	
4	morning.		4	District Commission Mid-Connecticut project	
5	DIR. RIFKIN: Was that the		5	annual operating budget, excluding the	
6	front nine or the back nine?		6	projected costs for the Torrington and	
7	(Laughter.)		7	Watertown transfer stations and the	
8	DIR. SULLIVAN: I don't dress		8	associated transportation costs, as presented	
9	like that for either front or back. Plus I'd		9	in Exhibit 1, be adopted substantially in the	
10	have to tee off at six in the morning,		10	form as presented at this meeting. In its	
11	Howard, and that would never happen.		11	adoption of this MDC annual operating budget,	
12	THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair will		12	CRRA does not validate or approve the terms	
13	not accept blame if we go beyond 12:30.		13	of the foregoing MDC annual operating budget	
14	DIR. SULLIVAN: Anyway, I		14	and CRRA reserves its rights to dispute	
15	think the first matter of a finance nature,		15	and/or challenge any of the items of the	
16	and we did review most all of these items at		16	foregoing MDC annual operating budget, in	
17	the finance committee meeting last week, the		17	particular without limitation the MDC	
18	financial and variance report year-to-date		18	statement of indirect costs will in no way	
19	through April 30th, that's for informational		19	waive CRRA's legal or equitable rights. The	
20	purposes only. If there are any questions,		20	adoption of this MDC annual operating budget	
21	feel free to ask them, but it really is		21	does not preclude CRRA from effectuating the	
22	fairly clear on its surface. We're getting		22	April 19, 2000, arbitration decision in CRRA	
23	to a point we're working together with the		23	versus the MDC, including without limitation,	
24	finance department, we're doing estimated to		24	one, CRRA's unilateral right to hire	
25	actuals, and we're going to continue that		25	replacement workers to replace MDC on one or	
		Page 159			Page 161
1	into the next fiscal year, not one month plus	Page 159	1	more programs; two, CRRA's right to a new	Page 161
1 2	into the next fiscal year, not one month plus 11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some	Page 159	1 2	more programs; two, CRRA's right to a new indirect costing methodology; and three,	Page 161
		Page 159		indirect costing methodology; and three,	Page 161
2	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some	Page 159	2	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously	Page 161
2 3	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first	Page 159	2 3	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost."	Page 161
2 3 4	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so	Page 159	2 3 4	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second.	Page 161
2 3 4 5	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our	Page 159	2 3 4 5	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool.	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out.	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool.	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting.	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second.	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first?	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want -to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I	Page 159	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I apologize for the production problem in the		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ -13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets at least last year in our board tenure, and I	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I apologize for the production problem in the board book. The MDC budget was only one side		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets at least last year in our board tenure, and I expect the prior board did not either in	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I apologize for the production problem in the board book. The MDC budget was only one side in your book, but it was actually supposed to		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets at least last year in our board tenure, and I expect the prior board did not either in terms of approving their budget.	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss-it-first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I apologize for the production problem in the board book. The MDC budget was only one side in your book, but it was actually supposed to be two-sided. And the handout also has the		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets at least last year in our board tenure, and I expect the prior board did not either in terms of approving their budget. So I think we're trying to	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	11 estimated-to-actual, but as we get some more material information in through the quarters, probably at the end of the first quarter, we'll do an estimate to actual so that we can benchmark that against what our budgeting process is, just an additional management tool. Tab 3, we have a resolution that I will move and then ask DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: Or do you want to discuss it first? MR. CONSTABLE: I'd like to hand out an updated resolution per the request of the finance committee. We got some minor change language in here after the board package was published. Please read this resolution. And if it's agreeable, I'd like to have it as part of the package. I apologize for the production problem in the board book. The MDC budget was only one side in your book, but it was actually supposed to		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	indirect costing methodology; and three, CRRA's right to recovery of funds previously paid to MDC as indirect cost." DIR. O'BRIEN: Second. DIR. SULLIVAN: And I think it's appropriate at this point to have some discussion and perhaps Rob can help us out. This was a significant discussion at the finance committee meeting. I will also say too that I am aware that the group that is working together with the group from MDC towards a concept of mediation is in fact operational and it seems to be working fairly well. Tom could speak to that piece of it but The second thing I want to mention before Rob walks us through any questions or issues on this is that, recall we did not take any action on MDC budgets at least last year in our board tenure, and I expect the prior board did not either in terms of approving their budget.	

		Pres 162			D 164
1	We are recomprised that they are a vertex	Page 162	1	is recommonding this?	Page 164
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	we are recognizing that they are a vendor and that we are trying to work very, very		2	is recommending this?	
3	diligently to accomplish the financial		3	DIR. SULLIVAN: Correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Andy, can you	
4	objectives that both organizations have to		4	walk me back through the difference in the	
5	work towards, both of them being in the		5	-	
6	public sphere and both having significant		6	budget summary? They have costs associated with Ellington and Torrington – there's a	
7	public policy protocols that they have to		7	little more than a million dollars. Where	
8	meet and objectives that they have to meet.		8	are the other	
9	So with that		9	DIR. SULLIVAN: Watertown.	
10	DIR. O'BRIEN: I think also,		10	DIR. RIFKIN: I'm sorry,	
11	Andy, it's fair to point out that if we do		11	which ones? It's Watertown and Torrington.	
12	not if we fail to approve the contract, we		12	DIR. SULLIVAN: And	
13	leave ourselves open to adjustments, or		13	Torrington.	
14	whatever the term you use was, by MDC. By		14	DIR. RIFKIN: So that's \$1.3	
15	approving the contract or the budget, then		15	million. What's the balance of the	
16	they are have to operate within that	•	16	difference?	
17	budget and they can't just transfer from one		17	MR. CONSTABLE: It's the	
18	account to another without any		18	transportation associated with those transfer	
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Simply said,		19	stations.	
20	it's a new way of doing business, and that's		20	DIR. RIFKIN: And that's found	
21	what we're trying to accomplish.		21	in a different line?	
22	MR. CONSTABLE: I'd be happy		22	MR. CONSTABLE: That's	
23	to answer any questions. A quick review. In		23	correct.	
24	your board book there is exhibit number 1,		24	DIR. RIFKIN: And that's for	
25	which is what we're recommending for		25	the waste transportation we reduce that	
		D 1/2			D 1/5
		Page 163			Page 165
1	adoption, and that does not include the	Page 163	1	amount?	Page 165
2	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations	Page 163	2	MR. CONSTABLE: That's	Page 165
2 3	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We	Page 163	2 3	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct.	Page 165
2 3 4	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually.	Page 163	2 3 4	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there	Page 165
2 3 4 5	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the	Page 163	2 3 4 5	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed	Page 165
2 3 4	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs?	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04?	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation.	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand.	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year.	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project.	Page 163	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project. THE CHAIRMAN: Under tab 3,	Page 163	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of the 3 million. That 900 is part of the 3.	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project. THE CHAIRMAN: Under tab 3, the second page it gives you a little	Page 163	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\-13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of the 3 million. That 900 is part of the 3. So I think there's a little bit better than	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project. THE CHAIRMAN: Under tab 3, the second page it gives you a little add-and-subtract of the MDC budget of		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of the 3 million. That 900 is part of the 3. So I think there's a little bit better than 2.2 million that continues to be an issue; am	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project. THE CHAIRMAN: Under tab 3, the second page it gives you a little add-and-subtract of the MDC budget of 22,581,000, the management recommended bud		$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of the 3 million. That 900 is part of the 3. So I think there's a little bit better than 2.2 million that continues to be an issue; am I right?	Page 165
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Watertown and Torrington transfer stations currently being operated by CWPM. We discussed each line item individually. DIR. O'BRIEN: And for the record, Rob, this budget that we're approving now has already been incorporated into the operating budget for CRRA for the fiscal year '04? MR. CONSTABLE: That is correct, with the exception of the Torrington and Watertown transfer stations and transportation. DIR. SULLIVAN: Their budget has a \$3 million a little better than a \$3 million difference between what we're recommending you approve and their overall MDC budget. And that 3 million represents the Torrington and Watertown project. THE CHAIRMAN: Under tab 3, the second page it gives you a little add-and-subtract of the MDC budget of		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CONSTABLE: That's correct. DIR. RIFKIN: Is there anything in here that in their proposed budget that addresses some kind of amortization of what is alleged we owe in the past health care costs? DIR. SULLIVAN: We have in our budget I believe that's right 900 and some odd MR. CONSTABLE: Sixty-one thousand. DIR. SULLIVAN: In the 2003 year. DIR. RIFKIN: Okay. So we haven't addressed the question of the \$3 million, whatever it is that DIR. SULLIVAN: That's part of the 3 million. That 900 is part of the 3. So I think there's a little bit better than 2.2 million that continues to be an issue; am	Page 165

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Page 166			Page 168
1	DIR. SULLIVAN: And Jim Bolduc		1	regard to their work in doing the refunding	
2	and James Michel, his counterpart at MDC, are		2	and cash-flow models associated with the	
3	working very, very closely together in terms		3	Southeast project proposed bond refunding.	
4	of resolving those issues. I think the idea		4	That project has run into some snags. Their	
5	there, Howard, would be if we can reach some		5	contract expires this month. And if you'd	
6	sort of meeting of the minds and agree on an		6	seek to just extend it for a few more months	
7	amortization period, that would help us in		7	until the issues with the Southeast project	
8	terms of our ability to then you know,		8	refunding are resolved. As Andy said,	
9	we'll deal with it, and if it's an obligation		9	usually Financial Advisory Services, we hire	
10	we'll pay it, but we'll pay it over time and		10	a firm for a three-year period of time. We	
11	we can mitigate tip fees by doing that in		11	intend to do that this summer so that we will	
12	some fashion.		12	have a full-time financial advisor on board	
13	DIR. RIFKIN: So the \$3.1		13	for a three-year term.	
14	million difference between what they		14	DIR. O'BRIEN: And just for	
15	submitted and what you're recommending		15	clarification, the discussion last week was	
16	exclusively deals with those two transfer		16	although October 31st is the deadline, the	
17	stations?		17	intent of yourselves and Jim and Tom were to	
18	DIR. SULLIVAN: Exactly.		18	present to the finance committee and the	
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Any other		19	board in October so it could be implemented	
20	questions? The motion comes with the		20	by that date. It's not that you're going to	
21	recommendation of the finance committee.		21	do it by that date.	
22	All those in favor?		22	MS. BRONISZ: Well, we have	
23	Opposed?		23	prior to October 31st. Our intent is that we	
24	Abstained?		24	will have that	
25	So moved.		25	DIR. O'BRIEN: It will be at	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Page 167			Page 169
1	Andy.	Page 167		the finance committee and the board no later	Page 169
1 2	Andy. DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our	Page 167		the finance committee and the board no later than the October meetings?	Page 169
	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our	Page 167	2	than the October meetings?	Page 169
2 3	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with	Page 167		than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct.	Page 169
2	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services.	Page 167	2 3 4	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're	Page 169
2 3 4	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with	Page 167	2 3	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of	Page 169
2 3 4 5	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to	Page 167	2 3 4 5	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board.	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003.	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial advisory group	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial advisory group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services."	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial advisory group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak.	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial advisory group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second.	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan and all of those matters. Okay.	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second. MS. BRONISZ: Last fall, if	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan and all of those matters. Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second. MS. BRONISZ: Last fall, if you recall, this board approved sending a	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan and all of those matters. Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a motion made, seconded for discussion.	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second. MS. BRONISZ: Last fall, if you recall, this board approved sending a contract to PFM for the period of October to	Page 167	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan and all of those matters. Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a motion made, seconded for discussion. Anything further?	Page 169
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DIR. SULLIVAN: This is our last item, and that was a resolution with respect to financial advisory services. "Resolved: That the president and chief financial officer be authorized to extend a contract to Public Financial Management (PFM) to assist the finance division staff with work on a variety of projects, including Mid-Connecticut and Southeast issues on an as-needed basis. The contract would extend to October 31, 2003. Currently, finance division staff will solicit proposals, complete selection, and recommend a financial advisor prior to October 31, 2003, in order to ensure continuity of financial advisory services." This was worked on through the finance committee last week, and I'd like Bettina to speak. DIR. O'BRIEN: I'll second. MS. BRONISZ: Last fall, if you recall, this board approved sending a	Page 167	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	than the October meetings? MS. BRONISZ: That is correct. DIR. SULLIVAN: Although we're asking for a resolution, this is not one of the critical advisory services. As I recollect from conversations with you and then later with Jim, we have not had a lot of interface with the advisor at this point, at least in the year that we've been as a board. Not to diminish their importance, I clearly feel that it's very important that we have the appropriate financial-advisory-group working with us. And maybe it's not their fault, it's our fault. I mean, part of it is you have to ask for the assistance that you think you need. And there may be other opportunities as we move into 2004 and we talk through some of the other issues surrounding our mitigation plan on our loan and all of those matters. Okay. THE CHAIRMAN: So we have a motion made, seconded for discussion.	Page 169

.

		Page 170	
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	Opposed? Abstained? All right, unless the Chair has missed something here, we've gone through everything. We'll go ahead into executive session. DIR. O'BRIEN: So moved. DIR. CASSANO: Second. DIR. O'BRIEN: For the purposes stated in the agenda. (Whereupon, an executive session was held at 12:10 o'clock p.m.) (Off the record discussion, whereupon, no votes were taken in executive session. (The Board passed the third resolution to pay Anderson Kill and Olick legal fees, and the vote was abstained by Director Knopp. (Director Rifkin made a motion to adjourn and Director O'Brien seconded it.) (Whereupon, the above proceedings were adjourned.)		

TAB 2

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-NINTH MEETING

JUNE 30, 2003

A Special Conference Call meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors was held on Monday, June 30, 2003 at the 100 Constitution Plaza, Hartford. Those present were:

Chairman Michael A. Pace

Directors:

Benson Cohn Theodore Martland Stephen Cassano John Mengacci (delegate for Director Ryan) Mark Lauretti (part of the meeting) Andrew Sullivan Catherine Boone Alex Knopp Ray O'Brien

Present from the CRRA staff:

James Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer Bettina Bronisz, Assistant Treasurer & Director of Finance Thomas Kirk, President Diane Spence, Secretary Ann Stravalle-Schmidt, Director of Legal Services Christopher Fancher, Facilities Engineer

Others in attendance were: Mark Cordeiro of PLM and Peter Boucher of H&S.

Chairman Pace called the meeting to order at 4:01 p.m. and noted that a quorum was present.

AUTHORIZATION REGARDING AN ENERGY PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND ESCROW AGREEMENT

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced topic. Director Martland made the following motion:

RESOLVED: The Chairman or President is authorized to enter into an Energy Purchase Agreement with Select Energy, Inc. substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

FURTHER RESOLVED: The Chairman or President is authorized to enter into an Escrow Agreement with Select Energy, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Vice Chairman Cassano seconded the motion.

Chairman Pace requested a motion to amend the resolution as discussed. Director O'Brien made an amendment to the resolution to read:

RESOLVED: The Chairman or President is authorized to enter into an Energy Purchase Agreement with Select Energy, Inc. substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

FURTHER RESOLVED: Upon release or dissolution of the Escrow Fund, the monies will be placed in a separate holding account pending designation or other distribution by the Board of Directors.

FURTHER RESOLVED: The Chairman or President is authorized to enter into an Escrow Agreement with Select Energy, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Director Sullivan seconded the amendment to the motion which was approved unanimously by roll call.

Aye	Nay	Abstain
x		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
X		
	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X
AUTHORIZATION REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ESCROW FUND FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ENERGY PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the referenced item. Director O'Brien made the following motion:

WHEREAS: it is a precondition to the execution of an Energy Purchase Agreement (the "EPA") between Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") and Select Energy, Inc., that an escrow fund in the amount of ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00; the "Escrow Fund") be established; and

WHEREAS: CRRA wishes to establish the Escrow Fund in order to execute the EPA.

NOW THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED: that the existing reserve balances in the Mid-Connecticut Power Block Facility Maintenance Fund and the Mid-Connecticut Transfer Station Maintenance Fund (collectively, the "Maintenance Funds") be reclassified from Unrestricted Designated Board Funds to Unrestricted Undesignated in the amounts as follows:

Mid-Connecticut

PBF Maintenance Fund\$500,000Transfer Station Maintenance Fund\$466,000

(approximate entire balance) (approximate entire balance)

And it is

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Maintenance Funds be dissolved, and the amounts in the Maintenance Funds be allocated to the Escrow Fund, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED: that current cash be allocated in an amount sufficient to fully fund the Escrow Fund (the "Current Cash"), and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED: that U.S. Bank National Association serve as escrow agent (the "Escrow Agent") for the Escrow Fund, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the CRRA President and other CRRA officers acting within their designated authority be, and hereby are, authorized to perform all necessary tasks for the transfer of the Maintenance Funds and the Current Cash to the Escrow Agent for the establishment of the Escrow Fund, and it is

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the CRRA President may, pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-277(c), delegate any authority granted to him by these resolutions to the CRRA Chief Financial Officer.

Director Martland seconded the motion which was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	X ·		
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	X		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		

<u>AUTHORIZATION REGARDING AN ENERGY PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND</u> <u>ESCROW AGREEMENT (CON'T)</u>

Chairman Pace requested a vote on the motion previously made by Director Martland and seconded by Vice Chairman Cassano, as amended. The motion was approved unanimously by roll call.

Eligible Voters	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Michael Pace, Chairman	x		· · ·
Stephen Cassano, Vice Chairman	. X		
Benson Cohn	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Ray O'Brien	X		
Andrew Sullivan	X		
Mark Lauretti			
Alex Knopp	Х		
Treasurer's Office (Nappier, Rifkin, Boone)	X		
OPM (Ryan, Mengacci)	X		

4

ADJOURNMENT

Chairman Pace requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion to adjourn made by Andrew Sullivan and seconded by Director O'Brien was approved unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 4:36 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

pugilice Mattocher

Angelica Mattschei Corporate Secretary to the Board

TAB 3

MID CONNECTICUT PROJECT	
SOURCE AND USE OF CASH FUNDS	INDS CONFIDENTIAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY
	Key Assumptions:
Lockbox Receipts:	Represent tip fee payments. Monthly estimates assume historical tonnage amount at stated tip fee assumptions: Fiscal Year 2003 Tip fee is \$57.00 per ton. Fiscal Year 2004 Tip fee is \$63.75 per ton.
Encrey Payments:	Current energy contract rates for electricity produced: January 1, 2002 - December 31, 2002: \$0.031 per kilowatt hour January 1, 2003 - June 30, 2003: \$0.032 per kilowatt hour January 1, 2004 - December 31, 2004: \$0.033 per kilowatt hour
Debt Service Reserve Fund Adjustment:	Each year, the Trustee reviews the funding requirements of the Debt Service Reserve Funds. The Authority's 1996 Series A and 1997 Series A Bonds are backed by the State's Special Capital Reserve Fund (SCRF) which has a funding requirement of Maximum Annual Debt Service (MADS). Based on interest earnings and the MADS amount, the Trustee may determine that the Debt Service Reserve Fund is over-funded that will release any excess funds into the Revenue Fund. Estimate for FY04 based on \$56,400 excess plus \$443,600 interest earnings assumed at average STIF rate of 1.75% on outstanding SCRF balance.
Expected Deposit - CL&P:	The settlement of electricity payment for the period 12/12/02 - 1/28/03 in the amount of \$1.6 million was paid on February 11, 2003. The collection of the past due electricity bill from Enron/CL&P for the period 12/3/01 - 12/12/02, in the amount of \$7.8 million was paid on March 4, 2003.
Debt Service - State Supplemental Financing:	Assumes monthly P & I repayment of amount borrowed each month at average rate of 4.00% in FY 04. <u>Maior Revisions:</u>
<u>Energy Payments:</u>	July 1, 2003 - December 31, 2003: Estimate of higher electric revenues for first 250,000 kilowatt hours based on Board-approved EPA contract
<u>Operating Expenses:</u>	July 2003 adjusted for \$1 million EPA escrow deposit; \$961,000 MDC medical payment; and \$600,000 legal payments
Transfers from Reserves:	\$1 million from Board-authorized dissolution of Mid-Conn. PBF Maintenance & Transfer Station Maintenance Funds
Identified Reserve Balance Availability Adjustment:	May 2003 adjusted for insurance reallocation to Jets reserve (\$477,311) 7/2/2003 12:08 PM

•

•

MID CONNECTICUT PROJECT	
SOURCE AND USE OF CASH FUNDS	

CONFIDENTIAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY - MAJOR REVISIONS

	FY03											
	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE:	80 S	\$510	80	2 0	\$ 0	80	\$2,763,221	\$5,306,604	\$\$76,173	\$6,378,841	\$4,728,345	\$3,443,184
SOURCES OF FUNDS:												
Lockbox	6,050,000	4,380,000	3,440,000	5,775,000	2,590,000	7,535,000	7,270,000	2,700,000	3,773,955	3,585,000	4,245,000	4,334,844
Energy payments	1,202,362	0	0	0	0	0	0	72,390	972,848	1,201,220	1,270,477	1,308,951
Interest	1,292	3,332	6969	684	1,148	275	5,117	5,919	3,240	9,397	177.1	5,478
Trustee: Debt Service Reserve Fund Adjustment	0	0	0	0	0	0	584,917	0	0	0	0	0
Trustee: Deposit - Excess O&M and R&R Funds *	0	0	0	0	0	3,583,153	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deposit - Escrowed CL&P Payment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,681,090	7,895,910	0	0	0
Total	\$ 7,253,654	\$ 4,383,332	\$ 3,440,696	\$ 5,775,684	\$ 2,591,148	\$11,118,428	\$ 7,860,034	\$ 4,459,399	\$12,645,954	\$ 4,795,617	\$ 5,523,248	\$ 5,649,273
USES OF FUNDS:												
Covanta invoice	1,181,894	1,232,547	1,230,975	1,219,568	1,207,928	1,414,253	1,237,149	1,289,752	1,201,679	1,228,685	1,221,611	1,222,342
Operating Expenses ⁽¹⁾	4,910,334	3,839,962	2,626,747	5,211,158	4,161,350	4,769,806	1,908,354	5,728,928	3,470,458	3,046,279	3,415,649	2,885,089
Debt service - Existing	2,175,916	2,175,916	2,175,916	2,175,916	1,967,802	2,171,149	2,171,149	2,171,149	2,171,149	2,171,149	2,171,149	2,171,149
Debt service - State Supplemental Financing	- 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 8,268,144	\$ 7,248,426	\$ 6,033,637	\$ 8,606,642	\$ 7,337,080	\$ 8,355,208	\$ 5,316,652	\$ 9,189,829	\$ 6,843,285	\$ 6,446,113	\$ 6,808,409	\$ 6,278,580
Excess/(Deficit) in current month:	(1,014,490)	(1,014,490) (2,865,093)	(2,592,942)	(2,830,958)	(4,745,932)	2,763,221	2,543,383	(4,730,430)	5,802,668	(1,650,496)	(1,285,161)	(629,308)
SUBTOTAL CASH BALANCE	\$ (1,014,490) \$ (2,864,583) \$ (2,592,942)	\$ (2,864,583)		\$ (2,830,958)	(\$4,745,932)	\$2,763,221	\$5,306,604	\$576,173	\$6,378,841	\$4,728,345	\$3,443,184	\$2,813,876
TRANSFERS FROM RESERVES ⁽²⁾	1,015,000	2,864,583	2,592,942	2,830,958	4,745,932	0	0 ,	0	0	0	0	0
STATE SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCING ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,000,000
ENDING CASH BALANCE	\$ 510 \$	\$ 0	0	s 0	\$0	\$2,763,221	\$5,306,604	\$576,173	\$6,378,841	\$4,728,345	\$3,443,184	\$4,813,876
IDENTIFIED RESERVE BALANCE	\$14,962,696 \$13,201,140 \$11,610,878	\$13,201,140	\$11,610,878	\$8,977,331	\$2,731,399	\$2,731,399	\$2,731,399	\$2,731,399	\$2,731,399	\$2,731,399	\$2,254,068	\$2,254,068
CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCINGS											80	\$2,000,000
* One-time adjustment by Trustee to release excess amounts in O&M and R&R Funds. ⁽¹⁾ Includes PILOT payments of \$1.7 million each January and July												
(2) Leeludes ©1 million transfor from Eunde Alseelution in Lubr EVAA												

12:14 PM 7/2/2003

⁽²⁾Includes \$1 million transfer from Funds dissolution in July FY04 ⁽³⁾ State Loan will only support monthly debt service

⁽⁴⁾ Borrowing from State Loan will be at maximum level in months preceding PILOT payments in order to alleviate cashflow drain.

MID CONNECTICUT PROJECT SOURCE AND USE OF CASH FUNDS

CONFIDENTIAL - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY - MAJOR REVISIONS

SOURCE AND USE OF CASH FUNDS	EV 04											-
	L					ESTIMATED	ATED					
	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE:	\$4,813,876	\$3,171,149	\$1,942,649	\$1,693,149	\$914,649	\$202,149	\$2,169,000	\$2,650,900	\$414,400	\$635,876	S 0	20
SOURCES OF FUNDS:												
Lockbox	4,714,000	5,211,000	5,508,000	4,892,000	5,430,000	5,011,000	4,853,000	5,038,000	4,294,000	4,760,000	5,472,000	5,715,000
Energy payments	1,215,130	1,848,000	1,909,000	1,640,000	1,676,000	1,669,000	1,913,000	423,000	963,000	1,421,000	1,332,000	1,274,000
Interest	5,000	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
Trustee: Debt Service Reserve Fund Adjustment	0	0	0	0	0	0	556,400	0	0	0	0	0
Trustee: Deposit - Excess O&M and R&R Funds *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deposit - Escrowed CL&P Payment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	\$ 5,934,130	\$ 5,934,130 \$ 7,059,500 \$ 7,417,500		\$ 6,532,500	\$ 7,106,500	\$ 6,680,500	\$ 7,322,900	\$ 5,461,500	\$ 5,257,500	\$ 6,181,500	\$ 6,804,500	\$ 6,989,500
USES OF FUNDS:												
Covanta invoice	1,220,197	1,183,000	1,197,000	1,160,000	1,191,000	1,172,000	1,162,000	1,175,000	1,126,000	1,151,000	1,195,000	1,213,000
Operating Expenses ⁽¹⁾	8,463,501	4,918,000	4,260,000	3,927,000	4,396,000	3,980,000	5,596,000	4,247,000	3,599,000	3,859,000	4,415,000	4.364.000
Debt service - Existing	2,171,149	2,171,000	2,171,000	2,171,000	2,171,000	2,169,000	2,166,000	2,166,000	2,166,000	2,166,000	2,166,000	2,166,000
Debt service - State Supplemental Financing	0	16,000	39,000	53,000	61,000	73,000	86,000	110,000	134,000	149,000	169,000	182,000
Total	\$11,854,847	\$ 8,288,000	\$ 7,667,000	\$ 7,311,000	\$ 7,819,000	\$ 7,394,000	\$ 9,010,000	\$ 7,698,000	\$ 7,025,000	\$ 7,325,000	\$ 7,945,000	\$ 7,925,000
Excess/(Deficit) in current month:	(5,920,717)	(1,228,500)	(249,500)	(778,500)	(712,500)	(713,500)	(1,687,100)	(2,236,500)	(1,767,500)	(1,143,500)	(1,143,500) (1,140,500)	(935,500)
SUBTOTAL CASH BALANCE	(\$1,106,840)	\$1,942,649	\$1,693,149	\$914,649	\$202,149	(\$\$11,351)	\$481,900	\$414,400	(\$1,353,100)	(\$507,624)	(\$1,140,500)	(\$935,500)
TRANSFERS FROM RESERVES ⁽²⁾	2.106.840	0	0	0	C	511.351	C	C	615 876	c	C	Ċ
STATE SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCING ^{(3) (4)}	2.171.149	0	0	c		2.169.000	2 169 000	, c	1 353 100	207 674	1 140 500	1 166 000
ENDING CASH BALANCE	\$3,171,149	\$1,942,649	\$1,693,149	\$914,649	\$202,149	\$2,169,000	\$2,650,900	\$414,400	\$635,876	0S	\$00 \$0	\$1,230,500
IDENTIFIED RESERVE BALANCE	\$1,147,227	\$1,147,227	\$1,147,227	\$1,147,227	\$1,147,227	\$635,876	\$635,876	\$635,876	80	\$0	\$0	
CUMULATIVE SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCINGS	\$4,171,149	\$4,171,149	\$4,171,149	\$4,171,149	\$4,171,149	\$6,340,149	\$8,509,149	\$8,509,149	\$9,862,249	\$10,369,873	\$11,510,373	\$13,676,373
* One-time adjustment by Trustee to release excess amounts in O&M and R & R Funds												

and R&R Funds. $^{(1)}$ Includes PILOT payments of \$1.7 million each January and July

⁽²⁾ Includes S1 million transfer from Funds dissolution in July FY04 ⁽³⁾ State Loan will only support monthly debt service ⁽⁴⁾ Borrowing from State Loan will be at maximum level in months

preceding PILOT payments in order to alleviate cashflow drain.

12:14 PM 7/2/2003

TAB 4

RESOLUTION REGARDING THE CREATION AND DISSOLUTION OF A RECYCLING RESERVE

RESOLVED: that the Regional Recycling Center Paper Equipment Replacement Reserve for the Mid-Connecticut Project be reclassified from Restricted to Board Designated (balance as of May 31, 2003 was \$1,729,509).

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Regional Recycling Center Paper Equipment Replacement Reserve be renamed Recycling Reserve.

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Regional Recycling Center Paper Equipment Replacement Reserve be dissolved.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Recycling Reserve

July 10, 2003

The language below was provided to the Finance Committee at their July 10, 2003 meeting. The Finance Committee approved the recommendation to submit the attached resolution for adoption by the CRRA Board of Directors at their July 17, 2003 meeting.

In March 2003, the Agreement between CRRA and Capital Recycling of Connecticut (CROC) terminated. Pursuant to the Agreement CRRA had to maintain and contribute funds to a Regional Recycling Center Paper Equipment Replacement Reserve (the "Reserve") for potential future capital projects. The Agreement also stipulated that any balances remaining in this Reserve after the expiration of the Agreement would belong to CRRA. As of May 31, 2003 the balance in this account was \$1,729,509. A copy of the Individual Reserve Summary is attached.

Since this Reserve was established as a requirement to the Agreement it was designated as Restricted. As a result of the expiration of the Agreement this Reserve now needs to be re-designated. The recommendation is to rename the Reserve, the "Recycling Reserve" and have it become a Board Designated reserve. A draft resolution is attached for the Finance Committee.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority July 2, 2003

Account: REGIONAL RECYCLING CENTER EQUIPMENT **REPLACMENT (PAPER)**

Project: Mid-Connecticut

Purpose: To reserve funds necessary for possible capital repair or replacement.

Fund Basis: Contract states that CRRA shall contribute \$125,000 on an annual basis to this reserve. Information as to how the contribution amount was determined could not be found.

Fund Source: All documentation found indicates that funding of this reserve has occurred through the operating budget.

Fund Amount As Of May 31, 2003: \$1,729,509

Supporting Documentation:

Fund required under an existing agreement with Capital Recycling of Connecticut Inc. dated November 23, 1990. Contract expired February 28, 2003. The CRRA Board of Directors approved this contract on September 18, 1990. The following is Section 10.4 of the agreement:

Section 10.4 Capitalized Renewal and Replacement Fund

CRRA shall establish a separate bank account for the purpose of funds necessary for possible capital renewal or replacement. On the last day of each Operating Year, CRRA shall deposit in such account the amount of One Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$125,000).

Unless a second baler has been installed pursuant to subsections 10.3 (a) or 10.3 (b) during the initial five years of this Agreement, the Company shall not be entitled to draw upon such account for repair and replacement of Facility equipment except in exceptional circumstances with the permission of CRRA.

Once a second baler has been installed or during the remaining term of this Agreement, the Company shall be entitled to draw upon such account to provide necessary equipment replacements and repairs upon ten (10) Days prior written notice to CRRA and upon CRRA's consent, which shall not be unreasonable withheld. Such written notice shall include the following, at a minimum: items to be replaced and repaired, the cause of equipment failure, cost of replacement or repair, including Cost Substantiation; and the new useful life of any replaced or repaired item. CRRA shall be entitled to draw upon such account upon ten (10) Days written notice to the Company to make reasonable expenditures for the renewal, repair or replacement of any and all stationary or immobile equipment purchased and installed at the Facility. Upon termination of this Agreement, all funds remaining in the account shall revert to CRRA.

Recommendation:

Perform a comprehensive review of this reserve and its relationship to the longterm strategic plan of the recycling facilities and prepare a recommendation for the annual reserve review process.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority

July 2, 2003

Account: <u>RECYCLING RESERVE</u>

Project: Mid-Connecticut

Purpose: To reserve funds necessary for future capital repairs and/or replacements or any other recycling activities the Authority may pursue.

Fund Basis: An adequate fund balance will be determined during the October reserve review.

Fund Source: Transfer entire balance from the Regional Recycling Center Paper Equipment Reserve.

Fund Amount As Of May 31, 2003: \$0.00

Supporting Documentation:Support documents will be created during the October reserve review.

	Connecticu	Connecticut Resources Recovery Anthority	rv Authority			7/7/2003
······································	Schedule of	Schedule of Short Term Investment Funds As Of May 31, 2003	ment Funds			
Account	General Administration	Mid-Connecticut Proiect	Bridgeport Proiect	Wallingford Project	Southeastern	1-++ Le
Restricted			malart	100/011	LIUJOUL	10131
Regional Recycling Center Equipment (Paper)		\$1,729,509				\$1.729.509
Regional Recycling Center Equipment (Container) Tin Fee Stabilization Account		\$582,655				\$582,655
Jets / EGF Operating Fund		\$20,000,000		\$6,680,219		\$6,680,219 *20,000,000
MDC Arbitration Escrow		\$3,217,315				\$20,000,000 \$3 217 315
Total Restricted	\$0	\$25,529,479	\$ 0	\$6,680,219	\$0	\$32,209,698
Unrestricted						
Designated						
Board	-					
Landfill Closure		\$6,983,333	\$200.215			47 182 540
Landfill Post-Closure		20	\$2,322,132	\$4 477 509	47 167 712	040,001,140 040,010 04
Landfill Post-Closure (Ellington)		\$1,225,035			CT1,101,20	\$1 775 035
Risk Fund		\$4,753,942	\$2,540,823	\$1,045,942	\$251.691	\$8.592.398
Waste Processing Facility Modification Reserve		\$3,347,924				\$3,347,924
Landfill Replacement						US
Rolling Stock Reserve		\$2,377,473				\$2.377.473
Future Use Reserve			\$579,808			\$579 808
Power Block Facility Maintenance		\$500,000				\$500.000
Transfer Station Maintenance		\$463,916				\$463.916
Health Fund	\$82,612					\$82,612
Recycling Trust			\$50,000			\$50,000
Budget Process						
Municipal Replacement Reserve	-		\$123,592			\$123 592
Total Unrestricted	\$82,612	\$19,651,623	\$5,816,570	\$5,473,451	\$2,419,404	\$33,443,660
Undesignated					٠	
Operating (Jets/EGF)	(\$598,822)	\$3,192,801 \$65,089	\$691,962	\$13,638,637	\$3,259,650	\$20,184,228 \$65,089
Total	(\$516,210)	\$48,438,992	\$6,508,532	\$25,792,307	\$5,679,054	\$85,902,675

TAB 5

Resolution Regarding A Connecticut Market Waste Flow and Management Study

RESOLVED: The President is authorized to enter into a Connecticut Market Waste Flow and Management Study with HRP Associates, Inc., in accordance with the terms and conditions discussed at this meeting.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Contract Summary

Presented to CRRA Board of Directors: July 17, 2003

Vendor: HRP Associates, Inc. **Consulting Request for Services** Contract Type: Mid-Connecticut, Bridgeport and Wallingford Projects Facility(ies) Term. Original Contract: This Request for Services is made an amendment to the three-year Engineering Services Agreement with HRP. Term of the Agreement is July 1, 2001 - June 30, 2004 90-days from the date authorization is received from CRRA to Term, Amendment: proceed with the market study Fee: Not to exceed price of \$55,000 **Budget** Allocation: Mid-Connecticut Project, "Other Consulting Services" budget line item: \$48,000 Bridgeport Project, "Other Consulting Services" budget line item: \$5,000 Wallingford Project, "Other Consulting Services budget line item: \$2,000 Comments: CRRA invited three firms with three-year engineering contracts to provide written proposals and quotes for this research project. The firms and the quotes provided by each firm were: o Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. - \$69,500 o Camp, Dresser & McKee, Inc. - \$49,500 • HRP Associates, Inc. - \$51,160 Upon review of the proposals submitted by the three firms, CRRA determined that HRP Associates, while not the lowest bid, had the most responsive written proposal. Specifically, HRP had given a great deal of thought to the final data base design and how they would provide various data query functions to make what will be a huge data base "user friendly".

> This study/market research will provide CRRA with detailed waste flow and waste management information (municipal solid waste, recycling, and bulky waste) for all 169 Connecticut municipalities. Some of the information that will be captured for each city and

town includes: volume of the various waste streams (both residential and commercial) haulers used and doing business in each community; intermediate/transfer station facilities used, end facilities used (in-state and out-of-state), tip and/or disposal fees paid, method of waste control, if any (contracts, permits, licensing, other), term of contracts with disposal facilities, etc.

This market research will be invaluable to CRRA as it 1) works to manage waste flows (including recyclables) to the Mid-Connecticut Project when tip fees go up above market rates, 2) develops marketing strategies to continue the operation of CRRA's various facilities past the so-called end of each project (Bridgeport in 2008, Wallingford in 2010, and Mid-Connecticut in 2012). July 17, 2003

Mr. Walter J. Gancarz, P.E. Chief Executive Officer HRP Associates, Inc. 167 New Britain Avenue Planville, CT 06062

RE: Request for Services for Waste Flow Market Research and Data Base Preparation

Dear Mr. Gancarz:

This request authorizes HRP Associates, Inc. to provide the Services described below in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Engineering Services Agreement dated July 1, 2001.

The Scope of Services, Estimated Time of Performance, and Estimated Cost described below will become part of the will be incorporated therein, as an amendment, upon your acceptance of this request. The Scope of Services is the product of consultation between CRRA and HRP Associates and the Estimated Time of Performance and Estimated Costs have been provided by HRP Associates and deemed acceptable to CRRA.

PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") has taken the initial steps in the development of a comprehensive database for information on Connecticut municipality generation and management of municipal solid waste (MSW), bulky waste, and recyclables. This database is currently in the form of Excel worksheets. The worksheets focus on information about Connecticut's 169 municipalities, how they control waste and their waste volume and shipments.

ITEM 1 - SCOPE OF SERVICES

Task 1: Data Gathering

A. HRP Associates, Inc., (the "Consultant") shall obtain the following information for the cities and towns listed in Attachment II:

- Contract Information
 - 1. Facility/Project
 - 2. Effective Date
 - 3. Term/Expiration Date

- 4. Minimum Commitment
- Waste Shipment Information (Town or Facility provided data as opposed to the CTDEP data provided by CRRA)
 - 1. Origin
 - 2. Type
 - 3. Haulers
 - 4. Intermediate Facility (Name, Amount and Price)
 - 5. End Facility (Name, Amount, Price)

B. The Consultant shall obtain the following information for the cities and towns listed in Attachment I for the Bridgeport and Southeast facilities:

• Partial Waste Shipment Information 1. Haulers

C. The Consultant will obtain the following information for all towns listed in Attachment I and Attachment II:

- Hauler Information
 - 1. Town Fleet
 - 2. Method of Control (permitting, contracts, licensing, etc.)

With respect to the "Method of Control" portion of the Hauler Information, the Consultant shall provide a narrative description of how each of the 169 cities and towns in Connecticut control where its haulers take the municipality's waste. CRRA wants to know whether the control is by contract, licensing, permitting or other device and the extent to which the control affects MSW, bulky waste and recyclables. CRRA wants to know the differences in how towns manage/control residential versus commercial waste collections within their boundaries as well as how each town defines commercial waste

With respect to the Waste Shipment Information, the CTDEP data that CRRA has indicates shipments to a number of transfer stations with no information on where the waste was shipped from the transfer station. The Consultant shall obtain this information.

Task 2: Meetings

Consultant shall participate in one (1) kick-off meeting, monthly progress meetings (estimated to be two (2) progress meetings) and one (1) project completion meeting.

CRRA OBLIGATIONS

CRRA will provide the following support services for this project:

• CRRA will write and mail, at CRRA's cost, a project "letter of introduction" signed by CRRA's President. This letter will be mailed to all 169 municipal chief elected officials,

or town managers, and the department of public works directors asking for the municipalities' cooperation in providing HRP the waste management information it is seeking.

• CRRA has or will provide for all 169 Connecticut cities and towns presented in Attachments I and II.

- Population
- Total MSW Shipped
- Tons MSW/Capita
- Primary RRF
- Contact Information
 - 1. Chief Elected Official
 - 2. Chief Administrative Official
 - 3. Primary Solid Waste Contact
- Waste Shipment Information For Shipments to CRRA Facilities
 - 1. Origin
 - 2. Type.
 - 3. Haulers (Mid-Connecticut and Wallingford only)
 - 4. Intermediate Facility (Name, Amount and Price)
 - 5. End Facility (Name, Amount and Price)

CRRA will also provide the following additional information for the cities and towns listed in Attachment I only, (communities serviced by CRRA facilities):

- Contract Information
 - 1. Facility/Project
 - 2. Effective Date
 - 3. Term/Expiration Date
 - 4. Minimum Commitment

ITEM II - ESTIMATED TIME OF PERFORMANCE

The estimated time of performance to complete the entire research project is 90-days from the date of authorization from CRRA to proceed.

ITEM III – ESTIMATED COST

The Consultant agrees to perform all of the Services including those described in its Proposal dated June 18, 2003 for a not to exceed cost of \$55,000. The specific Fee Schedule provided by the Consultant is attached to RFS.

This cost is not to be exceeded without CRRA's prior written consent. CRRA shall not pay for any services rendered or expenses incurred by Consultant in excess of those included in this Request unless specifically authorized in advance and in writing by CRRA.

Very truly yours

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

By:___

Thomas D. Kirk Its President Duly Authorized

Accepted under the terms of the Engineering Services Agreement dated July 1, 2001

HRP ASSOCIATES, INC.

By:_____

Title:_____

FEE SCHEDULE Market Research Database

| | | | _ | 3 | _ | | <u>.</u> | _

 | _ | | r

 | r— | _ | | | | |

 |
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
|----------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|---
--
--
---|--|--
--
--
---|--|--|--|---
--|---
--
--
--
--|---|---|--

---|---|---|
| | OTALS | Revenue | | \$1,380 | \$160 | \$1,600 | 06\$ | \$4,840

 | \$2,480 | \$4,500 | \$1,000

 | \$4,240 | \$6,580 | \$1,040 | \$7,860 | \$160 | \$4,000 | \$1,730

 | \$1,380
 | \$43,040 | 000 os
 | \$5.960 | \$51,000 | \$4.000
 | \$55,000 | |
| | T | Hours | | 10 | | 17 | - | 68

 | 26 | 58 | 80

 | 44 | 68 | 8 | 76 | 1 | 40 | 18

 | 10
 | 454 | 0
 | 3 9 | | DCV
 | | |
| | Clerical
\$55.00 | | | ₽ | Ş | \$0 | 0\$ | \$2,200

 | 0\$ | \$1,100 | \$0

 | \$0 | 0\$ | \$0 | 0\$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$330

 | \$0
 | \$3,630 | Fenanc
 | HRP Fe | Sub-Tot | Continge
 | TOTA | |
| | | | | | 100000 | 194345 | | 40

 | SHORE | 20 |

 | PRESERVED IN THE PARTY OF THE P | | 1.11.1.1 | TRIMUUR | SHOULD | 11.2141 | 9

 | 100000
 | <u>66:</u> | |
 | |
 | | - |
| | KCW
0.00 | × | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0

 | \$0 M | ,440 | \$0

 | 第0 3部 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$

 | \$0 (M)
 | ,440 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| ć | 1 | S, | | | 1988
1988
1988
1988
1988
1988
1988
1988 | E HIS | |

 | | [\$ 9Z |

 | a a filler | | | | 開設 | |

 | 1919
 | 24 \$1 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| <u>`</u> . | 10 | | | 0 | | 0 111111 | 0 |

 | 10 GER | |

 | 的開始 | | | | 他们了 | | 0 [

 | 1233
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
| | J Prese | Revenu | | ¢9 | s | s | ** | \$1

 | \$ | \$ | ø

 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ | | ~~~

 | ŝ
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
| | | Hours | | Renamina | THERE | | | 9101010

 | | | NUMBER OF COMPANY

 | | | 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1 | UGHISHES | | 5 7 |

 |
 | 9 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | DAP | evenue | | \$400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 \$

 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0
\$

 | \$0 | \$0 | \$400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$800 | \$400

 | \$400
 | \$2,400 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | 1 49 | 1 | | 141001 | | | Bill Bill | SHIMS

 | | | SHEEL .

 | 1988 W | | | Neither | 101880 | 6 2 | 4

 | 10.00
 | 24 8 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | | | \$0 111 | 3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 MM

 | \$0 | 340 | \$0 08

 | \$0 III | 100 | \$0 100 | 00, | \$0 800 | \$0 | \$0

 | 188
 | 40 |
 | | |
 | | |
| ÷ | 585 | | | | | | ø | 35

 | | |

 | | | | | 88 | 241 |

 | 11. J
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 00000000

 | 0102014 | | HUHHHHH

 | HHRE | HHH | | | 31111111 | |

 | CHERERS
 | , |
 | | |
 | | |
| | 00 06\$ | Revenue | | ¢\$ | \$0 | \$1,440 | \$ | \$720

 | \$0 | \$0 | 0\$

 | \$1,800 | \$1,800 | \$0 | \$1,800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0

 | \$0
 | \$7,560 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | | Hours | | | | 91:0 | ANS ADD | SHERE

 | | | MARKIN

 | 20 | | | 20 | SHEERER S | | AL 10 10

 | No. No.
 | 84 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | AGF | z | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 890 | 第0条

 | 2,160 | \$0 | \$360

 | 1,800 | 1,800 | \$0 | 1,800 | \$0 8 | \$0 | \$360 🔢

 | \$0
 | 3,370 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | 10 | • | | | | | THE | 1993

 | 64 | | +

 | | | | | _ | |

 |
 | 93 \$6 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | ZQ | | | 01 | 0 0 | 0 | 112215 | 10 Milling

 | | 00 IIIIII | ÷ 01

 | | 131 E | i0 👘 🔅 | 0 | HINS | 0 | N. S. S. S.

 | 0
 | 0 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| | construction who | Reven | | | 18 \$16 | 316 | 22 |

 | \$32 | |

 | | 44 | 41 | 53 | - | ~ |

 | ₩
 | \$11,68 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| | | Hours | | | | 101010101 | |

 | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1 |

 | A10110101 | 10001 | 400 SIG - 34 | JE | | 1 | 11 1 1 C

 |
 | 2 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | W1G | cevenue | | \$340 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0

 | \$0 | \$340 | \$0

 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0

 | \$340
 | \$1,020 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| | 62 | | | 2000 | HEAR | 101101 | |

 | | 11.2 |

 | HINNE | 1000 | | 00.00 | HHHH | |

 | 6
 | 9 |
 | | |
 | | |
| | Title
lame
Rate | Ho | | | BARE THE | | | 2.00

 | 00,000 | |

 | ow up !! | din-to | | | HERE BEE | |

 |
 | dual |
 | | |
 | | |
| | Z | | | MARKIN | REFERENCE | STAN STATE | | instial d

 | testati | uestionn |

 | nuire fol | aire follo | | itrol | HERRIGHT | |

 |
 | ivibni yd |
 | | |
 | | |
| | ase | bor | | e e parage | data []] | lata 🐘 | 105 | Ze, venfy

 | CRRA () | ads w/ q |

 | onisidoo | testiona | and the state | TAS & COT | [Excel: 1] | Access | rables

 |
 | total |
 | | |
 | | |
| 0 | te Darab
WJG | La | ption | ing A1 | oi CRRA | in DEP o | ch websi | t, organi

 | w/ non | rrs & em: | · 23 gai

 | ie calls | ulsits - q | tag = 3. | urves v | t data ho | r deta lin | ide nelive

 | ing #4
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
| r: DTG | 2007
2007
2007 | | | Meet | 1.1 Obta | | - Scar | ndui

 | Cold Meet | Lette | Meet

 | TONA IN IN | Ster | · Meet | Narr | Ente, | Enter | Prov

 | Meet
 | |
 | | |
 | | |
| HRP 4
Clier | Proje
By
Date | | Tas | | 1.1.2 | 6 | 4 | 5.0

 | 9 | 4 | 8

 | 6.08 | 10 | TE | 12 | ELUISI | 1.134 | 15,

 | 16
 | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
| | HRP #: DUDON INTERNATION CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF | Trictor
SECA
SECA
SECA
MAX/WO
Name
Name
SFVCDOI
SECIOD
SECEDIO
SECEDIO
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SFVCDOI
SF | Tride Tride Name WUIG DAG Name WUIG DAG SEROND SEROND SEROND SEROND SEROND SEROND Edurs Revenue Hours Revenue Hours Revenue Hours Revenue | NOISON MARKAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A | Tricio Trite Trite Trite Trite Trite Marco Gib Name SF70000 SF6000 < | Notice in the second se | Difficiency Difficiency <thdiciency< th=""> Difficiency</thdiciency<> | Difference Difference <td>Britishold Trial Trian Trial Trian</td> <td>Difficient Title Title</td> <td>Distol Distol <thdistol< th=""> <thdistol< th=""> <thdistol< td="" th<=""><td>Difference True True</td><td>Difference Title Title</td><td>Distribution Trule Trule</td><td>Difficiency Trial Trial</td><td>Trito Trito Tritrito Trito Trito</td><td>Tricio Tricio Tricio<</td><td>This This <th< td=""><td>This This <th< td=""><td>International
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
Na</td><td>Mile True True With manual state With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile Mile</td><td>Difference The first interval inter</td><td>Mile Mile <th< td=""><td>Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></thdistol<></thdistol<></thdistol<></td> | Britishold Trial Trian Trial Trian | Difficient Title Title | Distol Distol <thdistol< th=""> <thdistol< th=""> <thdistol< td="" th<=""><td>Difference True True</td><td>Difference Title Title</td><td>Distribution Trule Trule</td><td>Difficiency Trial Trial</td><td>Trito Trito Tritrito Trito Trito</td><td>Tricio Tricio Tricio<</td><td>This This <th< td=""><td>This This <th< td=""><td>International
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
Na</td><td>Mile True True With manual state With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile Mile</td><td>Difference The first interval inter</td><td>Mile Mile <th< td=""><td>Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></thdistol<></thdistol<></thdistol<> | Difference True | Difference Title Title | Distribution Trule Trule | Difficiency Trial Trial | Trito Tritrito Trito Trito | Tricio Tricio< | This This <th< td=""><td>This This <th< td=""><td>International
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
Na</td><td>Mile True True With manual state With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile Mile</td><td>Difference The first interval inter</td><td>Mile Mile <th< td=""><td>Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<> | This This <th< td=""><td>International
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
Na</td><td>Mile True True With manual state With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile Mile</td><td>Difference The first interval inter</td><td>Mile Mile <th< td=""><td>Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<> | International
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
National
Na | Mile True True With manual state With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile With manual state Mile Mile | Difference The first interval inter | Mile Mile <th< td=""><td>Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<></td></th<> | Micro Micro <th< td=""><td>Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<></td></th<> | Title Title <th< td=""><td>Method in the standards in the sta</td></th<> | Method in the standards in the sta |

O:\pm\j-m\CRRA Market Research Budget

ATTACHMENT I CRRA PROJECT MEMBER AND CONTRACT TOWNS SERVED BY CRRA FACILITIES

Mid-Connecticut Project Beacon Falls Bethlehem Canton Chester Clinton Colebrook **Deep River** East Granby East Hampton East Hartford Ellington Enfield Essex Farmington Glastonbury Goshen Granby Hartford Harwinton Killingworth Litchfield Lyme Middlebury Naugatuck Newington North Branford Old Lyme Old Saybrook Oxford RRDD# New Hartford Barkhamsted Winchester Rocky Hill Simsbury South Windsor Southbury Thomaston Torrington Vernon

Mid-Connecticut cont. Watertown West Hartford Westbrook Wethersfield Woodbury **Mid-Conn Contract** Avon Bloomfield Bolton Canaan Cornwall Coventry Cromwell Durham East Windsor Guilford Haddam Hebron Madison Manchester Marlborough Middlefield Norfolk North Canaan Roxbury Salisbury Sharon Suffield Tolland Waterbury Windsor Locks **Southeast Project Towns** East Lyme Griswold Groton Ledyard

Montville

New London

North Stonington

Southeast cont. Norwich Preston Sprague Stonington Waterford Southeast Contract Killingly Mansfield Salem

Bridgeport Project Towns Bethany Bridgeport Darien East Haven Easton Fairfield Greenwich Milford Monroe Norwalk Orange Shelton Stratford Trumbull Weston Westport Wilton Woodbridge

Wallingford Project Towns

Cheshire Hamden Meriden North Haven Wallingford

ATTACHMENT II OTHER CONNECTICUT COMMUNITIES NOT SERVED BY CRRA FACILITIES

Andover Ansonia Ashford Berlin Bethel Bozrah Branford Bridgewater Bristol Brookfield Brooklyn Bulington Canterbury Chaplin Colchester Columbia Danbury Derby Eastford East Haddam Franklin Hampton Hartland Kent Lebanon Lisbon Middletown Morris New Britain New Canaan New Fairfield New Haven New Milford Newtown Plainfield Plainville Plymouth Pomfret Portland Prospect Putnam Redding Ridgefield

Scotland Seymour Sherman Somers Southington Stafford Sterling Thompson Union Voluntown Warren Washington West Haven Willington Wolcott Windham Windsor Woodstock Stamford

TAB 6

IADU

RESOLUTION REGARDING A FACILITIES MODIFICATION AGREEMENT TO MODIFY THE EXISTING REGIONAL RECYCLING CENTER LOCATED IN HARTFORD, CT

Resolved: The President is authorized to enter into an Agreement with Central Construction Industries, L.L.C. to modify the existing Regional Recycling Center located in Hartford, CT substantially as presented at this meeting.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Contract Summary for Contract Entitled

Facilities Modification Agreement

Presented to CRRA Board of Directors on: July 17, 2003

Vendor/Contractor(s):

Effective date:

Contract Type/Subject:

Facility (ies) Affected:

Original Contract:

Term:

Contract Dollar:

Amendments(s):

Term Extensions:

Budget Allocation:

Scope of Services:

Central Construction Industries, L.L.C.

Upon Execution

Construction

Hartford Regional Recycling Center

N/A

75 days from date of Notice to Proceed

\$227,883.00

N/A

N/A

Funds to be provided from Mid-Connecticut Recycling Reserves Fund

The purpose of this construction activity is to consolidate both the commingled container and paper operations on the site of the Regional Recycling Center located at 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut. The northern end of the Container Recycling Facility will be modified to accommodate the receiving, handling and shipping of recyclable paper and fiber. The work includes the demolition of several interior columns and removal of approximately 8,700 S.F. of bituminous concrete pavement. Four new columns and two new beams will be installed. 8" concrete floor slab will be cast-in-place as well as all other improvements required for the project.

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Hartford Regional Recycling Center Facilities Modification Agreement

July 17, 2003

Executive Summary

CRRA currently processes recyclable materials at 123 and 211 Murphy Road in Hartford, CT. The facility located at 211 Murphy Road processes commingled containers and the facility located at 123 Murphy Road processes paper. CRRA now desires to consolidate both the commingled container and residential paper operations on the site located at 211 Murphy Road. On June 26, 2003 CRRA received competitive bids for the modification of facilities at the Regional Recycling Center located at 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut to accommodate the receiving, handling and shipping of recyclable paper and fiber.

CRRA has submitted a permit modification application to DEP seeking to modify the solid waste permit associated with 211 Murphy Road authorizing the management of paper. The physical modifications to 211 Murphy Road, for which CRRA recently solicited the proposals discussed below, require approval by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) as part of the permit modification. Based on discussion during a meeting held with DEP in early July, CRRA expects DEP to issue a tentative determination for this permit modification by the end of July 2003, which will be followed by issuance of a Permit-to-Construct 30 days later. CRRA will not initiate any construction activities until DEP issues the Permit-to-Construct for this activity.

Discussion

The scope of work for the project is as follows:

Furnish all materials, labor, equipment and incidentals thereto for the modification of facilities at the Regional Recycling Center located at 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut. The work will include, but not be limited to the following items:

- Install temporary precast concrete barrier curb to protect the working area.
- Install temporary shoring/bracing/supports at the existing plate girder as indicated on plans.
- Remove six (6) interior columns.
- Excavate for proposed foundation and existing foundation extension.
- Install four (4) new interior columns.
- Install two (2) new metal W24X176 beams.

- Remove metal guardrail. Saw cut existing bituminous concrete pavement and remove in the areas indicated.
- Prepare, grade, reset metal beam rail and cast–in-place approximately 8,700 square feet of 8" concrete floor slab.
- Cast-in-place 2' diameter concrete caisson and install 2'X2'X4' concrete block push wall.
- Remove 20'X24' roof canopy including fascia and gutter.
- Install a new 6" gutter and connect to an existing 10" roof drain with 4" PVC pipe.
- Install two-20' long Precast Concrete Barrier Curb PCBC).
- Install 10' high chain link fence (CLF) over the PCBC.
- Coordinate all construction activities with Owner in advance to minimize impact on Owner's operations.
- Clean-up, disposal of waste, and debris, and restoration of work site to original condition and to satisfaction of Owner and Engineer.

There were nine responsive bids to the bid package. All firms that submitted a bid are Connecticut based firms. Listed below are the bids received for the project:

Bidder	Lump Sum Bid
1) Central Construction Industries, LLC	\$227,883.00
2) O&G Industries, Inc.	\$233,535.00
3) Rotha Contracting Company, Inc	\$242,000.00
4) Manafort Brothers, Inc.	\$254,317.00
5) Blakeslee Arpaia Chapman, Inc.	\$287,800.00
6) Old Colony Construction, LLC	\$291,000.00
7) Xenelis Construction Company, Inc.	\$292,000.00
8) Mancini Construction Company, Inc.	\$299,000.00
9) Arborio Corporation	\$314,750.00

CRRA staff met with the low bidder on the project, Central Construction Industries, LLC and examined their references. Per our discussions with them, CRRA staff is satisfied that they can complete the work as specified in the Contract Documents.

At this time, Board approval is sought to authorize the President to enter into a contract with Central Construction Industries, LLC for facility modifications to the Regional Recycling Center located at 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, CT.

FACILITIES MODIFICATION AGREEMENT

THIS FACILITIES MODIFICATION AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into as of this _______, 2003 ("Effective Date"), by and between the **CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY**, a body politic and corporate, constituting a public instrumentality and political subdivision of the State of Connecticut, and having its principal offices at 100 Constitution Plaza, 17th Floor, Hartford, Connecticut 06103-1722 (hereinafter "CRRA" or "Owner") and Central Construction Industries, L.L.C., a Connecticut Limited Liability Company, having its principal offices at 30 Harris Street, Putnam, Connecticut 06260 (hereinafter "Contractor").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") owns a certain parcel of real property located at 211 Murphy Road in Hartford, Connecticut (the "Property") upon which Property CRRA operates a Regional Recycling Center ("Recycling Center"). CRRA now desires to enter into this Agreement with Contractor to have Contractor make certain improvements to the Recycling Center to make modifications to the facility of the Recycling Center.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises, and representations contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as follows.

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITIONS

<u>Section 1.01 - Specific Terms</u>. As used in this Agreement and in other Contract Documents (as defined herein) the following terms shall have the meanings as set forth below:

- (a) Addenda written or graphic documents issued prior to the bid due date which clarify, correct or change any or all of the Contract Documents.
- (b) Acceptance Date the date on which CRRA determines that the Work (as defined herein) has been completed by Contractor in accordance with the Contract Documents.
- (c) Bid Security the security required by Section 5 of the Instructions To Bidders, and submitted with each bid.

(d) Contract Documents - this Agreement (including all exhibits attached hereto), Notice To Contractors -Invitation To Bid, Instructions To Bidders, Addenda, Contractor's bid (including the Bid Security and all other documentation

1

accompanying such bid, all other documentation submitted in connection with such bid, and all post-bid documentation submitted prior to the Notice Of Award, Notice To Proceed (as defined herein), the Bonds (as defined herein), the Plans (as defined herein), any written amendments to any of the Contract Documents and any change order issued pursuant to Section 2.07 hereof.

- (e) Contract Time the number of days or the date, as set forth in <u>Exhibit D</u> of this Agreement, to perform and complete the Work and have such Work ready for CRRA's acceptance.
- (f) Effective Date the date set forth above in this Agreement.
- (g) Engineer shall mean URS Corporation AES or any successor engineering firm thereto selected by CRRA to act as its representative in various matters concerning the Project.
- (h) Laws and Regulations any and all applicable current or future laws, rules, regulations, ordinances, codes, orders and permits of any and all federal, state and local governmental and quasi-governmental bodies, agencies, authorities and courts having jurisdiction.
- (i) Notice Of Award written notification from CRRA to the apparent successful bidder which states that CRRA has accepted such bidder's bid and sets forth the remaining conditions that must be fulfilled by such bidder before CRRA executes the Agreement.
- (j) Owner's Designee or Owner's Representative shall mean Engineer.
- (k) Project shall mean all the Work associated with this Agreement.
- (1) Site those areas of the Property upon which the Work is to be performed, furnished and completed by Contractor in accordance with the Contract Documents.

ARTICLE 2 SCOPE OF WORK

<u>Section 2.01 – Contractor's Responsibilities.</u> Contractor shall: (i) demolish six (6) interior columns and remove approximately 8,700 square feet of bituminous pavement, install four (4) new columns, two (2) new metal beams and cast-in-place an 8" concrete floor slab as well as all other improvements required for the project, all in accordance with and as required by the Contract Documents, including but not limited to, the plans set forth in <u>Exhibit A</u> attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Plans" or "Contract Drawings"), the general requirements set forth in <u>Exhibit B</u> attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "General Requirements"), and the technical specifications set forth in <u>Exhibit C</u> attached hereto and made a part hereof (the Technical Specifications"); (ii) furnish all labor, materials, supplies, tools, equipment and other facilities and necessary appurtenances or property for or incidental to the Project and the performance and completion of the Work (as hereinafter defined); and (iii) restore any part of the Property, the improvements thereon, including but not limited to any access roads, or the Work (as hereinafter defined) that require restoration pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 4.04 hereof (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Work").

<u>Section 2.02 - Performance and Completion of Work</u>. All Work shall be performed and completed by Contractor in a good workmanlike manner consistent and in accordance with: (i) any and all instructions, guidance and directions provided by CRRA or Engineer to Contractor; (ii) the Contract Documents; (iii) sound construction and installation practices; (iv) the highest industry standards applicable to Contractor and its performance of the Work hereunder; (v) the schedule for the Work set forth in <u>Exhibit D</u> attached hereto and made a part hereof; and (vi) all Laws and Regulations (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Standards"). Contractor shall obtain any locally required building or other permits required for the Work, and Contractor shall also assist and fully cooperate with CRRA in obtaining any other applicable permits necessary to begin and complete the Work.

<u>Section 2.03 - CRRA's Responsibilities</u>. CRRA and/or its Engineer shall be responsible for administering this Agreement, accepting the Work that is performed and completed by Contractor in accordance with the Contract Documents, and receiving and paying invoices for such Work.

<u>Section 2.04 - Direction of Work</u>. CRRA and/or its Engineer may, where necessary or desired, provide Contractor with instructions, guidance and directions in connection with Contractor's performance of the Work hereunder. CRRA reserves the right to determine whether Contractor will, upon completion of any phase of the Work, proceed to any or all remaining phases of the Work. If CRRA determines that Contractor shall not proceed with the remaining Work, CRRA shall terminate this Agreement in accordance with Section 4.03 hereof.

Section 2.05 - CRRA's Inspection Rights. Contractor's performance of the Work hereunder as well as Contractor's work products resulting from such performance are subject to inspection by CRRA. Inspections may be conducted at any time by CRRA. In the event of an inspection, Contractor shall provide to CRRA any documents or other materials that may be necessary in order for CRRA to conduct the inspection. If after any such inspection CRRA is unsatisfied with Contractor's performance of the Work hereunder or any of the work products resulting therefrom, Contractor shall, at the direction of CRRA, render such performance or work products satisfactory to CRRA at no additional cost or expense to CRRA and without any extension of or addition to any Contract Time for the remaining Work. For purpose of this Section 2.05, CRRA shall mean CRRA and/or its authorized agents, including but not limited to Engineer.

<u>Section 2.06 - Access</u>. CRRA hereby grants to Contractor, during the Recycling Center's normal hours of operation, access to only those areas of the Property necessary for Contractor to perform the Work hereunder, provided that: (i) Contractor shall not interfere with any other operations or activities being conducted on the Property by either CRRA or any other person or entity; (ii) Contractor directly coordinates with CRRA on such access and Contractor's storage of any equipment or materials on the Property; and (iii) Contractor is in compliance with all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement. CRRA reserves the right to revoke the access granted to Contractor herein if Contractor fails •to comply with any of the foregoing conditions of access.

Section 2.07 - Change in Scope of Work. In the event that CRRA determines during the term of this Agreement that any revisions, modifications or changes are necessary to the scope of Work as set forth in Section 2.01 hereof, then pursuant to CRRA's request, Contractor shall promptly commence and perform the work required for such revisions, modifications or changes, which work shall be performed in accordance with the Standards unless otherwise specifically agreed to in writing by CRRA and Contractor. If any adjustment(s) to the Contract Price and/or the Contract Time is required as a result of such revisions, modifications or changes, CRRA and Contractor shall mutually agree in writing on the amount of such adjustment(s) provided that the schedule of values (including the unit prices set forth therein) approved by CRRA for the Project, to the extent applicable, shall be used to determine the appropriate increase or decrease in the quantity or cost of the materials or Work necessitated by such revisions, modifications or changes. Contractor shall promptly commence and perform any work required by such revisions, modifications or changes even if CRRA and Contractor cannot agree on the amount of such adjustment(s). If Contractor determines that a change in scope is necessary to complete the Work, Contractor shall notify CRRA in writing within three (3) business days.

ARTICLE 3

COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT

<u>Section 3.01 - Compensation</u>. The total amount of compensation to be paid to Contractor by CRRA for the Work hereunder shall not exceed Two Hundred Twenty-Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Three and 00/100 Dollars (the "Contract Price"), which Contract Price shall be payable as set forth in Section 3.02 below. Contractor acknowledges and agrees that the Contract Price constitutes the full compensation to Contractor for the Work to be performed by Contractor hereunder and includes all expenses and costs to be incurred by Contractor in performing such Work.

Section 3.02 - Payment Procedure. Within ten (10) days after the end of each month during the term hereof Contractor shall submit to CRRA a written request for payment for all the Work completed by Contractor during such month. Each written request for payment shall be submitted on AlA Forms G702 and G703 and in accordance with the General Requirements, and each such request shall include the name of the Project, the contract number, and all of the other information and documentation required by the General Requirements. If CRRA determines in its sole and absolute discretion that the Work for which Contractor is requesting payment has been properly performed and completed in conformance with the Standards, Contractor is not in default hereunder and CRRA does not dispute the amount of the payment requested, then CRRA shall pay Contractor ninety-five (95%) percent of the amount requested (the "Authorized Percentage Sum") within thirty (30) days after CRRA's receipt of such written request, and CRRA shall withhold the remaining five (5%) percent of such amount as retainage ("Retainage"). If, however, CRRA determines that any of the Work for which Contractor has requested payment is not in conformance with the Standards, then CRRA may in its sole and absolute discretion also withhold all or a portion of the Authorized Percentage Sum, and Contractor shall, if requested by CRRA, immediately take, at Contractor's sole cost and expense, all action necessary to render such Work in conformance with the Standards. CRRA shall have no obligation under this Agreement to pay for any Work that CRRA determines has not been performed and/or completed in conformance with the Standards.

Within ten (10) days after the Acceptance Date, Contractor shall submit to CRRA a written request for payment of all Retainage, and, provided Contractor is not in default hereunder and CRRA does not dispute the amount of such requested payment, CRRA shall pay Contractor such Retainage within thirty (30) days after CRRA's receipt of such written request. CRRA shall have no obligation to pay Contractor any amounts due Contractor under this Agreement if Contractor is in default hereunder.

<u>Section 3.03 - Accounting Obligations</u>. Contractor shall maintain books and accounts of the costs incurred by Contractor in performing the Work pursuant to this Agreement by contract number and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices. CRRA, during normal business hours, for the duration of this Agreement, shall have access to such books and accounts to the extent required to verify such costs incurred.

ARTICLE 4 TERM OF AGREEMENT

<u>Section 4.01 - Term</u>. The term of this Agreement shall commence upon the Effective Date and shall terminate, unless otherwise terminated or extended in accordance with the terms and provisions hereof, on the first anniversary of the Acceptance Date.

Section 4.02 - Time is of the Essence. CRRA and Contractor hereby acknowledge and agree that time is of the essence with respect to Contractor's performance of the Work hereunder. Accordingly, upon CRRA's issuance to Contractor of a notice to proceed with the Work (the "Notice To Proceed"), which Notice To Proceed shall be issued after the parties hereto receive all of the local, state and federal permits required for the Work hereunder, Contractor shall immediately commence performance of the Work and continue to perform the same during the term of this Agreement in accordance with the schedule set forth in attached Exhibit D in order to complete all of the Work and have such Work ready for CRRA's acceptance by the seventy-fifth (75th) day following the issuance of such Notice To Proceed (the "Completion Date"). CRRA and Contractor recognize the difficulties involved in proving actual damages and losses suffered by CRRA if the Work is not completed and ready for CRRA's acceptance by the Completion Date. Accordingly, instead of requiring any such proof, CRRA and Contractor agree that as liquidated damages for any such delay in completion or readiness for acceptance (but not as a penalty) Contractor shall pay CRRA five hundred and 00/100 (\$500.00) dollars for each calendar day beyond the Completion Date that Contractor fails to complete all of the Work or have the same ready for CRRA's acceptance until all such Work is completed by Contractor and readied by Contractor for acceptance by CRRA. The parties further agree that liquidated damages in this Section 4.02 are reasonable and have been agreed upon and intended by the parties because the damages expected under this Section are uncertain and difficult to prove.

<u>Section 4.03</u> <u>Termination</u>. CRRA may terminate this Agreement at any time by providing Contractor with ten (10) days' prior written notice of such termination. Upon receipt of such written notice from CRRA, Contractor shall immediately cease performance of all Work, unless otherwise directed in writing by CRRA. In the event that CRRA fails to pay Contractor any payments required to be paid hereunder in accordance with Article 3 hereof, then Contractor may terminate

5

this Agreement by providing CRRA with thirty (30) days' prior written notice of such termination. Prior to any termination of this Agreement, Contractor shall remove all of its personnel and equipment from the Property, restore any part of the Property, any of the improvements located or to be located thereon, including but not limited to any access roads, or any of the Work that requires restoration pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 4.04 hereof. Upon termination of this Agreement pursuant to this Section 4.03, (a) CRRA shall pay Contractor for all Work performed and completed by Contractor prior to the termination date, provided: (i) such Work has been performed and completed by Contractor in conformance with the Standards, (ii) payment for such Work has not been previously made or is not disputed by CRRA, (iii) Contractor is not in default hereunder and (iv) Contractor has performed and completed all its obligations under this Section 4.03 and Section 4.04 hereof to CRRA's satisfaction, and (b) CRRA shall have no further liability hereunder. Except for the payment that may be required pursuant to the preceding sentence, CRRA shall not be liable to Contractor in any other manner whatsoever in the event CRRA exercises its right to terminate this Agreement.

<u>Section 4.04 - Restoration</u>. Unless otherwise directed in writing by CRRA, Contractor shall: (i) restore any part of the Property or any of the improvements located or to be located thereon, other than those areas of the Property or such improvements improved by Contractor pursuant to this Agreement, disturbed or damaged by Contractor or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, subcontractors or materialmen to the same condition existing immediately prior to such disturbance or damage; and (ii) restore or repair any completed Work so disturbed or damaged to the condition required by the Contract Documents for acceptance of such Work by CRRA.

ARTICLE 5 INDEMNIFICATION

Section 5.01. - Contractor's Indemnity. Contractor shall at all times defend, indemnify and hold harmless CRRA and its board of directors, officers, agents and employees from and against any and all claims, damages, losses, judgments, liability, workers' compensation payments and expenses (including but not limited to attorneys' fees) arising out of injuries to the person (including death), damage to property or any other damages alleged to have been sustained by: (a) CRRA or any of its directors, officers, agents, employees or other contractors, or (b) Contractor or any of its directors, officers, agents, employees or other contractors, or (c) any other person, to the extent any such injuries, damage or damages are caused or alleged to have been caused in whole or in part by the acts, omissions or negligence of Contractor or any of its directors, officers, agents, employees, subcontractors or materialmen. Contractor further undertakes to reimburse CRRA for damage to property of CRRA caused by Contractor or any of its directors, officers, agents, employees, subcontractors or materialmen, or by faulty, defective or unsuitable material or equipment used by it or any of them. The existence of insurance shall in no way limit the scope of this indemnification. Contractor's obligations under this Section 5.01 shall survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement.

6

Section 5.02 - Workmanship and Materials Warranty: Other Warranties and Guarantees. For a period of one (1) year following the Acceptance Date (the "Warranty Period"), Contractor warrants the workmanship, equipment, and materials, furnished under this Agreement for the Project against defects. If during or at the end of the Warranty Period CRRA determines that any of such workmanship, equipment or materials is or has become defective, Contractor shall, at its own cost and expense, promptly repair or replace such defective workmanship, equipment or materials in order to render the same to the same condition as warranted above. Any repairs to or replacements of such workmanship, equipment or materials required under this Section 5.02 must be approved by CRRA before Contractor may commence performance of such repairs or replacements, and all such repairs or replacements shall be performed by Contractor in accordance with all applicable Standards. In connection therewith Contractor shall obtain all warranties and guarantees for all material and equipment furnished hereunder by Contractor that are assignable to CRRA. Contractor shall assign such warranties and guarantees to CRRA upon the Acceptance Date. Contractor's obligations under this Section 5.02 shall survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement.

ARTICLE 6 INSURANCE

<u>Section 6.01 - Required Insurance</u>. Contractor shall procure and maintain, at its own cost and expense, throughout the term of this Agreement and any extension thereof, the following insurance, including any required endorsements thereto and amendments thereof:

- (a) Commercial general liability insurance alone or in combination with commercial umbrella insurance with a limit of five million (\$5,000,000.00) dollars each occurrence covering liability arising from premises, operations, independent contractors, productscompleted operations, personal injury and advertising injury, and liability assumed under an insurance contract (including the tort liability of another assumed in a business contract);
- (b) Business automobile liability insurance alone or in combination with commercial umbrella insurance covering any auto (including owned, hired, and non-owned autos), with a limit of not less than one million (\$1,000,000.00) dollars each accident;
- (c) Workers' compensation with statutory limits and employers' liability limits of not less than five hundred thousand (\$500,000.00) dollars for each accident for bodily injury by accident or five hundred thousand (\$500,000.00) dollars for each employee for bodily injury by disease.
- (d) Contractor' property and equipment insurance covering all property and equipment to be used in connection with the Work hereunder in an amount equal to one hundred (100%) percent of actual cash value.
<u>Section 6.02 - Certificates</u>. Within ten (10) days after CRRA issues the Notice Of Award, Contractor shall submit to CRRA a certificate or certificates for each required insurance referenced in Section 6.01 above certifying that such insurance is in full force and effect and setting forth the information required by Section 6.03 below. Additionally, Contractor shall furnish to CRRA within thirty (30) days before the expiration date of the coverage of each required insurance set forth in Section 6.01 above, a certificate or certificates containing the information required by Section 6.03 below and certifying that such insurance has been renewed and remains in full force and effect.

<u>Section 6.03 - Specific Requirements</u>. All policies for each insurance required hereunder shall: (i) name CRRA as an additional insured (this requirement shall not apply to workers' compensation insurance, employers' liability insurance, or Contractor's property and equipment insurance); (ii) include a standard severability of interest clause; (iii) provide for not less than thirty (30) days' prior written notice to CRRA by registered or certified mail of any cancellation, restrictive amendment, non-renewal or change in coverage; (iv) contain a waiver of subrogation holding CRRA free and harmless from all subrogation rights of the insurer; and (v) provide that such required insurance hereunder is the primary insurance and that any other similar insurance that CRRA may have shall be deemed in excess of such primary insurance.

<u>Section 6.04 - Issuing Companies</u>. All policies for each insurance required hereunder shall be issued by insurance companies that are either licensed by the State of Connecticut and have a Best's Key Rating Guide of A- VII or better, or otherwise deemed acceptable by CRRA in its sole discretion.

<u>Section 6.05 - Contractor's Subcontractors</u>. Contractor shall either have its subcontractors covered under the insurance required hereunder, or require such subcontractors to procure and maintain the insurance that Contractor is required to procure and maintain under this Agreement.

<u>Section 6.06 - No Limitation on Liability</u>. No provision of this Article 6 shall be construed or deemed to limit Contractor's obligations under this Agreement to pay damages or other costs and expenses.

<u>Section 6.07 - Other Conditions</u>. CRRA shall not, because of accepting, rejecting, approving, or receiving any certificate of insurance required hereunder, incur any liability for: (i) the existence, non-existence, form or legal sufficiency of the insurance described on such certificate, (ii) the solvency of any insurer, or (iii) the payment of losses.

ARTICLE 7 MISCELLANEOUS

<u>Section 7.01 - Non-Discrimination</u>. Contractor agrees to the following; (1) Contractor agrees and warrants that in the performance of the Work for CRRA Contractor will not discriminate or permit discrimination against any person or group of persons on the grounds of race, color, religious creed, age, marital status, national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation, mental retardation or physical disability, including, but not limited to, blindness, unless it is shown by Contractor that such

disability prevents performance of the Work involved, in any manner prohibited by the laws of the United States or of the State of Connecticut. Contractor further agrees to take affirmative action to insure that applicants with job related qualifications are employed and that employees are treated when employed without regard to their race, color, religious creed, age, marital status, national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation, mental retardation, or physical disability, including, but not limited to, blindness, unless it is shown by Contractor that such disability prevents performance of the Work involved; (2) Contractor agrees, in all solicitations or advertisements for employees placed by or on behalf of Contractor, to state that it is an "affirmative action-equal opportunity employer" in accordance with regulations adopted by the Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (The "Commission"); (3) Contractor agrees to provide each labor union or representative of workers with which Contractor has a collective bargaining agreement or other contract or understanding and each vendor with which Contractor has a contract or understanding, a notice to be provided by the Commission, advising the labor union, workers' representative and vendor of Contractor's commitments under Sections 4a-60 and 4a-60a of the Connecticut General Statutes and to post copies of the notice in conspicuous places available to employees and applicants for employment; (4) Contractor agrees to comply with each applicable provision of Sections 4a- 60, 4a-60a, 46a-68e, and 46a-68f, inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes and with each regulation or relevant order issued by the Commission pursuant to Sections 46a-56, 46a-68e, and 46a-68f of the Connecticut General Statutes; and (5) Contractor agrees to provide the Commission with such information requested by the Commission, and permit access to pertinent books, records and accounts concerning the employment practices and procedures of Contractor as related to the applicable provisions of Sections 4a-60, 4a-60a and 46a-56 of the Connecticut General Statutes. If this Agreement is a public works contract, Contractor agrees and warrants that it will make good faith efforts to employ minority business enterprises as subcontractors and suppliers of materials in such public works project.

<u>Section 7.02 - Books and Records</u>. Contractor shall maintain proper books and records containing complete and correct information on all Work performed by Contractor pursuant to this Agreement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices. CRRA has the right to inspect and review all such books and records during Contractor's business hours.

<u>Section 7.03 - Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the parties hereto and concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes any previous agreements, written or oral, between the parties hereto and concerning the subject matter hereof.

<u>Section 7.04 - Governing Law</u>. This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed, interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Connecticut as such laws are applied to contracts between Connecticut residents entered into and to be performed entirely in Connecticut.

<u>Section 7.05 - Assignment</u>. This Agreement may not be assigned in whole or in part by either party without the prior written consent of the other party or such assignment shall be void.

<u>Section 7.06 - No Waiver</u>. Failure to enforce any provision of this Agreement or to require at any time performance of any provision hereof shall not be construed to be a waiver of such provision, or to affect the validity of this Agreement or the right of any party to enforce each and every

provision in accordance with the terms hereof. No waiver of any provision of this Agreement shall affect the right of CRRA or Contractor thereafter to enforce such provision or to exercise any right or remedy, available to it in the event of any other default involving such provision or any other provision. Making payment or performing pursuant to this Agreement during the existence of a dispute shall not be deemed to be and shall not constitute a waiver of any claims or defenses of the party so paying or performing.

<u>Section. 7.07 - Modification</u>. This Agreement may not be amended, modified or supplemented except by a writing signed by the parties hereto that specifically refers to this Agreement. Any oral representations or letters by the parties or accommodations shall not create a pattern or practice or course of dealing contrary to the written terms of this agreement unless this Agreement is formally amended, modified, or supplemented.

Section 7.08 - Status of Contractor. CRRA and Contractor acknowledge and agree that Contractor is acting as an independent contractor in performing any Work for CRRA hereunder and that Contractor shall perform such Work in its own manner and method subject to the terms of this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed or interpreted as creating a partnership, a joint venture, an agency, a master-servant relationship, an employer-employee relationship or any other relationship between CRRA and Contractor other than that of an owner and an independent contractor. Contractor is expressly forbidden from transacting any business in the name of or on account of CRRA, and Contractor has no power or authority to assume or create any obligation or responsibility for or on behalf of CRRA in any manner whatsoever.

<u>Section 7.9 - Subcontractors</u>. Contractor shall consult with CRRA before hiring any subcontractors to perform any Work hereunder. Contractor shall require all of its subcontractors to abide by the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Moreover, Contractor's subcontracts with such subcontractors shall specifically provide that, in the event of a default by Contractor thereunder or under this Agreement, CRRA may directly enforce such sub contracts and make payments thereunder. Contractor shall provide CRRA with all contracts, amendments, books, records, accounts, correspondence and other materials necessary to enforce such subcontracts. Also Contractor's subcontracts with its subcontractors shall specifically include CRRA as a third party beneficiary and shall provide that such subcontractors shall not be excused from any of their obligations under such subcontracts by reason of any claims, setoffs, or other rights whatsoever that they may have with or against Contractor other than through such subcontracts.

<u>Section 7.10 - Contractor's Employees</u>. All persons employed by Contractor shall be subject and responsible solely to the direction of Contractor and shall not be deemed to be employees of CRRA.

Section 7.11 - Mechanic's Liens. Contractor shall claim no interest in the Property or any equipment, fixtures or improvements located or to be located thereon, including but not limited to the Recycling Center or any part thereof. Contractor shall not file any mechanic's liens or other liens or security interests against CRRA or any of its properties, including but not limited to the Property. Contractor shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless CRRA against all costs associated with the filing of such liens or interests by Contractor or any of its subcontractors or materialmen. Before any subcontractor or materialman of Contractor commences any Work hereunder,

Contractor shall deliver to CRRA an original waiver of mechanic's liens properly executed by such subcontractor or materialman. If any mechanic's lien is filed against CRRA or any of its properties in connection with the Work hereunder, Contractor shall cause the same to be canceled and discharged of record within fifteen (15) days after the filing of such lien and, if Contractor fails to do so, CRRA may, at its option but without any obligation to do so, make any payment necessary to obtain such cancellation or discharge and the cost thereof, at CRRA's election, shall be either deducted from any payment due to Contractor hereunder or reimbursed to CRRA promptly upon demand by CRRA to Contractor.

<u>Section 7.12 - Bonds</u>. Within ten (10) days after CRRA issues the Notice Of Award, Contractor shall furnish CRRA with construction performance and payment bonds each in the full amount of Two Hundred Twenty-Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Three and 00/100 Dollars (the "Bonds"). The Bonds shall be in and drawn on the forms set forth in <u>Exhibits E and F</u> attached hereto and made a part hereof, and such Bonds shall be issued and executed by a surety company or surety companies acceptable to CRRA. If the surety on any of the Bonds furnished by Contractor is declared a bankrupt or becomes insolvent or its right to do business is terminated in any state where any part of the Project is located or it ceases to meet the above requirements, Contractor shall immediately substitute another bond and surety, subject to the requirements set forth in this Section 7.12.

<u>Section 7.13 - Withholding Taxes and Other Payments</u>. No FICA (social security) payroll tax, state or federal income tax, federal unemployment tax or insurance payments, state disability tax or insurance payments or state unemployment tax or insurance payments shall be paid or deposited by CRRA with respect to Contractor, nor be withheld from payment to Contractor by CRRA. No workers' compensation insurance has been or will be obtained by CRRA on account of the Work to be performed hereunder by Contractor, or any of Contractor's employees or subcontractors. Contractor shall be responsible for paying or providing for all of the taxes, insurance and other payments described or similar to those described in this Section 7.13 and Contractor hereby agrees to indemnify CRRA and hold CRRA harmless against any and all such taxes, insurance or payments, or similar costs which CRRA may be required to pay in the event that Contractor's status hereunder is determined to be other than that of an independent contractor.

<u>Section 7.14 - Sales and Use Tax Exemption</u>. Under Section 12-426-18 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, Contractor is permitted to purchase materials and supplies, which are to be physically incorporated into and become a permanent part of the Recycling Center (including all ancillary improvements thereto), without payment of Connecticut sales and use tax. In addition, pursuant to Section 12-412 (92) of the Connecticut General Statutes the sales of any services or tangible personal property to be incorporated into the Recycling Center (including all ancillary improvements thereto) or consumed in the operation thereof are exempt from Connecticut sales and use tax. CRRA is also exempt from the payment of sales and use tax under Section 22a-270 of the Connecticut General Statutes. Accordingly, Contractor hereby represents that no such tax is included in the Contract Price, and Contractor shall not charge or pass through any such tax to CRRA.

<u>Section 7.15 - Proprietary Information</u>. Contractor shall not use, publish, distribute, sell or divulge any information obtained from CRRA by virtue of this Agreement for Contractor's own purposes or for the benefit of any person, firm, corporation or other entity (other than CRRA) without the prior written consent of CRRA. Any report or other work product prepared by Contractor in connection with the performance of the Work hereunder shall be owned solely and exclusively by CRRA and cannot be used by Contractor for any purpose beyond the scope of this Agreement without the prior written consent of CRRA.

Section 7.16 - Site and Subsurface Conditions. All information and data shown or indicated in the Contract Documents with respect to underground facilities, surface conditions, subsurface conditions or other conditions at or contiguous to the Site are furnished for information only and CRRA does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of such information and data. Contractor acknowledges and agrees that CRRA does not assume any responsibility for such information and data and that Contractor is solely responsible for investigating and satisfying itself as to all actual and existing Site conditions, including but not limited to surface conditions, subsurface conditions and underground facilities. Contractor has carefully studied all such information and data and Contractor has obtained and carefully studied (or assumes responsibility for having done so) all such additional or supplementary examinations, investigations, explorations, tests, studies and data concerning conditions (including but not limited to surface conditions, subsurface conditions and underground facilities) at or contiguous to the Site and all other conditions or factors which may affect cost, progress, performance, furnishing or completion of the Work or which relate to any aspect of the means, methods, techniques, sequences, and procedures of construction or performance of the Work to be employed by Contractor and safety precautions and programs incident thereto. Contractor does not consider that any additional examinations, investigations, explorations, tests, studies or data are necessary for Contractor to conclusively determine, and Contractor has so determined, that the Work can be performed, furnished and completed in accordance with the Contract Time, the Contract Price and the other terms and conditions of the Contract Documents. In the event that the information or data shown or indicated in the Contract Documents with respect to underground facilities or surface, subsurface or other conditions at or contiguous to the Site differs from conditions encountered by Contractor during performance of the Work, there shall be no increase in the Contract Price and/or no extension of the Contract Time as a result of such differing conditions, unless CRRA, in its sole and absolute discretion, agrees in writing to such increase and/or extension.

<u>Section 7.17 - Notices</u>. All notices, requests, demands and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given if mailed via certified first class mail return receipt requested postage prepaid or overnight express mail service to the pertinent address below.

(a) If to CRRA:

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority 100 Constitution Plaza - 17th Floor Hartford, Connecticut 06103-1722 Attention: President With a copy to:

Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority 100 Constitution Plaza – 17th Floor Hartford, Connecticut 06103-1722 Attention: Michael Tracey

(b) If to Contractor:

Central Construction Industries, LLC 30 Harris Street Putnam, CT 06260 Attention: Bruce Richards

Section 7.18 - Prevailing Wages. Contractor hereby represents that the Contractors Wage Certification Form, as executed by Contractor and attached hereto as **Exhibit G** and made a part hereof, has been submitted to the State of Connecticut's Department of Labor. Contractor shall pay wages on an hourly basis to any mechanic, laborer or workman employed upon the Work herein and the amount of payment or contribution paid or payable on behalf of each such employee to an employee welfare fund, as defined in Section 31-53(h) of the Connecticut General Statutes, at rates equal to the rates customary or prevailing for the same work in the same trade or occupation in the town in which the Work is being conducted, which rates are more specifically set forth in Exhibit H attached hereto and made a part hereof. If Contractor is not obligated by agreement to make payment or contribution on behalf of such employees to any such employee welfare fund, Contractor shall pay to each employee as part of his or her wages the amount of payment or contribution for his or her classification on each pay day. Contractor shall keep, maintain and preserve records relating to the wages and hours worked by each employee and a schedule of the occupation or work classification at which each mechanic, laborer or workman on the Project is employed during each work day and week in such manner and form as the labor commissioner establishes to assure the proper payments due to such employees or employee welfare funds under Sections 31-53 and 31-54 of the Connecticut General Statutes. Pursuant to Section 31-53(f) of the Connecticut General Statutes Contractor shall complete and submit to CRRA on a weekly basis during the term of this Agreement and any extension thereof the payroll certification forms set forth in **Exhibit I** attached hereto and made a part hereof. Contractor hereby represents and covenants that it is not now, and has not been for at least three (3) years previous to the Effective Date, listed by the labor commissioner as a person who has violated laws and regulations relating to prevailing wages.

<u>Section 7.19 - Benefit and Burden</u>. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

<u>Section 7.20 - Severability</u>. CRRA and Contractor hereby understand and agree that if any part, term or provision of this Agreement is held by any court to be invalid, illegal or in conflict with any applicable law, the validity of the remaining portions of this Agreement shall not be affected, and the rights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced as if this Agreement did not contain the particular part, term or provision held to be invalid, illegal or in conflict with any applicable law.

<u>Section 7.21 - Usage</u>. Whenever nouns or pronouns are used in this Agreement, the singular shall mean the plural, the plural shall mean the singular, and any gender shall mean all genders or any other gender, as the context may require. The words "herein", "hereof" and "hereunder" and words of similar import refer to this Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article, Section or Subsection unless the particular Article, Section, or) subsection is specifically referenced.

<u>Section 7.22 - Captions</u>. The captions contained in this Agreement have been inserted for convenience only and shall not affect/or be effective to interpret, change or restrict the terms/or provisions of this Agreement.

<u>Section 7.23 - Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts by the parties hereto. Each such counterpart so executed shall be deemed to be an original and all such executed counterparts shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have set their hands and seals as of the day and year first written above.

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

By_	

Duly authorized

CONTRACTOR

By

Its

Duly authorized

EXHIBIT A

PLANS

The following plans are hereby incorporated by referenced and made a part of this Agreement as if such plans had been attached in their entirety to this Agreement:

"Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Mid-Connecticut Project Consolidation of Container and Paper Operations at Regional Recycling Center 211 Murphy Road, Hartford, Connecticut, May 2003, CRRA Contract No. 034192, URS Corporation AES Sheet No. Cover Sheet, S001, S002, S003 and CRRA DWG A-1, Miscellaneous Details, Canopy Section".

<u>EXHIBIT B</u>

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Section

<u>Title</u>

|--|

EXHIBIT C

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Section	Title
02060 02220	Building Demolition Structural Excavation
02230	Earthwork Protection
02240	Structural Fill
03300	Cast-In-Place Concrete
05120	Structural Steel

EXHIBIT D

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Completion Date: A total of seventy-five (75) days are allowed to complete the Work and have such Work ready for acceptance by CRRA. Contractor shall commence performance of the Work upon CRRA's issuance to Contractor of the Notice To Proceed pursuant to Section 4.02 of the Agreement.

TAB 7

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL OF THE CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY ETHICS POLICY

RESOLVED: That the Board hereby affirmatively approves and endorses the new Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Ethics Policy document as presented and discussed at this meeting. Enclosed please find the following documents:

- 1. A Resolution for the CRRA Board to approve the revised CRRA Ethics Policy.
- 2. Final version of the revised CRRA Ethics Policy that was created by the Policy & Procurement Committee. This final version may be considered for adoption by the full CRRA Board at its July 17, 2003, Board Meeting.
- 3. A red-lined version of the revised Ethics Policy that highlights the comments/revisions suggested by the State of Connecticut Ethics Commission.
- 4. A copy of the new CRRA Bylaws that were approved by the CRRA Board at its June, 2003, Board meeting and became effective on June 20, 2003.

AMENDED AND RESTATED

· CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

ETHICS POLICY

APPROVED BY CRRA BOARD OF DIRECTORS _____, 2003

EFFECTIVE _____, 2003

<u>PREAMBLE</u>

The Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") hereby adopts this Ethics Policy ("Policy") replacing the April 29, 1988 revision. CRRA supports the spirit and letter of law of the Connecticut Code of Ethics for Public Officials as embodied in Connecticut General Statutes §§1-79 et seq. ("Code"). Any violation of their Policy and or the Code may result in disciplinary action and a termination as set forth in CRRA's Personnel Policy.

Section 1. Definitions

This Policy adopts the definitions in Connecticut General Statutes §1-79. The following terms, when used in this Policy, shall have the following meanings unless the context otherwise requires:

- "Board" means the entire membership of CRRA's Board of Directors as constituted pursuant to in Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes, as revised, including Ad Hoc Members;
- (b) "Chairman" means the chairman of the CRRA appointed by the Governor pursuant to subsection (c) of Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes;
- (c) "Employee" means any employee, whether full or part-time of CRRA;
- (d) "Gift" means that as set forth in Connecticut General Statutes § 1-79(e) as well as a payment, subscription, advance, forbearance, rendering of services, deposit of money, or anything of value unless consideration of equal or greater value is given in return. "Gift" shall not include those exceptions set forth in Connecticut General Statutes § 1-79(e)(1)-(16);
- (e) "Immediate Family" means any spouse, dependent or independent child, child's spouse, parent, sibling, grandchildren, or step-children;
- (f) "Member" means any designated, appointed ex officio, or ad hoc Member of CRRA's Board of Directors serving pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes including designees acting as alternates pursuant to subsection (i) of Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261;
- (g) "Organizational Synergy and Human Resources Committee" means the committee appointed by the Board to review all matters pertaining to compensation, benefits, duties and conduct of CRRA Employees; and .
- (h) "President" means the chief executive officer of CRRA appointed pursuant to subsection (d) of Section 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

CRRA will conduct an Ethics training session once a year in concert with the State Ethics Commission.

In the construction of this Policy words of the masculine gender shall mean and include correlative words of the feminine gender and words importing the singular number shall mean and include the plural number or vice versa where appropriate.

The Policy terms or provisions, are to be read in tandem with the Code, to the extent there is any conflict, Connecticut General Statute §1-79 et seq., shall take precedence, except where this Policy is more restrictive.

- Section 2. <u>Prohibited Activities for Present Public Officials, Members, and Employees of</u> <u>CRRA</u>
 - (a) <u>No Financial Interest</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall, while serving as such, have any financial interest in, or engage in, any business, employment, transaction or professional activity, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties, responsibilities or employment with CRRA, or the public interest as prescribed in the laws of the State of Connecticut.
 - (b) <u>No Employment</u>. No public official, Member or Employee shall accept other employment which will either impair his independence of judgment as to his responsibilities, official duties, or employment, or require him, or induce him, to disclose confidential information acquired by him in the course of and by reason of his responsibilities, official duties or employment with CRRA.
 - (c) <u>No Disclosure</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall willfully and knowingly disclose, for financial gain, to any other person, confidential information acquired by him in the course of and by reason of his responsibilities, official duties, or employment with CRRA. No public official, Member, or Employee shall use his position or any confidential information received through his holding such position to obtain financial gain for himself, his Immediate Family or business with which he is associated.
 - (d) <u>No Partnerships etc</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall agree to accept, or be a member or employee of a partnership, association, professional corporation or sole proprietorship which partnership, association, professional corporation or sole proprietorship agrees to accept any employment, fee or other things of value, or portion thereof, for appearing, agreeing to appear before CRRA.
 - (e) <u>No gifts or promises</u>. No person shall offer or give to a public official, Member or Employee, or such public official's, Member's, or Employees', Immediate Family, or a business with which the public official, Member or Employee is associated, *anything of value*, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment based on any understanding that the vote, official action or judgment of the public official, Member, Employee would be or had been influenced thereby.
 - (f) <u>No solicitation or acceptance of anything of value</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee, shall solicit or accept *anything of value*, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment based on any understanding that the vote, official action or judgment of the public official, Member or Employee, relating to the business of the CRRA would be or had been influenced thereby.
 - (g) <u>No \$100 + Contracts</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee, or individual in his Immediate Family, friend or a business with which he is associated, shall enter

into any contract with CRRA, valued at one hundred dollars (\$100.00) or more, other than a contract of employment as an Employee or pursuant to a court appointment, unless the contract has been awarded through an open and public process, including prior public offer and subsequent public disclosure of all proposals considered and the contract awarded. In no event shall the President or his Immediate Family, friend or business with which he is associated enter into any contract with Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as applying to any director or Member of CRRA's Board and who receives no compensation other than a per diem payments or reimbursements for actual and/or necessary expenses, or both, unless such Member has authority or control over the subject matter of the contract. Any contract made in violation of this section shall be voidable by a court of competent jurisdiction if suit is commenced within 90 days of the making of the contract.

- (h) <u>No knowing acceptance of anything of value from registrants</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee, or individual in his Immediate Family, shall knowingly anything of value, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment from a person known to be a registrant or anyone known to be acting on behalf of a registrant.
- (i) <u>No knowing acceptance, directly or indirectly, anything of value</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall knowingly accept, directly or indirectly, anything of value, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment, from any person they know or have reason to know: (1) Is doing business with or seeking to do business with Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority or (2) is engaged in activities which are involved or are regulated Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority.
- (j) <u>Must report</u>. Any person who: (1) is doing business with or seeking to do business with CRRA (2) is engaged in activities which are directly regulated by or involved CRRA and (3) gives a public official, Member, or Employee anything of value which is subject to the reporting requirements pursuant to subsection (e) of Connecticut General Statute § 1-96 shall, not later than ten days thereafter, give such recipient a written report stating the name of the donor, a description of the item or items given, the value of such items, and the cumulative value of all items given to such person during the calendar year.
- (k) <u>No Fees</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall accept a fee or honorarium for an article, appearance or speech, or for participation at an event, in their official capacity, provided they might receive payment or reimbursement for necessary expenses for such activity in their official capacity.

If they receive such a payment or reimbursement for lodging or out-of-state travel or both, they shall, within thirty (30) days, file a report of the payment or reimbursement with the State Ethics Commission, unless the federal government or another state government provides the payment or reimbursement. If they do not file such report within such period, either intentionally or due to gross negligence on his part, they shall return the payment or reimbursement. If any failure to file such report is not intentional or due to gross negligence on the part of the public official, Member, or Employee shall not be subject to any penalty under this chapter.

When a public official, Member, or Employee attends an event in this state in the public official's Member's, or Employee's official capacity and is a principal speaker at such event and receives admission to or food or beverage at such event from the sponsor of the event, such admission or food or beverage shall not be considered a gift and no report shall be required from such official, Member or Employee or from the sponsor of the event.

- (1) <u>No knowing interference, influence, solicitation, or lobbying</u>. No public official, Member or Employee, or any person acting on their behalf, shall willfully and knowingly interfere with, influence, direct or solicit existing or new lobbying contracts, agreements or business relationships for or on behalf of any person.
- (m) <u>No Employment for One Year</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee who participates substantially in the negotiation or award of a contract valued at an amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) or more, or who supervised the negotiation or award of such a contract, shall accept employment with a party to the contract or negotiation other than the State for a period of one year after the earlier of (A) the date the contract is signed, or (B) the date the public official, Member, or Employee ceases to participate substantially in the negotiation or awarding of the contract.

Section 3. Ownership of bonds issued by the Authority

- (a) <u>No Purchase of CRRA bonds</u>. No bonds issued by CRRA shall be directly purchased by a public official, Member, or Employee of CRRA nor by individuals in their Immediate Family nor by any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children. The public officials, Members, or Employees shall list, in the financial statement filed pursuant to Connecticut General Statute § 1-83, any bonds issued by CRRA which were acquired prior to their Membership or Employment with CRRA and held in their own name or that of their Immediate Family or any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children.
- (b) Public officials, Member, and <u>Employees</u> of the CRRA shall disclose to the Chairman pursuant to this Policy and the Code any bonds issued by CRRA which were acquired prior to their employment with the CRRA and held in their own name or that of their spouses, dependent children or any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children.
- (c) Any public official, Member, or Employee who, in the discharge of his duties or by virtue of his position or through knowledge obtained as a public officer, Member or Employee of CRRA, would be required to, or would be able to, take any action which would affect bonds of CRRA held by him, his Immediate Family or any corporation, partnership or trust for his benefit or that of his spouse or dependent children, shall refrain from taking such action and shall not

participate in deliberations or influence or attempt to influence any decision of the Board, its Members or Employees, which would affect such bonds.

Section 4. Certain activities restricted after leaving CRRA

- (a) <u>Disclosure or Use of Confidential Information by Former Employee</u>. No former public official, Member, or Employee shall disclose or use confidential information acquired in the course of and by reason of his official duties, for financial gain for himself or another person.
- (b) <u>No Representation, other than CRRA</u>. No former public official, Member, or Employee shall represent anyone other than CRRA concerning any particular matter: (1) in which he participated personally and substantially while at CRRA; and (2) in which the Statehas a substantial interest.
- (c) <u>No Representation for One Year</u>. No former public official, Member or Employee of CRRA shall, for one year after leaving the Board or employment with the CRRA, represent anyone, other than CRRA, for compensation, before the CRRA, concerning any matter in which the CRRA has a substantial interest.
- (d) <u>No Employment for One Year</u>. No former public officials, Member, or Employee who: (1) participated substantially in the negotiation or award of (A) a CRRA contract valued at an amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) or more or (B) a written agreement for the approval of a payroll deduction described in Connecticut General Statute § 3-123g; or (2) who supervised the negotiation of award of such a contract or agreement, shall accept employment with a party to the contract or negotiation other than the State for a period of one year after the earlier (A) the date the contract is signed, or (B) the date the public official, Member, or Employee ceases to participate substantially in the negotiation or award of the contract.

Section 5. Interest in conflict with discharge of duties

A public official, Member, or Employee has an interest which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties or employment, if he has reason to believe or expect that he or his Immediate Family, friend, or a business with which he is associated will derive a direct monetary gain or suffer a direct monetary loss, as the case may be, by reason of his official activity or employment with CRRA. He does not have an interest, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties, if any benefit or detriment accrues to him or his Immediate Family as a member of a business, profession, occupation or group to no greater extent than any other member of such business, profession, occupation or group. A public official, Member, Employee who has a substantial conflict may not take action on the matter.

Section 6. Procedure when discharge of duty affects financial interests.

Any public official, Member or Employee who, in the discharge of his official duties, or employment would be required to take an action that would affect a financial interest of himself, his spouse, parent, brother, sister, child, or the spouse of a child, friend, or a business with which he is associated, other than an interest of a de minimis nature or an interest that is not distinct from that of a substantial segment of the general public, shall: (1) if he is a Member, excuse himself from voting or deliberating or taking action or prepare a written statement signed under penalty of false statement describing the matter requiring action and the nature of the potential conflict and explaining why despite the potential conflict, he is able to vote and otherwise participate fairly, objectively and in the public interest and deliver a copy of the statement to the Board and the State Ethics Commission and enter a copy of the statement in the minutes of the Board; (2) If he is a public official, or Employee, he shall prepare a written statement signed under penalty of false statement describing the matter requiring action and the nature of the potential conflict and deliver a copy of the statement to his immediate superior, if any, who shall assign the matter to another employee, or if he has no immediate superior, he shall take such steps as the Commission shall prescribe or advise.

<u>Section 7.</u> <u>Consultants and independent contractors -- Prohibited activities.</u>

- (a) No person hired by CRRA as a consultant or independent contractor shall: (1) Use the authority provided to him under the contract, or any confidential information acquired in the performance of the contract, to obtain financial gain for himself, his employees or a member of his immediate family; (2) Accept another State contract which would impair his independent judgment in the performance of the existing contract;
- (b) No person shall give anything of value to a person hired by the CRRA as a consultant or independent contractor based on an understanding that the actions of the consultant or independent contractor on behalf of the CRRA would be influenced.

Section 8. CRRA Management.

If CRRA management, in its best business judgment, reasonably thinks that a public official, Member, or Employee of CRRA may have violated the Code of Ethics or this Policy, it shall consult with the State Ethics Commission on how to handle the situation and, where appropriate, ask the Ethics Commission to conduct a formal investigation.

Section 9. Authority of the President and Board after Finding

- (a) The President, in consultation with the Organizational Synergy & Human Resources Committee ("Committee") shall have authority to do any or all of the actions listed below after a finding, formal or informal, by the State Ethics Commission of a violation of the Code, or a settlement of an investigation by the Ethics Commission of a Public Official, Member or Employee:
 - (1) Order the individual to cease and desist the violation;
 - (2) Issue a reprimand and place a copy in the personnel records of the individual;
 - (3) Temporarily or permanently demote the person;
 - (4) Suspend the employment of the individual, with or without pay; or
 - (5) Terminate his employment or relationship with CRRA.

Prior to sanctioning an individual for violation of the Code or this Policy, the President shall confer with the Committee.

(b) If a public official, Member, or Employee, under investigation by the State Ethics Commission, settles with the State Ethics Commission, the President, after consultation with the Organizational Synergy & Human Resources Committee, may take whatever action to protect CRRA from further abuse, including but not limited to, prohibiting said individual from dealing with or being involved with the activities which were the subject of the investigation.

LegalContractsForms/Policies/FinalBoardCopyEthicsPolicyJuly72003

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

ETHICS POLICY

PREAMBLE

The Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") hereby adopts this Ethics Policy ("Policy") replacing the April 29, 1988 revision. CRRA supports the spirit and letter of law of the Connecticut Code of Ethics for Public Officials as embodied in Connecticut General Statutes §§1-79 et seq. ("Code"). Any violation of their Policy and<u>\or</u> the Code may result in disciplinary action and a termination as set forth in CRRA's Personnel Policy.

Section 1. Definitions

This Policy adopts the definitions in Connecticut General Statutes §1-79. The following terms, when used in this Policy, shall have the following meanings unless the context otherwise requires:

- (a) "Board" means the entire membership of CRRA's Board of Directors as constituted pursuant to in Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes, as revised, including Ad Hoc Members;
- (b) "Chairman" means the chairman of the CRRA appointed by the Governor pursuant to subsection (c) of Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes;-
- (c) "Employee" means any employee, whether full or part-time of CRRA;
- (d) "Giff" means that as set forth in Connecticut General Statutes § 1-79(e) as well as a payment, subscription, advance, forbearance, rendering of services, deposit of money, or anything of value unless consideration of equal or greater value is given in return. "Giff" shall not include those exceptions set forth in Connecticut General Statutes § 1-79(e)(1)-(16);
- (e) "Immediate Family" means any spouse, dependent or independent child, child's spouse, parent, sibling, or grandchildren, or step-children;
- (f) "Member" means any designated, appointed ex officio, or ad hoc Member of CRRA's Board of Directors serving pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes including designees acting as alternates pursuant to subsection (i) of Connecticut General Statutes § 22a-261;
- (g) "Organizational Synergy and Human Resources Committee" means the committee appointed by the Board to review all matters pertaining to compensation, benefits, duties and conduct of CRRA Employees: and .

(h)"Person" means that as set forth in Connecticut General Statutes §1-79(i);

(i)(h) "President" means the chief executive officer of CRRA appointed pursuant to subsection (d) of Section 22a-261 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

CRRA will conduct an Ethics <u>training session</u> review once a year in concert with the State Ethics Commission.

In the construction of this Policy words of the masculine gender shall mean and include correlative words of the feminine gender and words importing the singular number shall mean and include the plural number or vice versa where appropriate.

The Policy terms or provisions, are to be read in tandem with the Code, to the extent there is any conflict, Connecticut General Statute §1-79 et seq., shall take precedence, except where this Policy is more restrictive.

- Section 2. <u>Prohibited Activities for Present Public Officials, Members, and Employees of</u> <u>CRRA</u>
 - (a) <u>No Financial Interest</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall, while serving as such, have any financial interest in, or engage in, any business, employment, transaction or professional activity, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties, responsibilities or employment with CRRA, or the public interest as prescribed in the laws of the State of Connecticut.
 - (b) <u>No Employment</u>. No public official, Member or Employee shall accept other employment which will either impair his independence of judgment as to his responsibilities, official duties, or employment, or require him, or induce him, to disclose confidential information acquired by him in the course of and by reason of his responsibilities, official duties or employment with CRRA.
 - (c) <u>No Disclosure</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall willfully and knowingly disclose, for financial gain, to any other person, confidential information acquired by him in the course of and by reason of his responsibilities, official duties, or employment with CRRA. No public official, Member, or Employee shall use his position or any confidential information received through his holding such position to obtain financial gain for himself, his Immediate Family or business with which he is associated.
 - (d) <u>No Partnerships etc.</u> No public official, Member, or Employee shall agree to accept, or be a member or employee of a partnership, association, professional corporation or sole proprietorship which partnership, association, professional corporation or sole proprietorship agrees to accept any employment, fee or other things of value, or portion thereof, for appearing, agreeing to appear before CRRA-as set forth in Connecticut General Statute §1-84(d).
 - (e) <u>No gifts or promises</u>. No person who shall offer or give to a public official, Member or Employee, or such public official's, Member's, or Employees', Immediate Family, or a business with which the public official, Member or Employee is associated, *anything of value*, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment based on any

understanding that the vote, official action or judgment of the public official, Member, Employee would be or had been influenced thereby.

<u>No solicitation or acceptance of anything of value</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee, shall solicit or accept *anything of value*, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment based on any understanding that the vote, official action or judgment of the public official, Member or Employee, relating to the business of the CRRA would be or had been influenced thereby.

No \$100 + Contracts. No public official, Member, or Employee, or individual in his Immediate Family, friend or a business with which he is associated, shall enter into any contract with CRRA, valued at one hundred dollars (\$100.00) or more, other than a contract of employment as an Employee or pursuant to a court appointment, unless the contract has been awarded through an open and public process, including prior public offer and subsequent public disclosure of all proposals considered and the contract awarded. In no event shall the President or his Immediate Family, friend or business with which he is associated enter into any contract with Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as applying to any director or Member of CRRA's Board and who receives no compensation other than a per diem payments or reimbursements for actual and/or necessary expenses, or both, unless such Member has authority or control over the subject matter of the contract. Any contract made in violation of this section shall be voidable by a court of competent jurisdiction if suit is commenced within 90 days of the making of the contract.

(h) <u>No knowing acceptance of anything of value</u> from registrants. No public official, Member, or Employee, or individual in his Immediate Family, shall knowingly anything of value, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment from a person known to be a registrant or anyone known to be acting on behalf of a registrant. <u>CRRA</u>

No knowing acceptance, directly or indirectly, anything of value. No public official, Member, or Employee shall knowingly accept, directly or indirectly, anything of value, including but not limited to, a gift, loan, political contribution, reward or promise of future employment, from any person they know or have reason to know: (1) Is doing business with or seeking to do business with Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority or (2) is engaged in activities which are involved or are regulated Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority. No person shall knowingly give, directly or indirectly, any gift or gifts in violation of this provision.

<u>Must report</u>. Any person who: (1) is doing business with or seeking to do business with CRRA (2) is engaged in activities which are directly regulated by or involved CRRA and (3) gives a public official, Member, or Employee anything of value which is subject to the reporting requirements pursuant to subsection (e) of Connecticut General Statute § 1-96 shall, not later than ten days thereafter, give such recipient a written report stating the name of the donor, a description of the

(j)

(i)

(f)

(g)

item or items given, the value of such items, and the cumulative value of all items given to such person during the calendar year. comply with said section.

<u>No Fees</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee shall accept a fee or honorarium for an article, appearance or speech, or for participation at an event, in <u>histheir</u>-official capacity, provided they might receive payment or reimbursement for necessary expenses for such activity in <u>their his</u>-official capacity.

If they receive such a payment or reimbursement for lodging or out-of-state travel or both, they shall, within thirty (30) days, file a report of the payment or reimbursement with the State Ethics Commission, unless the federal government or another state government provides the payment or reimbursement. If they do not file such report within such period, either intentionally or due to gross negligence on his part, they shall return the payment or reimbursement. If any failure to file such report is not intentional or due to gross negligence on the part of the public official, Member, or Employee shall not be subject to any penalty under this chapter.

When a public official, Member, or Employee attends an event in this state in the public official's Member's, or Employee's official capacity and is a principal speaker at such event and receives admission to or food or beverage at such event from the sponsor of the event, such admission or food or beverage shall not be considered a gift and no report shall be required from such official, Member or Employee or from the sponsor of the event.

<u>No knowing interference, influence, solicitation, or lobbying</u>. No public official, Member or Employee, or any person acting on their behalf, shall willfully and knowingly interfere with, influence, direct or solicit existing or new lobbying contracts, agreements or business relationships for or on behalf of any person.

(m) No Employment for One Year. No public official, Member, or Employee who participates substantially in the negotiation or award of a contract valued at an amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) or more, or who supervised the negotiation or award of such a contract, shall accept employment with a party to the contract or negotiation other than the State for a period of one year after the earlier of (A) the date the contract is signed, or (B) the date the public official, Member, or Employee ceases to participate substantially in the negotiation or awarding of the contract.

Section 3.

(k)

(1)

Ownership of bonds issued by the Authority

(a) <u>No Purchase of CRRA bonds</u>. No bonds issued by CRRA shall be directly purchased by a public official, Member, or Employee of CRRA nor by individuals in their Immediate Family nor by any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children. The public officials, Members, or Employees shall list, in the financial statement filed pursuant to Connecticut General Statute § 1-83, any bonds issued by CRRA which were acquired prior to their Membership or Employment with CRRA and held in their own name or that of their <u>Immediate Familyspouses</u>, dependent children or any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children.

- (b) <u>Public officials, Member, and Employees</u> of the CRRA shall disclose to the Chairman pursuant to this Policy and the Code any bonds issued by CRRA which were acquired prior to their employment with the CRRA and held in their own name or that of their spouses, dependent children or any corporation, partnership or trust for their benefit or that of their spouses or dependent children.
- (c) Any public official, Member, or Employee who, in the discharge of his duties or by virtue of his position or through knowledge obtained as a public officer, Member or Employee of CRRA, would be required to, or would be able to, take any action which would affect his monetary interest in bonds of CRRA held by him, his Immediate Family or any corporation, partnership or trust for his benefit or that of his spouse or dependent children, shall refrain from taking such action and shall not participate in deliberations or influence or attempt to influence any decision of the Board, its Members or Employees, which would affect such bonds.

Section 4. Certain activities restricted after leaving CRRA

- (a) <u>Disclosure or Use of Confidential Information by Former Employee</u>. No former public official, Member, <u>or Employee or shall disclose or use confidential</u> information acquired in the course of and by reason of his official duties, for financial gain for himself or another person.
- (b) <u>No Representation, other than CRRA</u>. No former public official, Member, or Employee shall represent anyone other than CRRA concerning any particular matter: (1) in which he participated personally and substantially while at CRRA; and (2) in which <u>the StateCRRA</u> has a substantial interest.
- (c) <u>No Representation for One Year</u>. No former public official, Member or Employee of CRRA shall, for one year (1) after leaving the Board or employment with the CRRA, represent anyone, other than CRRA, for compensation, before the CRRA, concerning any matter in which the CRRA has a substantial interest.

(d)The provisions of this subsection (c) apply to present or former CRRA public officials, Members, or Employees who hold or, formerly held positions, which involve significant decision making or supervisory responsibility and are designated as such by the State Ethics Commission in consultation with the CRRA, except that such provisions shall not apply to Members or former members of the Boards who serve ex officio, who are required by statute to represent the related industry, or who are permitted by statute to have a post or present affiliation with the regulated industry. Designation of positions subject to the provisions of this subsection shall be by regulations adopted by the State Ethics Commission in accordance with chapter 54. The term "employment" means professional services or other services rendered as an employee or as an independent

contractor.

- (e)(d) No Employment for One Year. No former public officials, Member, or Employee who: (1) participated substantially in the negotiation or award of (A) a CRRA contract valued at an amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) or more (\$50,000) or (B) a written agreement for the approval of a payroll deduction described in Connecticut General Statute § 3-123g; or (2) who supervised the negotiation of award of such a contract or agreement, shall accept employment with a party to the contract or <u>negotiation other than the State for a period of one year after the earlier (A) the date the contract is signed, or (B) the date the public official, Member, or Employee ceases to participate substantially in the <u>negotiation or award of the contract.</u> agreement CRRA for a period of (1) one year after his resignation from CRRA if his resignation occurs less than one year after the contract or agreement is signed.</u>
- (f)<u>No Employment for One Year</u>. No public official, Member, or Employee who participates substantially in the negotiation or award of a contract valued at an amount of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) or more, or who supervised the negotiation or award of such a contract, shall seek, accept, or hold employment with a party to the contract for a period of one year after the signing of the contract.

Section 5. Interest in conflict with discharge of duties

A public official, Member, or Employee has an interest which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties or employment, if he has reason to believe or expect that he or his Immediate Family, friend, or a business with which he is associated will derive a direct monetary gain or suffer a direct monetary loss, as the case may be, by reason of his official activity or employment with CRRA. He does not have an interest, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of his duties, if any benefit or detriment accrues to him or his Immediate Family as a member of a business, profession, occupation or group to no greater extent than any other member of such business, profession, occupation or group. A public official, Member, Employee who has a substantial conflict may not take action on the matter.

Section 6. Procedure when discharge of duty affects financial interests.

Any public official, Member or Employee who, in the discharge of his official duties, or employment would be required to take an action that would affect a financial interest of himself, his <u>spouse</u>, <u>parent</u>, <u>brother</u>, <u>sister</u>, <u>child</u>, <u>or the spouse of a child</u> <u>Immediate Family</u>, friend, or a business with which he is associated, other than an interest of a de minimis nature or an interest that is not distinct from that of a substantial segment of the general public, shall: (1) if he is a Member, <u>excuse himself from voting or deliberating or taking action be excused from voting or deliberation or taking action on the matter if he so requests, but if he does not make such request, he shall <u>or</u>-prepare a written statement signed under penalty of false statement describing the matter requiring action and the nature of the potential conflict and explaining why despite the</u> potential conflict, he is able to vote and otherwise participate fairly, objectively and in the public interest and deliver a copy of the statement to the Board <u>and the State Ethics Commission</u> and enter a copy of the statement in the minutes of the Board; (2) If he is a public official, or Employee, he shall prepare a written statement signed under penalty of false statement describing the matter requiring action and the nature of the potential conflict and deliver a copy of the statement to his immediate superior, if any, who shall assign the matter to another employee, or if he has no immediate superior, he shall take such steps as the <u>BoardCommission</u> shall prescribe or advise.

Section 7. Consultants and independent contractors -- Prohibited activities.

(a) No person hired by CRRA as a consultant or independent contractor shall: (1) Use the authority provided to him under the contract, or any confidential information acquired in the performance of the contract, to obtain financial gain for him<u>self</u>, his employees or a member of his immediate family; (2) Accept another <u>StateCRRA</u> contract which would impair his independent judgment in the performance of the existing contract;

(b) No person shall give anything of value to a person hired by the CRRA as a consultant or independent contractor based on an understanding that the actions of the consultant or independent contractor on behalf of the CRRA would be influenced.

Section 8. CRRA Mmanagement.

If CRRA management, in its best business judgment, reasonably thinks that a public official, Member, or Employee of CRRA may have violated the Code of Ethics or this Policy, it shall consult with the State Ethics Commission on how to handle the situation and, where appropriate, ask the Ethics Commission to conduct a formal investigation.

Section 9. Authority of the President and Board after Finding

- (a) The President, in consultation with the Organizational Synergy & Human Resources Committee ("Committee") shall have authority to do any or all of the actions listed below after a finding, formal or informal, by the State Ethics Commission of a violation of the Code-or-this Policy, or a settlement of an investigation by the Ethics Commission <u>of</u>by a Public Official, Member or Employee:
 - (1) Order the individual to cease and desist the violation;
 - (2) Issue a reprimand and place a copy in the personnel records of the individual;
 - (3) Temporarily or permanently demote the person;
 - (4) Suspend the employment of the individual, with or without pay; or
 - (5) Terminate his employment or relationship with CRRA.

Prior to sanctioning an individual for violation of the Code or this Policy, the President shall confer with the Committee.

(b)The President, in consultation with the Committee, after a finding, formal or informal, by the State Ethics Commission of a VCO by a vendor or contract or settlement with, shall have the authority to do any or all of the following, including, but not limited to:

- (1) Refuse to do business with that person or entity and/or
- (2) Rescind or otherwise cancel any contract or other agreement, which is materially related to the violation of any provision of the Code or this policy.
- (e)(b) If a public official, Member, or Employee, under investigation by the State Ethics Commission, settles with the State Ethics Commission, the President, after consultation with the Organizational Synergy & Human Resources Committee, may take whatever action to protect CRRA from further abuse, including; but limited to those set forth in section (Section 9 (a), including, but not limited to, prohibiting said individual from dealing with or being involved with the activities which were the subject of the investigation.
- (d)Any person who knowingly acts in his financial interest in violation of this Policy or the Code or any person, who knowingly receives a financial advantage resulting from a violation of this Policy or the Code, shall be liable to CRRA for damages in the amount of such-advantage.

Policies/Ethics Policy June 25 03.

AMENDED AND RESTATED

BYLAWS

OF

CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY

EFFECTIVE JUNE 20, 2003

ARTICLE I - AUTHORITY FOR BYLAWS	L
101. Authority]
ARTICLE II - DEFINITIONS	t .
201. Definitions	l
ARTICLE III - BOARD OF DIRECTORS	2
301. Authority, Membership, Terms, Vacancies	,
302. Appointment of Chairperson	2
303. Ad Hoc Members	, 2
304. Duties) 2
305. Chairperson) 1
306. Vice Chairperson	† 1
307. Resignation, Removal	t 4
308. Delegation of Powers.	t 1
309. Standing and Special Committees	t 1
ARTICLE IV - OFFICERS	
401. Appointment	5
402. President) =
403. Delegation) =
404. Acting President) -
405. Chief Financial Officer) -
406 Secretary). -
406. Secretary) ~
407. Additional Duties)
400. Resignation)
409. Resignation)
ARTICLE V - MEETINGS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS	5
501. Regular Meetings	-
502. Special Meetings	Ś
503. Notice of Monthly or Special Meeting, Waiver	, 7
504. Quorum, Transaction of Business	7
505. Temporary Borrowing for Mid-Connecticut Project	2
506. Organization))
507. Executive Session))
508. Recessed Meeting	י א
509. Method of Voting	7 }
510. General Standards of Conduct for Directors, Ad Hoc Members	1 \
510. Ocherar Standards of Conduct for Directors, Ad Hoc Members	1 1
	,

Table of Contents

ARTICLE VI - PERSONNEL AND PROCUREMENT POLICIES		
ARTICLE VII - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	10	
701. Fiscal Year	10	
702. Budget Process	10	
702. Budget Process703. Director Expenses	11	
ARTICLE VIII - AMENDMENT OR REPEAL OF BYLAWS		
801. Amendment or Repealer	11	
ARTICLE IX - INDEMNIFICATION OF OFFICERS OR DIRECTORS		
901. Indemnification		
902. Payment of Current Expenses	12	
ARTICLE X - SEAL, PLACE OF BUSINESS AND RECORDS		
1001. Seal of Authority	12	
1002. Office of the Authority	12	
1003. Records of the Authority	12	

ARTICLE I <u>AUTHORITY FOR BYLA</u>WS

101. <u>Authority</u>. These Bylaws are adopted pursuant to the Act and the General Statutes Section 22a-265(6) and supplement and implement certain provisions of the Act.

ARTICLE II DEFINITIONS

201. <u>Definitions</u>. Unless the context shall otherwise require, the following words and terms shall have the following meanings (if there is a conflict between these Bylaws and the Act, the Act shall govern):

(a) "Act" means Chapter 446e of the General Statutes of Connecticut, Section 22a-257 *et seq.*, as amended from time to time, commonly known as the "Connecticut Solid Waste Management Services Act" or the Authority's enabling act.

(b) "Ad Hoc Member" or "Ad Hoc Members" means an individual or individuals appointed pursuant to Section 22a-261(g) of the Act. Pursuant to the Act, the term "Ad Hoc Member" does not include Director.

(c) "Authority" means the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority, a body politic and corporate, constituting a public instrumentality and political subdivision of the State of Connecticut, created and established by the Act.

(d) "Board" means the Board of Directors of the Authority.

(e) "Chairperson" means the Chairperson of the Authority as referred to in Section 22a-261(c) of the Act and Article III of these Bylaws.

(f) "Director" or "Directors" means an individual or individuals appointed to the Board pursuant to Section 22a-261 of the Act and Article III of these Bylaws or an individual who is a voting ex-officio member of the Board, pursuant to Section 22a-261 of the Act and Article III of these Bylaws. Pursuant to the Act, the term "Director" does not include Ad Hoc Member.

(g) "Executive Session" means a meeting of the Board or a committee of the Board at which the public is excluded for one or more of the purposes described in Section 1-200(6) of the Freedom of Information Act.

(h) "Freedom of Information Act" means Section 1-200 *et seq*. of the General Statutes, as amended from time to time, commonly known as the "Freedom of Information Act".

(i) "General Statutes" means the General Statutes of Connecticut, Revision of 1958, as amended.

(j) "Municipal Official" means the first selectman, mayor, city or town manager or chief financial officer of a municipality that has entered into a solid waste disposal services contract with the Authority and pledged the municipality's full faith and credit for the payment of obligations under such contract.

(k) "President" means the President of the Authority appointed by the Chairperson with the approval of the Directors pursuant to Section 22a-261(d) of the Act who shall be the Chief Executive Officer of the Authority.

(1) "Project" means one of the four (4) waste management systems operated by the Authority and more commonly known as: (i) the Bridgeport Project; (ii) the Mid-Connecticut Project; (iii) the Southeast Project; or (iv) the Wallingford Project.

(m) "Vice Chairperson" means the Vice Chairperson of the Authority elected pursuant to Article III of these Bylaws.

ARTICLE III BOARD OF DIRECTORS

301. Authority, Membership, Terms, Vacancies.

(a) The powers of the Authority shall be vested in and exercised by the Board which may exercise all such authority and powers of the Authority and do all such lawful acts and things as are permitted by the Act or these Bylaws. The Board shall consist of thirteen (13) Directors defined by the Act as follows:

- (i) Three (3) appointed by the Governor, one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand (50,000) or less and one (1) of whom shall have extensive, high-level experience in the energy field;
- (ii) Two (2) appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official of a municipality having a population of more than fifty thousand (50,000) and one (1) of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or corporate finance or business or industry;
- (iii) Two (2) appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives, one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official of a municipality having a population of more than fifty thousand (50,000) and one (1) of whom shall have extensive high-level experience in public or corporate finance or business or industry;
- (iv) Two (2) appointed by the minority leader of the Senate, one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand (50,000) or less and one (1) of whom shall have extensive highlevel experience in public or corporate finance or business or industry;

- (v) Two (2) appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives, one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official of a municipality having a population of fifty thousand (50,000) or less and one (1) of whom shall have extensive, high-level experience in the environmental field;
- (vi) Two (2) voting ex-officio members, who shall be the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and the State Treasurer, or their designees.

(b)No Director may be a member of the General Assembly. Not more than two (2) Directors appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The appointed Directors shall serve for terms of four (4) years each, provided, of the Directors first appointed for terms beginning on June 1, 2002: (A) two (2) of the Directors appointed by the Governor, one (1) of the Directors appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate, one (1) of the Directors appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives, one (1) of the Directors appointed by the minority leader of the Senate, and one (1) of the Directors appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives shall serve an initial term of two (2) years and one month; and (B) the other appointed Directors shall serve an initial term of four (4) years and one month. The appointment of each Director for a term beginning on or after June 1, 2004, shall be made with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Director's term shall end upon the Director's death or resignation. Any vacancy occurring other than by expiration of term shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment for the balance of the unexpired term.

302. <u>Appointment of Chairperson</u>. Pursuant to Section 22a-261(c) of the Act, the Governor shall designate one of the Directors to serve as Chairperson of the Board, with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly. The Chairperson of the Board shall serve as Chairperson at the pleasure of the Governor.

303. <u>Ad Hoc Members</u>. Pursuant to Section 22a-261(g) of the Act, if the legislative body of a municipality that is the site of a Project (i.e. a host community) passes a resolution requesting the Governor to appoint a resident of such municipality to be an Ad Hoc Member, the Governor shall make such appointment upon the next vacancy for the Ad Hoc Members representing such Project. Pursuant to Section 22a-261(g) of the Act, the Governor shall appoint with the advice and consent of the General Assembly Ad Hoc Members to represent each Project <u>provided at least one-half (1/2) of such members shall be chief elected officials of municipalities</u>, or their designees. Each Project shall be represented by two (2) such members. The Ad Hoc Members shall be electors from a municipality or municipalities in the area to be served by the Project and shall vote only on matters concerning such Project. The terms of the Ad Hoc Members shall be four (4) years.

304. <u>Duties</u>. The Directors and Ad Hoc Members shall perform the duties imposed on them by the Act and by these Bylaws.

305. <u>Chairperson</u> The Chairperson shall perform the duties of a Director imposed by the Act, by these Bylaws and by resolution of the Directors, and shall preside at all meetings of the Directors.

306. <u>Vice Chairperson</u>. A Vice Chairperson may be elected by a majority vote of the Board. The Vice Chairperson shall preside over meetings of the Board of Directors in the absence of the Chairperson. In the absence or incapacity of the Vice Chairperson, or in case of his or her resignation or death, the Directors shall select, from their regular number, an acting Vice Chairperson during the time of such absence or incapacity or until such time as the Directors shall select a new Vice Chairperson. The Vice Chairperson shall serve until his or her successor is elected.

307. <u>Resignation; Removal</u>. Any appointed Director who fails to attend three (3) consecutive meetings of the Board or who fails to attend fifty percent (50%) of all meetings of the Board held during any calendar year shall be deemed to have resigned from the Board. For the purposes of this Section, Board meetings shall be deemed to include regular and special meetings. Any director may resign at any time by delivering notice to the Authority. A resignation is effective when the notice is delivered unless the notice specifies a later effective date. If a resignation is made effective at a later date and the Authority accepts the future effective date, the Board may fill the pending vacancy before the effective date, provided, that the successor is not permitted to take office until the effective date. The appointing authority for such Director may remove any such Director for inefficiency, neglect of duty or misconduct in office in the manner provided by Section 22a-261(1) of the Act.

308. <u>Delegation of Powers</u>. The Directors may, by resolution, delegate to the President such powers of the Authority, as they believe necessary, advisable or desirable to permit the timely performance of the administrative functions of the Authority and to carry out the plans, policies, procedures and decisions of the Directors, pursuant to Section 22a-277 of the Act.

309. Standing and Special Committees. The Directors and Ad Hoc Members may delegate from time to time, as necessary or convenient, in conformity with the provisions of the Act or these Bylaws, committees comprised of three (3) or more Directors at least one (1) of whom shall be a Municipal Official, and at least one (1) of such Directors shall not be a State employee. An Ad Hoc Member shall be eligible to serve only on a special committee concerning the Project relating to his or her appointment. Such committee or committees shall have, and may exercise, all such authority as the Directors may delegate, including the power to adopt a resolution upon a majority vote of the Members of the Committee at which a quorum is present. The Chairperson shall recommend the name of all standing committees and shall appoint a committee chairperson and all members of such committees. The Chairperson shall be an exofficio voting member of all committees. A quorum for the transaction of business or the exercise of any power of a committee shall consist of a majority of the members of the committee other than the Chairperson. A committee shall have the power to act by a majority of the members present at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance. Each committee may elect a committee secretary and vice chairperson and shall maintain at all times minutes of its meetings including its considerations, deliberations, decisions and resolutions, and shall distribute copies of such minutes to committee members and to the Board as appropriate.

ARTICLE IV OFFICERS

401. <u>Appointment</u>. The Board shall have the power to create positions for and employ such officers as it may deem to be in the interests of the Authority and in accordance with Section 22a-265 of the Act, and shall define the powers and duties of all such officers. All such officers shall be subject to the orders of the Board and serve at its discretion. Such officers shall include a President, appointed by the Chairperson in accordance with Section 22a-261(d) of the Act and Section 402 of these Bylaws. The Board shall be responsible for determining compensation for each officer. The act of appointment of an officer does not in and of itself create contract rights for such officer of the Authority.

402. <u>President</u>. The Chairperson shall, with the approval of the Directors, appoint a President of the Authority who shall be an employee of the Authority and be paid a salary prescribed by the Directors. The President shall supervise the administrative affairs and technical activities of the Authority in accordance with the directives of the Board, and shall perform all duties incident to the office of the President, including those duties imposed by the Act, by these Bylaws and by resolution of the Authority. The authorization of the President with respect to the Authority or certification as to the proceedings of the Authority or any of the official documents and papers of the Authority on file in the Authority's office shall be deemed to be conclusive and binding on the Authority.

403. <u>Delegation</u>. The President may, with the approval of the Directors, assign or delegate to the officers and employees of the Authority, any of the powers that, in the opinion of the President, may be necessary, desirable or appropriate for the prompt and orderly transaction of the business of the Authority.

404. <u>Acting President</u>. The Directors, by a majority vote, may by resolution appoint some other person to serve as Acting President and perform the duties of the President in the event of the death, inability, absence or refusal to act of the President. Such person shall be subject to all of the same restrictions placed upon the President.

405. <u>Chief Financial Officer</u>. The Chairperson shall, with the approval of the Directors, appoint a Chief Financial Officer of the Authority who shall be an employee of the Authority and paid a salary prescribed by the Directors. The Chief Financial Officer shall direct the finance, accounting, budgetary, treasury and cash management functions of the Authority and shall perform such other duties as the Board shall delegate from time to time. The Chief Financial Officer shall perform his or her duties in a manner consistent with the directives of the Board, these Bylaws and all applicable statutes and regulations.

406. <u>Secretary</u>. The Chairperson shall, with the approval of the Directors, appoint a secretary who shall be an employee of the Authority. The Secretary shall be the custodian of the Seal of the Authority, shall keep or cause to be kept, minutes of all meetings of the Directors and Ad Hoc Members and shall have such other duties as shall be delegated to the Secretary from time to time.

407. <u>Additional Duties</u>. In addition to such powers and duties as are specified in the Act, these Bylaws and by the Board, the President and other officers of the Authority shall have the authority and shall be required to perform such other duties and functions which may by law and general usage pertain to the particular office and as may from time to time be required, unless the Board or the Act expressly state otherwise.

408. <u>Standards of Conduct</u>. An officer with discretionary authority shall discharge such authority: (i) in good faith; (ii) with the care an ordinarily prudent person in like position would exercise under similar circumstances; and (iii) in a manner the officer reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the Authority. In discharging such duties, an officer is entitled to rely on information, opinions reports or statements, including financial statements and other financial data, if prepared or presented by: (i) one or more officers or employees of the Authority whom the officer reasonably believes to be reliable and competent in the matters presented; or (ii) legal counsel, public accountants or other persons as to matters the officer reasonably believes are within the person's professional or expert competence. An officer cannot so rely in good faith if he has knowledge concerning the matter in question that makes reliance otherwise permitted by this section unwarranted.

409. <u>Resignation</u>. An officer may resign at any time by delivering notice to the Authority. A resignation is effective when the notice is delivered unless the notice specifies a later effective date. If a resignation is made effective at a later date and the Authority accepts the future effective date, the Board may fill the pending vacancy before the effective date, provided, that the successor is not permitted to take office until the effective date. An officer's resignation does not affect the Authority's contract rights, if any, with the officer.

ARTICLE V

MEETINGS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS

501. <u>Regular Meetings</u>. In accordance with Section 22a-263 of the Act, the Directors and Ad Hoc Members of the Authority shall meet at least monthly at the call of the Chairperson for the transaction of any lawful business of the Authority. The monthly meetings shall be held at such time and place as shall be designated in a written or printed notice of meeting given to the Directors and Ad Hoc Members at least three days prior thereto by the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson or President acting on behalf of the Chairperson. A schedule of regular monthly meetings of the Directors shall be filed not later than January 31 of each year in the office of the Secretary of the State and no meeting shall be held sooner than thirty (30) days after such schedule has been filed. A schedule of regular monthly meetings of the Directors and Ad Hoc Members shall be made available to the public through the internet by posting such schedule not more than seven (7) days after the schedule of meetings is established. The Board may permit any or all Directors to participate in a meeting by any means of communication by which all Directors participating may simultaneously hear each other during the meeting so long as the public is able to participate in such meeting.

502. <u>Special Meetings</u>. The Chairperson may, when he or she deems it expedient, and shall, whenever requested by any three Directors, call a special meeting of the Board for the purposes of transacting any business designated in the notice. A written or printed notice for a special meeting shall be given to each Director and Ad Hoc Member at least twenty-four hours

prior to the hour appointed for such special meeting. At such special meeting, no business shall be considered other than as designed in the notice. A notice of a call of a special meeting of the Directors and Ad Hoc Members shall be filed with Secretary of the State's Office as required by General Statutes Section 1-225(d). The Board may permit any or all Directors to participate in a meeting by any means of communication by which all Directors participating may simultaneously hear each other during the meeting so long as the public is able to participate in such meeting.

503. Notice of Monthly or Special Meeting; Waiver. Notices of each meeting of the Authority shall be in writing and may be given by U.S. mail, facsimile, e-mail, or other delivery to each Director and Ad Hoc Member in person or addressed to the last known business or residence address of such Directors and Ad Hoc Members. Whenever any notice is required to be given by law or by these Bylaws, a waiver thereof in writing, signed by the person or persons entitled to the notice, whether before or after the time stated therein, shall be deemed equivalent thereto. If any person present at a meeting does not protest the lack of proper notice, prior to or at the commencement of the meeting, such person shall be deemed to have waived notice of such meeting.

504. Quorum; Transaction of Business.

(a) Seven (7) Directors of the Authority shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the Authority, <u>provided</u>, the following individuals shall be present in order for a quorum to be in attendance: (a) at least one (1) ex-officio Director appointed to the Board pursuant to Section 22a-261(c) of the Act and Section 301(a), (v) of these Bylaws (or the designee of such ex-officio Director); and (b) at least two (2) Directors appointed to the Board in their capacity as a Municipal Official pursuant to Section 22a-261(c) of the Act. Only Directors, and not Ad Hoc Members, shall be counted in determining whether a quorum is present. For the transaction of any business or the exercise of any power of the Authority, and except as otherwise provided in the Act or these Bylaws, the Authority shall have power to act by a majority of the Directors present at any meeting at which a quorum is in attendance.

(b) The following actions require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Directors:

- (i) Adoption of the annual plan of Solid Waste Management Operations prepared in conjunction with the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to Section 22a-264 of the Act;
- (ii) Establishment of the maximum number of employees of the Authority prior to employing more than forty-five (45) persons; and
- (iii) Adoption of rules and procedures for purchasing and contracting operations pursuant to Section 22a-266(c) of the Act; and
- (iv) Adoption of contracts involving a period of over five (5) years in duration or for which the annual consideration is greater than fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) pursuant to Section 22a-268 of the Act;

(c) The affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Directors present and eligible to vote are required for the adoption of a resolution to sit in Executive Session pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and Section 507 of these Bylaws.

(d) The affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Directors and Ad Hoc Members present and eligible to vote are required for the addition of an agenda item at a regular meetings of the Board for subsequent business not already included in the meeting agenda on file at the principle office of the Authority twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such meeting.

505. <u>Temporary Borrowing for Mid-Connecticut Project</u>. Pursuant to Section 22a-268d of the Act, a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Directors appointed pursuant to Section 301(a), (i) through (v) of these Bylaws, with the subsequent approval of the State Treasurer and the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, may authorize a temporary borrowing from the State of Connecticut of up to one hundred fifteen million dollars (\$115,000,000) for the purposes of supporting the repayment of debt issued by the Authority on behalf of the Mid-Connecticut Project.

506. Organization. At each meeting of the Directors and Ad Hoc Members, the Chairperson, or in his or her absence the Vice Chairperson, or in the absence, abstention or recusal of both, a Director chosen by a majority of the Directors then present, shall act as presiding officer of said meeting. The Secretary or another officer or employee of the Authority designated by the President shall act as secretary of the meeting. The secretary of each meeting shall prepare and maintain or cause the preparation and maintenance of the minutes of all business transacted at such meeting.

507. Executive Session.

(a) The Directors may make a determination to sit in Executive Session. An affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Directors present and eligible to vote on such matter, taken at a public meeting and stating the reasons for such Executive Session, shall be necessary to approve such a resolution. The purpose and the conduct of the executive session shall be in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act and these Bylaws.

(b) The members of any committee of the Board may make a determination to sit in Executive Session. An affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the committee members present and eligible to vote on such matter, taken at a public meeting and stating the reasons for such Executive Session, shall be necessary to approve such a resolution. The purpose and the conduct of the Executive Session shall be in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act and these Bylaws.

(c) An Executive Session may be called for one or more of the following purposes:

(i) Discussion concerning the appointment, employment, performance, evaluation, health or dismissal of a public officer or employee, provided

that such individual may require that discussion be held at an open meeting;

- (ii) Strategy and negotiations with respect to pending claims or pending litigation to which the Authority or a member thereof, because of the member's conduct as a member of such agency is a party until such litigation or claim has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled;
- (iii) Matters concerning security strategy or the deployment of security personnel, or devices affecting public security;
- (iv) Discussion of the selection of a site or the lease, sale or purchase of real estate when publicity regarding such site, lease, sale, purchase or construction would cause a likelihood of increased price until such time as all of the property has been acquired or all proceedings or transactions concerning same have been terminated or abandoned; and
- (v) discussion of any matter which would result in the disclosure of public records or the information contained therein described in Section 1-210.

508. <u>Recessed Meeting</u>. The Directors and Ad Hoc Members may recess a regular or special meeting. A Director or Ad Hoc Member absent from a regular or special meeting at which a resolution is passed for a recessed meeting shall be notified at least one hour prior to the hour appointed for such reconvening of the recessed meeting.

509. <u>Method of Voting</u>. Unless otherwise required by the General Statutes, voting by the Directors and Ad Hoc Members shall be by voice vote or roll call at the discretion of the Chairperson. A tally of votes shall be taken and recorded in the Minutes of the meeting. A Director who is present at a meeting of the Board or a committee of the Board when corporate action is taken is deemed to have assented to the action unless: (i) the Director's dissent or abstention from the action taken is entered in the minutes of the meeting; or (ii) the Director delivers written notice of dissent or abstention to the Chairman before the adjournment of the meeting or to the Authority immediately after adjournment of the meeting. The right of dissent or abstention shall not be available to a Director who votes in favor of the action taken.

510. General Standards of Conduct for Directors, Ad Hoc Members. Each Director and Ad Hoc Member shall discharge his or her duties as a Director or Ad Hoc Member respectively, including duties as a member of any committee: (i) in good faith; (ii) with the care an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances; and (iii) in a manner such individual reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the Authority. In discharging a Director's or Ad Hoc Member's duties, such individual is entitled to rely on information, opinions, reports or statements, including financial statements and other financial data, if prepared or presented by: (i) one or more officers or employees of the Authority whom the Director or Ad Hoc Member reasonably believes to be reliable and competent in the matters presented; (ii) legal counsel, public accountants or other persons as to matters the Director or Ad Hoc Member reasonably believes are within the person's professional or expert competence; or (iii) a committee of the Board of which the Director or Ad Hoc Member is not a member if the Director or Ad Hoc Member reasonably believes the committee merits confidence. A Director or Ad Hoc Member is not acting in good faith if he or she has knowledge concerning the matter in question that makes reliance, otherwise permitted, unwarranted.

511. <u>No Invalidity</u>. Failure to follow any procedure provided for in these Bylaws shall not render any action taken by the Directors ineffective unless it is ineffective under law. It is intended that these Bylaws be consistent with the Act and with the Freedom of Information Act. If any inconsistency should nevertheless appear, the provisions of the applicable law shall control.

ARTICLE VI PERSONNEL AND PROCUREMENT POLICIES

601. The Directors shall establish from time to time such rules and regulations as may be necessary to provide an adequate and systematic procedure for handling the personnel affairs of the administrative staff of the Authority and for handling the procurement policies of the Authority.

ARTICLE VII FINANCIAL INFORMATION

701. <u>Fiscal Year</u>. The Fiscal Year of the Authority shall commence on the first day of July and end on the last day of the following June.

702. Budget Process. The President shall recommend to the Directors for their evaluation and adoption a fiscal year budget for the following: (i) Authority General Fund and Capital Improvement Budget - at least fifteen (15) days before the regular meeting of the Directors in February; (ii) Southeast Project Operating Budget - at least fifteen (15) days before the regular meeting of the Directors in November; (iii) Bridgeport Project Operating Budget - at least fifteen (15) days before the regular meeting of the Directors in January; (iv) Wallingford Project Operating Budget - at least fifteen (15) days before the regular meeting of the Directors in January; and (v) Mid-Connecticut Operating Budget - at least fifteen (15) days before the regular meeting of the Directors in February. Such proposed budgets shall contain an estimate of all revenues and receipts anticipated from all sources in the ensuing fiscal year, the estimated expenditures necessary for the operation of the various activities of the Authority for that year and a balanced relation between the total estimated expenditures and total anticipated revenues and receipts. The Directors shall review the proposed budget, modify it where appropriate, and then adopt a final budget no later than the budget deadlines established for each Project agreement. After adoption of the final budget, the President shall ensure the proper allocation of the budget to an established chart of accounts. Budget appropriations allocated to the accounts of the Authority shall not be exceeded without the prior approval of the Directors. The President may transfer funds within the line items for each Project without limit as long as each line item of each Project and the grand total of each fund is not exceeded without the prior approval of the Directors.

An Ad Hoc Member shall be eligible to vote only on the budget concerning the Project relating to his or her appointment.

703. <u>Director Expenses</u>. As provided by Section 22a-261(e) of the Act, Directors and Ad Hoc Members shall be entitled to reimbursement by the Authority for actual and necessary expenses incurred during the performance of their official duties. All reimbursements shall be made in a manner consistent with the Authority's Travel Policy and Expense Reporting.

ARTICLE VIII AMENDMENT OR REPEAL OF BYLAWS

801. <u>Amendment or Repeal</u>. These Bylaws may be repealed or amended, or new Bylaws may be adopted, only by the affirmative vote of the majority of a quorum of the full Board of Directors of the Authority at any regular or special meeting in a manner consistent with the Act. Action by the Board to adopt or amend a bylaw that changes a required voting requirement for the Board not fixed by the Act must meet the same quorum requirement and be adopted by the same vote required to take action under the quorum and voting requirement then in effect or proposed to be adopted, whichever is greater. The Authority may adopt rules for the conduct of its business, and the adoption of such rules shall not constitute an amendment of these Bylaws, unless specifically so stated.

ARTICLE IX INDEMNIFICATION OF OFFICERS OR DIRECTORS

901. Indemnification. The Authority shall indemnify any Officer, Director, representative or Ad Hoc Member who is a party, or who is threatened to be made a party, to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, administrative or investigative by reason of the fact that he or she is or was serving as Director, Officer, representative or Ad Hoc Member of the Authority, against judgments, fees, amounts paid in settlement and expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred by him and the person whose legal representative he or she is, in connection with such action, suit or proceeding, or any appeal therein - as long as the Officer, Director, representative, or Ad Hoc Member was not wanton or willful. The Authority shall not so indemnify any such person unless it shall be concluded:

- (i) by the Directors, by a consent in writing signed by a majority of those Directors who were not parties to such action, suit or proceeding; or
- (ii) by independent legal counsel selected by a consent in writing signed by a majority of those Directors who were not parties to such action, suit or proceeding,

that such person, and the person whose legal representative he or she is, acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be within his or her statutory authority, and he or she was not wanton or willful, including without limitation, Section 22a-261(n) of the Act. In the event that there are less than three Directors not parties to the action, suit or proceeding in question, then the matter of indemnification shall be submitted to an independent third party selected unanimously by the remaining Directors, or, if this is not possible, by a majority vote of the entire Board, subject to the qualification that, so long as there is at least one Director not a party to the action or proceeding, a third party will not be chosen unless deemed acceptable to

that Director.

902. <u>Payment of Current Expenses</u>. Expenses which may be indemnified under Article IX, Section 901 of these Bylaws incurred in defending an action, suit or proceeding, may be paid by the Authority in advance of the final disposition of such action, suit or proceeding upon agreement by or on behalf of the Officer, Director, representative, Ad Hoc Member, employee or agent, or his or her legal representative, to repay such amount if he or she is later found not entitled to be indemnified by the Authority as authorized in Section 901 above. Such reimbursement shall be in a manner consistent with Section 1-125 of the General Statutes.

ARTICLE X SEAL, PLACE OF BUSINESS AND RECORDS

1001. <u>Seal of the Authority.</u>

(a) The official seal of the Authority shall be circular in form and shall have inscribed thereon the following words and figures: "Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority, 1973" and such additional matter as may be approved from time to time by the Directors of the Authority pursuant to the Act.

(b) In the execution on behalf of the Authority Of any instrument document, writing, notice or paper, it shall not be necessary, unless specifically required by law, to affix the official seal of the Authority, and such instrument, document, writing, notice, or paper when executed without the seal affixed shall be of the same force and effect and is binding on the Authority as if the official seal had been affixed in each instance. The use of the seal shall be symbolic only.

(c) The official seal need not be impressed on any instrument, document, writing, notice, or paper, but the same shall be sufficiently sealed if the official seal or a facsimile thereof is engraved, imprinted or otherwise reproduced thereon.

(d) The Secretary, or in the absence of the Secretary, a designee appointed by the President or Chairperson, may certify as to the official seal or its facsimile as of any date or with respect to any instrument, document, writing, notice, or paper, and any such certification shall be conclusive as to the form of the official seal and that any instrument, document, writing, notice, or paper has been duly and properly sealed by the Authority.

1002. Office of the Authority. The main office of the Authority shall be maintained at 100 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut, or at such other place or places within the State as the Authority may designate. The Authority shall not be required to hold any of its meetings at such office. The Authority may maintain other offices in the State.

1003. <u>Records of the Authority</u>. The-records of the Authority shall be kept and maintained pursuant to Section 22a-263 of the Act and in such a manner and for that period of time as the Directors, acting upon the advice of the Authority's counsel and accountants deem appropriate. The written records of the Authority will be made available to the public as required by the Freedom of Information Act. Records of the Authority shall be maintained in accordance with State of Connecticut guidelines. [FinalApprovedCopy6/19/2003]