

ADDENDUM NO. 1 Issued May 29, 2008

TO

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR PROJECT FINANCE BANKING SERVICES (RFP Number FY08-FA-002) (RFP Issued May 12, 2008)

The following are the written questions received by the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority ("CRRA") by the date specified in the Request For Proposals and CRRA's response to those questions.

1. Is the Authority's "annual Plan of Operations" available/accessible to bidders?

Response: See attached. This document has not yet been approved by the DEP.

2. Does the most recently available "annual Plan of Operations" address the implementation of strategies specified in the SWMP?

Response: Yes.

3. What are the anticipated levels of capital expenditures planned for Wallingford over the next two to five years?

Response: Wallingford Capital Improvement Plan per budget (these figures are CRRA's estimates and do not include capital improvement estimates by Covanta):

| FY08 (adopted): | FY09 (adopted): | FY10 (projected): | FY11 (projected): | FY12 (projected): |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| \$682,000 | \$543,000 | \$340,000 | \$1,292,500 | \$1,292,500 |

4. What are the anticipated levels of capital expenditures planned for Mid-Connecticut over the next two to five years?

Response: Mid-Connecticut Capital Improvement Plan per budget:

| FY08 (adopted): | FY09 (adopted): | FY10 (projected): | FY11 (projected): | FY12 (projected): |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| \$16,912,000 | \$24,835,000 | \$10,760,000 | \$6,324,000 | \$1,061,000 |

5. Closure & Post closure (landfill) expenses for Mid-Connecticut ranged from a low of \$178 thousand to \$2.005 million for the period 2002 through 2006. In 2007, these expenses were \$34.194 million. What is the budget expectation for 2008? Is the step-up to \$34.194 million in 2007 a one time event or has the costs trends changed dramatically? Please provide a planning estimate of these costs for the next five years or as many years as practical.

Response: The step-up to \$34.194 million in 2007 was a one-time event as a result of a resolution of a dispute over closure and post-closure cost responsibilities with the City of Hartford. The budget expectation is now \$43.7 million. This increased cost estimate is based on 1) addition of insurance costs to the Hartford landfill 30 year post-closure cost estimate; 2) addition of professional oversight costs to the Hartford landfill 30 year post-closure estimate; and 3) an increase to the Hartford landfill closure cost estimate as a result of actual closure construction bids received in July 2007 in response to a solicitation for bids to close approximately 57 acres of the landfill.

Mid-Connecticut landfill cost projections are as follows:

| Landfill | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Hartford | \$6,492,600 | \$12,446,600 | \$586,600 | \$566,600 | \$529,100 |
| Ellington | \$275,150 | \$275,150 | \$226,150 | \$213,150 | \$213,150 |

6. For Wallingford closure and post closure costs have ranged from a negative \$140 thousand to \$1.488 million during the period 2002 through 2007. Please provide an estimate of these costs for the next five years or as many as practical.

Response: Wallingford landfill cost projections are as follows:

| FY09 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \$257,500 | \$257,500 | \$257,500 | \$257,500 | \$257,500 |

| 7. | Are there any specific legal impediments to defeasing the remaining outstanding Mid- |
|----|--|
| | Connecticut special obligation bonds? |

Response: There are no legal impediments.

8. Could the Authority provide senior and subordinate bond indentures?

Response: Yes.

9. Does State law prohibit the Authority from having a first mortgage?

Response: No.